



EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE ON THE
FIRST LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT IN YOUNG GREEK CHILDREN

Dissertation Manuscript

Submitted to Unicaf University in Zambia
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

Doctorate of Education (EdD)

By Pieter Schalk Jansen

May, 2025

Approval of the Thesis

EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE ON THE FIRST LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT IN YOUNG GREEK CHILDREN

This Thesis by Pieter Schalk Jansen has been approved by the committee members below, who recommend it be accepted by the faculty of Unicaf University in Zambia in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of

Doctorate of Education (EdD)

Thesis Committee:

Dr Isaak Papadopoulos, supervisor

Dr Olga Novokhatskaya, chair

Dr Ruth Milla Melero, external examiner

Dr Ali Elhami, internal examiner

Abstract

EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE ON THE
FIRST LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT IN YOUNG GREEK CHILDREN

Pieter Schalk Jansen

Unicaf University in Zambia

This study examined the effects of *Early Second Language Acquisition* (ESLA) on *First Language Development* (FLD) in young children (ages four to five) within the Greek educational context, focusing on the introduction of *English as a Foreign Language* (EFL) in kindergarten curricula. Grounded in the theoretical framework of *Complex Dynamic Systems Theory* (CDST), the study critically assessed the influence of early EFL exposure on key linguistic domains, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. The research employed an *Exploratory Concurrent Mixed Methods* approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative data collected through the Logometro® language assessment tool.

The analysis contrasted two cohorts: children who received early EFL instruction and those enrolled in monolingual Greek educational settings. The findings demonstrated statistically significant advancements in the FLD of children exposed to EFL, with pronounced improvements observed across most measured domains. Notably, these children exhibited substantial gains in *Phonological Awareness*, with mean scores doubling following the intervention, alongside marked enhancements in *Receptive* and *Literacy Skills* and mild improvement in *Expressive Language*. Contrary to concerns that early EFL exposure may

hinder native language proficiency, the results indicated a synergistic effect whereby bilingual education enhanced FLD.

These findings carry profound implications for educational policy and pedagogical practice, particularly in light of the increasing global prominence of English as a lingua franca. This dissertation provided empirically substantiated recommendations for designing and implementing EFL programs in early childhood education, advocating for their broader integration to foster comprehensive linguistic development. The study contributed to the academic discourse on bilingual education, offering insights that advanced both theoretical understanding and practical application within diverse educational contexts.

Keywords: Early Second Language Acquisition, First Language Development, Greece, Complex Dynamic Systems Theory, Language Assessment, EFL Policies, Logometro.

Declaration

I declare that this thesis has been composed solely by myself and that it has not been submitted, in whole or in part, in any previous application for a degree. Except where state otherwise by reference or acknowledgment, the work presented is entirely my own.

AI Acknowledgment

I acknowledge the use of Grammarly for correcting grammar, spelling, and syntax in Chapters 1 through 5 of this dissertation, which is particularly pertinent given the focus on linguistics. This task was completed during the period from December 2022 to February 2025 (<https://www.grammarly.com>).

Copyright Page

I confirm that I retain the intellectual property and copyright of the thesis submitted. I also allow Unicaf University in Zambia to produce and disseminate the contributions of the thesis in all media forms known or to come as per the Creative Commons BY Licence (CC BY).

Dedication

The author extends sincere gratitude to UniCAF University for enabling the realization of this study. Special appreciation is reserved for Dr. Isaak Papadopoulos, whose invaluable mentorship significantly contributed to the successful completion of this research. The author acknowledges Dr. Papadopoulos for his profound guidance and unwavering support, which played an instrumental role throughout the entire process. Furthermore, heartfelt appreciation is extended to the author's esteemed spouse, whose unwavering support and boundless love were indispensable sources of encouragement and motivation, as well as bringing two beautiful children into the world.

Acknowledgments

The completion of this dissertation was made possible through the support and encouragement of numerous individuals and institutions. I extend my deepest gratitude to UniCAF University for providing the platform and resources necessary to conduct this research. I am especially indebted to my advisor, Dr. Isaak Papadopoulos, whose exceptional mentorship, guidance, and unwavering support were integral to the successful completion of this study.

To the fifty children and their families who participated in this research, as well as the educators who facilitated their involvement, I offer my heartfelt thanks. Your contributions have been invaluable to the outcomes of this work. Lastly, to my colleagues and peers whose insights and encouragement sustained me during this journey, I am profoundly grateful. To all who have played a role in this undertaking, my sincere appreciation.

Table of Contents

List of Tables	xvii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
Background	3
Legislative Responses Across Countries	3
Inconsistent Policies and Educational Impact.....	4
Literature	4
The Greek Context	6
Contemporary Situation	7
Problem Statement	8
Purpose of the Study, Research Aims, and Objectives	17
Research Context	17
Research Aims and Objectives	17
Nature and Significance of the Study	18
Research Questions and Research Hypotheses.....	20
Conclusion	24
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	25
Introduction.....	25
Conceptual Framework.....	26
Program EAN (2021-2022): An Academic Overview.....	29
Innovative Paradigms within the EAN Program: A Scholarly Perspective.....	30
English Linguistic Assimilation in Early Childhood Education.....	30
Integration of Content and Language within Education (CLIL).....	31
Cohesive Educator Models	31
Augmented Collaborative Frameworks	32

Transition to Soft CLIL Pedagogical Constructs	32
Holistic Progeny Development	32
Sub-Projects within the EAN Program (2021-2022): A Structural Exegesis	32
Theoretical Framework	34
Complex Dynamic Systems Theory	35
Connection to Literature Review	40
CDST Integration With The Research Questions and Hypotheses.....	43
First Language Development	46
Introduction	46
Logical Problem of Language Acquisition	47
Developmental Problem of Language Acquisition.....	49
Behaviorism	54
Innateness Theory (Universal Grammar).....	69
Interactionist Approach.....	74
Constructivism	98
Future Directions and Conclusion	116
Age and the Critical Period Hypothesis.....	116
Introduction.....	116
Origins of the CPH.....	118
Chomskyan Linguistics and Universal Grammar	122
Steven Pinker	124
Early Language Development.....	126
Empirical Studies in FLD and the CPH	129
Case Studies	131
Age and SLA.....	136

Empirical Studies in SLA and the CPH	138
Pedagogical Considerations	140
Contemporary Challenges.....	143
Future Directions and Conclusion	146
Second Language Acquisition Theories	146
Introduction.....	146
Input Hypothesis	149
Interactionist Theory	173
Future Directions and Conclusion	202
The Effects of ESLA on Brain Development	203
Theoretical Background.....	205
Neurocognitive Effects of Bilingualism	207
Impact on Phonological Awareness	210
Impact on Receptive Language.....	212
Impact on Expressive Language	213
Impact on Literacy Skills	215
Justification for Using Logometro® Tests.....	217
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD	220
Research Design.....	220
Methodology	224
Quantitative Research Component	224
Qualitative Research Component	225
Data Collection and Analysis.....	227
Population and Sample of the Research Study	230
Population Description.....	230

Sample Frame and Size.....	231
Sample Description.....	232
Justification for Population and Sample Choice.....	232
Ethical Considerations	233
Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.....	234
Materials/Instrumentation of Research Tools.....	236
Description of Logometro®.....	236
Origin and Development of Logometro®.....	237
Reliability and Validity.....	241
Permission for Use.....	243
Conclusion	243
CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS	244
Introduction.....	244
Trustworthiness of Data.....	250
Credibility	251
Transferability.....	252
Dependability.....	254
Confirmability.....	256
Statistical Assumptions and Data Validity	258
Summary Statement.....	260
Reliability and Validity of Data.....	261
Internal Validity.....	262
External Validity.....	263
Reliability.....	265
Linking Reliability and Validity	267

Practical Applications and Implications	268
Summary Statement	270
Results.....	270
Demographic Information.....	270
Research Question 1 (Quantitative Findings)	271
Research Question 1 (Qualitative Findings)	282
Research Question 2 (Quantitative Findings)	292
Research Question 2 (Qualitative Findings)	302
Research Question 3	310
Research Question 4	320
Conclusion	335
CHAPTER 5: IMPLICATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND CONCLUSIONS.....	336
Implications.....	340
Research Question 1	340
Research Question 2	341
Research Question 3	342
Literature Comparison	342
Contextualization	343
Practical Implications.....	344
Extended Implications	344
Potential Limitations	346
Recommendations for Future Research.....	346
Recommendation 1: Longitudinal Studies on Long-Term Effects of Early EFL Education	348

Recommendation 2: Comparative Studies Across Different Socio-Economic Contexts	350
Recommendation 3: Impact of EFL Education on Cognitive and Academic Development	352
Dissertation Conclusion	354
REFERENCES	357
APPENDICES	431
Appendix A: Local Ethics Approval.....	431
Appendix B: Research Ethics Application Form Doctoral Studies	432
Appendix C: Gatekeeper Letter	444
Appendix D: Informed Consent Form	445
Appendix E: Unicaf University Research Ethics Committee Decision.....	447
Appendix F: Logometro Research Tool	448

List of Abbreviations

EFL	English as a Foreign Language
CLIL	Content and Language Integrated Learning
ESLA	Early Second Language Acquisition
FLD	First Language Development
L1	First Language (Native Language)
L2	Second Language
IFG	Inferior Frontal Gyrus
DTI	Diffusion Tensor Imaging
IPC	Inferior Parietal Cortex
CPH	Critical Period Hypothesis
CDST	Complex Dynamic Systems Theory
EAN	Εισαγωγή της Αγγλικών (Introduction of English in Preschool)
WM	White Matter
PA	Phonological Awareness
NS	Narrative Skills
LC	Listening Comprehension
RL	Receptive Language
EL	Expressive Language
SBR	Shared Book Reading
LS	Literacy Skills
GMOE	Greek Ministry of Education
SEEs	Special Education Educators
NKUA	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens
IEP	Institute of Educational Policy
SLA	Second Language Acquisition
DPLA	Developmental Problem of Language Acquisition
LPLA	Logical Problem of Language Acquisition
IA	Interactionist Approach
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development
ADL	Actual Developmental Level
MKO	More Knowledgeable Other
UG	Universal Grammar
TBLT	Task-Based Language Teaching
LREs	Language-Related Episodes
STS	Superior Temporal Sulcus
STG	Superior Temporal Gyrus
pSTG	posterior Superior Temporal Gyrus
BIA+	Bilingual Interactive Activation Plus
fMRI	functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging
UREC	Unicaf University Research Ethics Committee
SD	Standard Deviation

List of Tables

Table 1.....	270
Table 2.....	271
Table 3.....	272
Table 4.....	272
Table 5.....	273
Table 6.....	273
Table 7.....	274
Table 8.....	275
Table 9.....	275
Table 10.....	276
Table 11.....	277
Table 12.....	278
Table 13.....	278
Table 14.....	294
Table 15.....	297
Table 16.....	311
Table 17.....	312
Table 18.....	313
Table 19.....	313
Table 20.....	314
Table 21.....	315

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

In the field of education, there is debate among educators, linguists, and policymakers about the ideal timing and approach for introducing a second language to children. This debate is especially relevant when considering *Early Second Language Acquisition* (ESLA), which has gained attention due to English's use as a global language. The growing significance of English for communication, academic achievement, and career opportunities, to name a few examples, has led to a trend of starting English language instruction at various ages, often beginning in kindergarten. This shift towards ESLA is based on the belief that young learners may have an advantage in language acquisition, potentially resulting in higher proficiency levels and fluency (Curran & Baidak, 2023; Koyuncu et al., 2023). In Greece, the introduction of English in early childhood education is also gaining traction, reflecting similar global trends (Anastasiadou & Griva, 2018; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou, 2023).

However, despite the optimism surrounding ESLA, there are also dissenting voices. One major issue concerns ESLA's impact on a child's *First Language Development* (FLD) (Barac et al., 2014; Kim & Kim, 2022). Various experts, policymakers, and educators have raised concerns that introducing a language too soon could cause confusion, divide linguistic skills, and potentially diminish proficiency in the first language. This debate stems from differing viewpoints on bilingualism and language acquisition, with some research suggesting that early exposure to languages can offer linguistic benefits while others highlight possible risks and disadvantages associated with this approach (Akbulut, 2007; Barac et al., 2014; Bialystok, 2015; Bialystok, 2017; Bialystok, 2020; Hoh, 2005; Kousaie et al., 2017; Paap et al., 2015; PRCMOE, 2012; SKMOE, 2022; Zheng et al., 2021). In the context of Greece, similar concerns have been raised about balancing the introduction of English with the preservation and development of the Greek language (Alexiou et al., 2021; Argyriadis & Argyriadi, 2022).

Furthermore, neuroscientific research has shown that acquiring a second language can lead to significant physical changes in the brain's structure. Studies have found that the age at which a second language is learned can influence cortical thickness, particularly in the *Inferior Frontal Gyrus* (IFG). For instance, Klein et al. (2014) demonstrated that later acquisition of a second language is associated with a thicker cortex in the left IFG and a thinner cortex in the right IFG. These findings provide a biological basis for concerns about the potential impact of ESLA on FLD. Additionally, Mohades et al. (2015) used *Diffusion Tensor Imaging* (DTI) to show that bilingual children have different white matter structures compared to monolingual children, suggesting that bilingualism can enhance certain cognitive functions through more efficient neural connectivity. Moreover, Mechelli et al. (2004) found that learning a second language increases the density of grey matter in the left *Inferior Parietal Cortex* (IPC), with more significant effects observed in early bilinguals than in late bilinguals.

In the aforementioned debate, various nations have implemented laws to address the issue of introducing English at an early age. For instance, countries like South Korea, China, Taiwan, Vietnam, and Iran have each passed laws over the past decade to regulate or prohibit English teaching in preschools, while in countries such as Canada and Turkey, there are various scholars and child experts who are deeply concerned about potential adverse effects of ELSA on FLD (Huang, 2004; Lee, 2009; PRCMOE, 2012; Cao & Cuong, 2016; Aktan-Erciyes & Aksu-Koç, 2016; Uslu & Ersan, 2020; SKMOE, 2022; Azizi et al., 2024). A mix of objectives, cultural preservation concerns, and diverse perspectives on language development influences these legal actions. While some countries rigorously enforce these restrictions, others take an approach that results in various policies highlighting the global uncertainty surrounding the best strategy for early English learning. The debates surrounding English learning policies also raise concerns about how this impacts FLD (Duncan & Paradis, 2020; Koyuncu et al., 2023).

As countries navigate the challenge of balancing the introduction of English to benefit early language learning while safeguarding children's native language development, there is a growing recognition of the importance of having evidence and a nuanced understanding of the impact of ESLA (Nikolov & Djigunović, 2006; Schmid, 2008; Kuhl, 2010; Derakhshan & Karimi, 2015; Marini et al., 2019; Mayberry & Kluender, 2018; Alexiou, 2020; Dmitrieva et al., 2020). This scenario creates an opportunity for targeted research to delve into the results of ESLA and its influence on FLD.

Background

The evolving landscape of ESLA across various countries has been marked by a series of legislative ebbs and flows, aiming to navigate the delicate balance between fostering global linguistic competencies and safeguarding native language development. This oscillation reflects a broader educational and societal dilemma: how to prepare children for a globalized world while ensuring their cultural and linguistic roots remain intact. The background to this complex issue is multifaceted, encompassing legislative actions, educational impacts, and a divisive scholarly debate, all of which provide a critical foundation for understanding the current state of ESLA.

Legislative Responses Across Countries

Over the past decade, several countries have enacted legislation to curtail or outright ban English instruction in kindergartens. These measures were primarily motivated by concerns over the potential negative impact on FLD and the desire to preserve national identity in the face of globalization. For instance, South Korea's fluctuating policies on English education reflect a broader anxiety about the erosion of Korean linguistic and cultural heritage (Martinez, 2016; Kim, 2018; OERP, 2018; Ghani, 2018; SKMOE, 2022). Similarly, China's restrictions on early English education are part of a more significant effort to prioritize Chinese

language and culture in the educational system (PRCMOE, 2012). Such legislative interventions are not isolated incidents but part of an international trend that includes Taiwan, Vietnam, and Iran, each with its own set of motivations and implementations of the ban (Huang, 2004; Lee, 2009; Cao & Cuong, 2016; Al-Jarf, 2023). However, despite the differences in motivations amongst these aforementioned countries, one apparent shared concern emerges: the potential adverse effects that ESLA may have on FLD among young children.

Inconsistent Policies and Educational Impact

The outcome of these laws in education has led to a situation where educational policies are inconsistent and constantly changing. Restrictions on teaching English to children have been put in place, removed, and reintroduced. This ongoing cycle has caused confusion and frustration among teachers, parents, and decision-makers (Lee, 2009). For instance, in South Korea, the initial ban on English instruction in kindergartens was met with strong public opposition, prompting its reversal and the implementation of new guidelines allowing for some level of English instruction (Martinez, 2016; Civinini, 2018; Ghani, 2018; Kim, 2018; OERP, 2018; Yim, 2018; Jung, 2019). This cycle of policy changes is not exclusive to South Korea. This reflects international uncertainty regarding the most effective approach to teaching English as a second language (Lee, 2009; Byun et al., 2011; Hu, 2016; Hartshorne et al., 2018).

Literature

Amid these policy changes, there is a scholarly discussion regarding how ESLA impacts FLD. Advocates of education believe that being bilingual can bring cognitive benefits, such as improved executive function and increased language awareness. Conversely, skeptics warn that introducing a language too early could result in confusion, reduced proficiency in both languages and even loss of proficiency in the first language under severe circumstances.

This ongoing debate is further complicated by studies indicating that the outcomes of ESLA are influenced by factors like the quality and quantity of exposure to the language teaching methods used and the linguistic environment at home (Akbulut, 2007; Marian & Shook, 2012; Xia et al., 2022; Ali, 2023; Clayden et al., 2023).

Additionally, research exploring effects linked to ESLA sheds light on issues like phonetic drift, where elements from the second language start affecting how the first language is pronounced. Nevertheless, the literature also provides examples of how the native language remains strong, as research shows that effective bilingual education programs can aid and possibly improve the development of one's language (Li, 2022).

Furthermore, numerous studies have demonstrated that learning a second language can result in physical changes in the brain. For instance, Klein et al. (2014) found that later acquisition of a second language was associated with a thicker cortex in the IFG and a thinner cortex in the right IFG. These structural changes suggest that learning a second language after gaining proficiency in the first language modifies brain structure in an age-dependent manner. Similarly, Mohades et al. (2015) used DTI to reveal that bilingual children have different white matter structures compared to monolingual children. Specifically, simultaneous bilinguals had higher *Fractional Anisotropy* (FA) values in the *Left Inferior Fronto-Occipital Fasciculus* (LIFOF), indicating more efficient white matter connectivity. These findings provide a compelling argument for the potential impacts of ESLA on FLD, highlighting the need for further research in this area. Mechelli et al. (2004) further supported this by showing that learning a second language increases the density of grey matter in the *left inferior parietal cortex*, with greater effects observed in early bilinguals compared to late bilinguals, suggesting that the brain's structure is altered by the experience of acquiring a second language, with implications for cognitive and linguistic processing.

Moreover, additional insights from Pliatsikas and Luk (2016) show that bilingualism affects the brain regions involved in executive control. This includes increased activation in the *anterior cingulate cortex* and *prefrontal cortex*, regions associated with conflict monitoring and cognitive control (Pliatsikas & Luk, 2016). Moreover, Miyake and Friedman (2012) found that individual differences in executive functions, which are critical for language processing, show unity and diversity influenced by genetic and environmental factors (Miyake & Friedman, 2012). Pliatsikas et al. (2015) showed that learning and actively using a second language after childhood can rapidly affect *White Matter* (WM) structure. This structural plasticity is evident in higher fractional anisotropy values in several WM tracts linked to language processing and cognitive functions.

Despite the studies conducted, a definitive agreement has not been reached because of the variety of educational settings, language pairings, and research methods. This absence in existing literature highlights the necessity for studies that consider learners' unique situations and characteristics (Yow & Li, 2015; Kellogg & Chang, 2023).

The Greek Context

In recent years, Greece has decided to introduce *English as a Foreign Language* (EFL) instruction in kindergartens, signaling a shift in the country's approach to language education. Unlike nations that have restricted English learning, Greece is seemingly embracing the integration of ESLA at this age. This move aligns with a trend promoting multilingualism as essential for personal and professional growth within the European Union. The Greek situation stands out due to its history and the relatively recent implementation of these policies, making it an intriguing case study for evaluating the effects of ESLA on FLD. This initiative presents an opportunity to delve into these issues from a linguistic perspective, potentially offering

valuable insights for national and global conversations on early language education strategies (Sakellariou & Banou, 2020; Alexiou et al., 2021; Eurydice, 2023).

Contemporary Situation

The recent policy shift in Greece, introducing EFL instruction in kindergartens, appears to present a departure from the prevailing apprehensions surrounding ESLA observed in various parts of the world. This move situates Greece at a pivotal juncture, enabling a unique exploration into the implications of ESLA on FLD against international debate and legislative flux. The critical concern underpinning this debate is whether early exposure to a second language might inadvertently compromise the development of the child's FLD, potentially leading to linguistic and cognitive disparities.

Given the inconsistent policies and educational outcomes witnessed in countries that have grappled with similar educational reforms, Greece's recent initiative underscores the pressing need for empirical research to assess the validity of concerns regarding ESLA (Alexiou, 2020; Alexiou et al., 2021). This research endeavor is not merely academic; it holds significant social and practical implications. By empirically investigating the effects of ESLA on Greek children's FLD, this study aims to provide evidence-based insights that could inform future educational policy and curriculum design in Greece and other nations, considering similar approaches to language education.

The importance of this study extends beyond academic circles, offering potential guidance for educators, policymakers, and parents seeking to navigate the complexities of language education in a way that harmonizes the benefits of bilingualism with the preservation of native language skills. As such, the research is a crucial inquiry into the balance between embracing the advantages of global linguistic competencies and safeguarding the integrity of children's linguistic and cultural heritage.

Problem Statement

The problem is the limited understanding of how ESLA affects FLD in young children, potentially resulting in language disorders and consequently leading to inconsistent and fluctuating educational policies. Despite the increasing integration of ESLA in educational systems, particularly at the kindergarten level, there remains a critical gap in comprehensive research that elucidates its impacts on children's native language competencies. This gap fosters an environment of uncertainty and inconsistency in policy formulation and implementation across various educational contexts (Lee, 2009; Byun et al., 2011; Hu, 2016; Martinez, 2016; Civinini, 2018; Ghani, 2018; Kim, 2018; OERP, 2018; Yim, 2018; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Jung, 2019).

The complexity of this issue is further illustrated by the diversity of educational systems and cultural contexts in which ESLA is implemented. In countries such as South Korea and Japan, policies have oscillated between promoting early English education and restricting it due to concerns about its impact on native language proficiency and cultural identity (Butler, 2015; Kim, 2018). These fluctuations often result in inconsistent educational experiences for children and create challenges for educators and policymakers. In contrast, European countries, encouraged by the European Union's emphasis on multilingualism, have generally embraced early language education, although concerns about the balance between second language acquisition and first language maintenance persist (Eurydice, 2023).

Adding to the complexity, neuroscientific studies have shown that acquiring a second language can lead to significant changes in brain structure, which may impact cognitive functions related to language development (Klein et al., 2014; Mohades et al., 2015; Mechelli et al., 2004; Pliatsikas et al., 2015; Pliatsikas & Luk, 2016). These structural changes include variations in cortical thickness, white matter integrity, and grey matter density, which can affect

language processing and cognitive abilities. Such findings suggest that the timing of language acquisition can influence brain development and cognitive outcomes, underscoring the need for a nuanced understanding of ESLA's effects on FLD.

The problem is further exacerbated by the lack of longitudinal studies that track the long-term impacts of ESLA on FLD. Most existing research is cross-sectional, providing snapshots of language development at specific points in time rather than a comprehensive view of how ESLA has influenced FLD over the years. Longitudinal studies are essential for understanding bilingual language acquisition's complex, dynamic, and evolving nature and its potential impacts on first language skills over extended periods (Kornder & Mennen, 2021). Without longitudinal data, it is challenging to make informed decisions about the optimal age and methods for introducing a second language in early childhood education (Aktan-Erciyes, 2021).

Moreover, the variability in educational practices and parental involvement adds another layer of complexity. Some studies suggest that the quality and quantity of language exposure, along with the teaching methods used, play a crucial role in determining the outcomes of ESLA (Marian & Shook, 2012; Xia et al., 2022). For instance, immersion programs and bilingual education models that provide consistent and high-quality input in both languages are often more successful in supporting balanced bilingual development than less structured approaches (Kahng, 2024).

The inconsistent and fluctuating policies observed in various countries highlight the broader issue of policy inconsistency driven by the lack of concrete evidence on the outcomes of ESLA. The educational landscape is marked by an oscillation between embracing and restricting early English education, reflecting a fundamental uncertainty that impedes the development of stable, evidence-based educational policies. This inconsistency can lead to

significant disparities in language education, affecting children's academic and social outcomes and creating challenges for educators who must adapt to changing guidelines.

Children may experience varying language development issues at the individual level, impacting their academic performance and social integration. Language disorders such as delayed speech, limited vocabulary, and language comprehension and production difficulties can arise, potentially leading to long-term academic and social challenges. These issues underscore the need for early identification and intervention to support children at risk of language development problems.

Educational institutions face challenges in curriculum development, teacher training, and resource allocation, striving to accommodate ESLA without compromising FLD. Teachers must have the skills and knowledge to effectively teach young learners in bilingual settings, which requires targeted professional development and support. Additionally, schools must ensure that resources, such as bilingual education materials and language support services, are available to meet the needs of all students.

Societally, inconsistent policies may exacerbate educational inequalities and hinder the cultivation of a truly bilingual or multilingual workforce capable of navigating the complexities of a globalized world. The potential negative consequences of not addressing this research gap are significant. Without a clear understanding of ESLA's effects on FLD, there is a risk of perpetuating ineffective or harmful educational practices, widening disparities in language competencies among young learners, and ultimately failing to leverage the cognitive and socio-economic benefits of bilingualism or multilingualism.

Addressing this research gap is crucial for developing informed and effective educational policies that support balanced bilingual development. By systematically investigating the impacts of ESLA on FLD, this study aims to provide empirical evidence that

can guide educational policy and practice. Focusing on the specific context of Greece, where recent policy shifts have introduced English language instruction in kindergartens, the study seeks to contribute to filling the critical research gap, offering insights that may apply to other settings grappling with similar challenges. The outcomes of this research have the potential to inform global discussions on early language education, contributing to the development of evidence-based strategies that support both second language acquisition and native language maintenance.

The Need for Specific Language and Literacy Skills Assessments

Phonological Awareness. *Phonological Awareness* (PA) is crucial for reading and spelling development. Assessing children's ability to recognize and manipulate the sound structure of language helps identify their readiness for literacy instruction and potential difficulties in reading acquisition (Anthony & Francis, 2005). Studies have shown that early phonological awareness predicts later reading success (Lonigan et al., 2008).

Recent research continues to underscore the importance of PA in early literacy development. Milankov et al. (2021) found that phonological skills are strongly related to early reading and writing development, with higher PA scores correlating with fewer reading difficulties among primary school students. This highlights the necessity of early assessment and intervention in PA to support reading development effectively. Janurik et al. (2022) also demonstrated that PA, musical aptitude, and music reading significantly contribute to developing word reading skills. Their findings suggest a strong link between early word reading and early music reading, emphasizing the importance of PA in facilitating the acquisition of reading skills.

These studies collectively reinforce the critical role of PA in early literacy development and the necessity of assessing and supporting this skill in young learners to ensure successful

reading acquisition and overall academic achievement (Milankov et al., 2021; Janurik et al., 2022). Thus, this study will utilize the Logometro® language assessment tool to assess PA, providing a comprehensive evaluation of children's ability to recognize and manipulate phonological structures. The Logometro®, characterized by good psychometric properties, will be a reliable tool to measure and identify potential difficulties in PA.

Narrative Skills. *Narrative Skills* (NS) are essential for language development as they involve organizing thoughts and conveying them coherently. Assessing narration through retelling and free narration tasks provides insights into children's language proficiency, memory, and cognitive abilities. Narration assessments can reveal how well children can structure their thoughts and use language creatively (Finders et al., 2023).

Recent studies emphasize the critical role of narrative skills in early literacy and cognitive development. Research by Veneziano and Nicolopoulou (2019) highlights the interrelatedness between narrative skills, literacy, language, and socio-cognitive development. Their work combines studies demonstrating how narrative practices promote these skills in various languages and contexts. Moreover, a longitudinal study by Babayiğit et al. (2021) showed that early narrative skills significantly predict later reading abilities, emphasizing the importance of early narrative assessment. Additionally, Grøver et al. (2023) found that interactive book reading, which involves narrating stories, enhances children's narrative skills and overall language development. This supports the notion that engaging children in narrative-rich activities can foster better language outcomes.

These recent studies collectively underscore the necessity of incorporating narrative skill assessments in early language development research to better understand and support children's literacy and cognitive growth (Veneziano & Nicolopoulou, 2019; Babayiğit et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023; Russak & Zaretsky, 2021; Grøver et al., 2023). Thus, this study will

utilize the Logometro® to assess NS, providing a comprehensive evaluation of children's ability to organize and convey their thoughts through storytelling. The Logometro® will be a reliable tool for measuring and identifying potential NS difficulties.

Listening Comprehension. *Listening Comprehension* (LC) is foundational for language acquisition and academic success. It involves understanding spoken language, following directions, and comprehending stories. Assessing LC helps determine children's receptive language skills and their ability to process and integrate auditory information (Hogan et al., 2014)

Recent studies highlight the ongoing significance of LC in language development. A study by Rukthong and Brunfaut (2020) examined the nature of second-language listening in integrated listening-to-summarize tasks and found that effective listening strategies significantly enhance comprehension and retention. Their findings underscore the importance of strategic listening instruction in improving overall language proficiency. Additionally, Allal-Sumoto et al. (2023) demonstrated that productive vocabulary knowledge positively impacts sentence comprehension speed during listening tasks. This research suggests that enhancing vocabulary knowledge can improve listening comprehension, leading to better language acquisition outcomes. Furthermore, Al-Ghazo's (2023) research on note-taking strategies in EFL learners revealed that such strategies significantly improve LC skills, particularly in academic settings. This study highlights the practical applications of teaching effective listening and note-taking techniques to enhance language learning.

These recent studies collectively emphasize the crucial role of LC in language development and support the need for comprehensive assessments to inform instructional practices (Rukthong & Brunfaut, 2020; Allal-Sumoto et al., 2023; Al-Ghazo, 2023). Thus, this

study will utilize Logometro®, a reliable tool for measuring and identifying potential difficulties in LC.

Receptive Language. *Receptive Language* (RL) skills reflect a child's ability to understand and process language input. These skills are crucial for following instructions, participating in conversations, and learning new information. Assessing RL helps identify potential language comprehension difficulties (Bishop, 2017).

Recent studies continue to highlight the importance of RL skills in early development. Research by Morrel et al. (2023) examined the neural correlates of RL development, showing that higher *Fractional Anisotropy* (FA) in specific white matter tracts is associated with better RL abilities in infants. This study underscores the significance of early brain development in supporting language comprehension skills. Another study by Alhamdan et al. (2023) investigated the relationship between visual-motor reaction times and language development in early school-age children. The findings indicated that visual-motor reaction times could predict receptive and expressive vocabulary, suggesting multisensory processing skills are closely linked to language acquisition. Furthermore, research by Magyar et al. (2022) explored the role of receptive skills in EFL learning. They found that effective learning strategies, including those enhancing receptive skills like listening and reading, are critical for successful language acquisition and application, particularly in higher education settings.

These recent studies collectively emphasize the foundational role of receptive language skills in cognitive and linguistic development and the importance of early assessment to support effective language learning strategies (Morrel et al., 2023; Magyar et al., 2022; Alhamdan et al., 2023). Thus, this study will utilize Logometro®, a reliable tool for measuring and identifying potential difficulties in RL.

Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* (EL) skills involve producing language and communicating effectively. These skills are essential for social interactions, academic performance, and communication. Assessing EL can reveal difficulties in verbal expression and the use of vocabulary (Leonard, 2014).

Recent studies further emphasize the importance of EL skills in child development. Mitsven et al. (2022) explored the impact of classroom language environments during the COVID-19 pandemic and found that expressive language development was significantly influenced by the reduced vocal interactions caused by mask-wearing and other pandemic-related measures. This study highlights the critical need for supportive language environments to foster expressive language growth. In a review by Jeremic et al. (2023), *Shared Book Reading* (SBR) was identified as an effective intervention to enhance EL skills, particularly in children with Down syndrome. The review noted that SBR strategies encourage active communication and provide opportunities for modeling and scaffolding language, which are essential for developing EL abilities. Additionally, Ristiyani and Mulyono (2023) demonstrated the effectiveness of using characterized hand puppets to improve EL skills in young children. Their study showed significant improvements in children's ability to produce language and communicate effectively through interactive and engaging methods.

These studies underscore the importance of EL skills and the need for targeted interventions and supportive environments to promote effective language production and communication (Mitsven et al., 2022; Jeremic et al., 2023; Ristiyani & Mulyono, 2023). Thus, this study will utilize Logometro®, a reliable tool for measuring and identifying potential difficulties in EL.

Literacy Skills. *Literacy Skills* (LS) encompass emergent literacy abilities such as PA, alphabet knowledge, and print concepts. Assessing these skills is crucial for identifying

children at risk of reading difficulties and providing early interventions to support literacy development (Snow et al., 1998).

Recent studies continue to underscore the significance of these emergent LSs. For instance, phonological-based instruction improves early literacy skills among young children (Ehri et al., 2001). PA instruction, which includes rhyming, segmenting, and blending sounds, is essential for developing strong reading foundations (Rice et al., 2022). Alphabet knowledge is another critical component, consistently recognized as a strong predictor of later literacy achievement. *Enhanced Alphabet Knowledge* (EAK) instruction has been recommended as an effective method for teaching young children alphabet knowledge, focusing on practical strategies that can be easily implemented in early childhood settings (Jones et al., 2013). Moreover, the integration of print awareness activities, which help children understand the functions and conventions of written language, supports the development of emergent literacy skills. This approach ensures that children are better prepared for formal reading instruction (Bus & Van Ijzendoorn, 1999).

These recent findings reinforce the importance of comprehensive literacy assessments to inform early interventions and support the development of essential literacy skills in young learners (Ehri et al., 2001a; Lau et al., 2021; Bus & Van Ijzendoorn, 1999). Thus, this study will utilize the Logometro®, a reliable tool for measuring and identifying potential difficulties in LS.

Summary Statement. These assessments will provide a comprehensive evaluation of the impact of ESLA on various aspects of language and literacy development. The need for such assessments is supported by research indicating their predictive value for academic success and their role in identifying children who may require additional support (Antoniou et al., 2022; Lonigan et al., 2009; Hogan et al., 2011).

Purpose of the Study, Research Aims, and Objectives

This study aims to explore how ESLA affects FLD in children in the education system, specifically those who start learning English at age four. This study aims to fill the gap in the literature on the effects of ESLA on FLD, a gap that has influenced varying educational strategies in different parts of the world. By combining quantitative and qualitative research methods, this study intends to utilize the power of data on language development complemented by qualitative insights from the Logometro® language assessment tool. This dual method will allow for an examination of the impacts of ESLA, leading to a detailed understanding that can guide educational policies and teaching methods in Greece and other regions dealing with similar educational challenges.

Research Context

Set against the backdrop of Greece's recent policy shifts to incorporate English language instruction into kindergarten curricula, this study offers a timely investigation into the initial effects and broader implications of these changes. Greece presents an ideal context for such an exploration due to its recent adoption of early English education and its non-anglophone environment, allowing for insights into bilingual development dynamics that may differ significantly from those in predominantly English-speaking countries.

Research Aims and Objectives

Central to this study are several key objectives: First, it will quantitatively assess language development among children in English-instructed and monolingual Greek kindergartens using a standardized assessment tool (Logometro®) to identify any significant differences in language proficiency between these groups. Second, it will simultaneously qualitatively extract data by measuring Narrative Speech and Pragmatic Language. Third, the study will assess the alignment between observed language development outcomes and the

Greek Ministry of Education's mandate for ongoing research on first language development. Additionally, it will examine stakeholder concerns regarding the potential adverse effects of early second language acquisition on young children's first language development, identifying areas for further investigation and policy refinement. Fourth, it aims to offer evidence-based recommendations for educational policymakers and practitioners in Greece to optimize ESLA practices to support balanced bilingual development. Finally, the study seeks to lay the groundwork for future research by suggesting areas and methodologies for further investigation into the long-term impacts of ESLA on FLD.

Through these objectives, the study aspires to illuminate the intricate relationship between ESLA and FLD, providing valuable insights that can guide the development of educational policies, curricula, and teaching practices to foster effective bilingual education from an early age.

Nature and Significance of the Study

This study integrates a *Concurrent Mixed Methods Approach* to capture both the quantifiable aspects of language development and the nuanced, qualitative insights into how ESLA influences FLD. The quantitative component will harness the Logometro® tool, a validated instrument for assessing various linguistic competencies in Greek preschool-aged children. This tool's robust design allows for a detailed exploration of phonological, semantic, syntactic, and pragmatic aspects of language, providing a comprehensive dataset on the children's linguistic abilities.

Simultaneously, the qualitative facet of this study aims to delve deeper into the contextual and environmental factors influencing ESLA and FLD. Through detailed analysis of narrative speech and pragmatic language use captured by Logometro®, this study seeks to uncover how bilingual education shapes linguistic development. This dual approach offers a

rich, multidimensional understanding of the research problem and aligns with the *Complex Dynamic Systems Theory* (CDST), emphasizing language development's interconnected and dynamic nature.

The selection of Greece as the context for this study is pivotal, given its recent policy changes regarding ESLA in kindergartens. This setting provides a unique lens through which to examine the broader implications of early bilingual education policies and their effects on children's language development. Focusing on this specific geographical and cultural context, the study aims to contribute valuable insights that could guide future educational strategies and policy decisions in Greece and other countries grappling with similar challenges.

Significance

The importance of this research lies in its potential to shed light on the complex dynamics of bilingual language development and its broader implications for policies and teaching methods. By addressing the lack of understanding regarding ESLA effects on FLD, this study aims to offer insights into the discussion on bilingualism and guide educational practices. It emphasizes the necessity for research to inform policy decisions in childhood education, particularly in regions like Greece, where early English instruction is relatively new. The results of this study could have implications by providing evidence-based suggestions that could help prevent inconsistent policy-making observed in other nations. The relevance of this research extends to professionals and academics interested in language acquisition, bilingual education, and the creation of policies that promote bilingual development from a young age.

Through a designed and ethically sound examination of ESLA's influence within the educational setting, this study can substantially contribute to understanding bilingual language acquisition processes in scholarly circles. Its outcomes may lay the groundwork for studies.

Offer practical guidance for educators and policymakers seeking to enhance young students' language learning experiences.

Research Questions and Research Hypotheses

In order to investigate the nuanced effects of ESLA on FLD among young children in Greece, this study poses several research questions. These questions aim to explore the complex dynamics of bilingual language development and inform educational policy decisions. Each question is carefully designed to be specific and testable, ensuring a thorough examination of ESLA's impacts without introducing new concepts, thus aligning closely with the study's problem and purpose statements.

Research Question 1

Is there any statistically significant differentiation in the performance of *Young Children* in their L1 prior to and upon the completion of the intervention of early foreign language teaching/learning?

H1₀ (Null Hypothesis). This hypothesis suggests that introducing ESLA to preschoolers does not change their FLD, indicating that early bilingual education may not necessarily disrupt or improve FLD. This standpoint addresses concerns and arguments within educational circles regarding whether ESLA impacts young learners' primary language skills.

H1_a (Alternative Hypothesis). In contrast, the alternative hypothesis suggests ESLA alters FLD among Greek preschool children, potentially influencing their language skills and fluency. This idea is based on studies that suggest that being bilingual from an early age can affect how the brain processes language and influences skills like understanding sounds, learning words, and forming sentences in the first language.

Theoretical and Practical Basis. Using CDST as a reference point highlights the nature of learning languages, where exposure to two languages may change how language systems

work. Different research studies show results, with some showing that bilingual children are aware of language rules while others suggest possible challenges. This idea aims to investigate these interactions within schools by using detailed language assessments to monitor changes in the language due to ESLA.

Research Question 2

Do participants in Group B show any significant differences in the Logometro® test compared to Group A?

H2₀ (Null Hypothesis). This idea proposes that young learners develop language skills regardless of exposure to EFL. It suggests that early EFL teaching does not significantly alter how well children do on the Logometro® test compared to non-EFL settings.

H2_a (Alternative Hypothesis). On the other hand, this idea suggests that early exposure to EFL impacts how children develop their language skills. It predicts differences in performance on the Logometro® test between groups. It proposes that ESL instruction can either enhance or complicate aspects of language development, such as vocabulary knowledge, grammar comprehension, and communication strategies.

Exploring the Logometro® tests evaluation capabilities, this hypothesis delves into how ESLA influences various linguistic aspects, from phonology to pragmatics. This comparison tackles queries on abilities and delves into broader discussions on cognitive adaptability and cross-linguistic transfer during early bilingualism.

Research Question 3

Do the results suggest that EFL acquisition adversely affects young children's L1(Greek) acquisition ability?

H3₀ (Null Hypothesis). This proposal suggests that early EFL acquisition does not hinder Greek (L1) development, thus indicating that ESLA could have an even more advantageous impact on language growth.

H3_a (Alternative Hypothesis). Conversely, the alternative hypothesis suggests that early exposure to EFL might impede or interfere with acquisition, potentially causing delays or disorders in L1 progress. This concern is especially relevant in environments where L2 instruction dominates contexts limiting L1 interaction.

Implications for Language Policy and Educational Practices. This hypothesis tackles concerns regarding the effects of ESLA on FLD by questioning or supporting current educational strategies. By examining language development areas, like processing or syntactic flexibility, that could be influenced, this study contributes to a nuanced comprehension of the impacts of bilingual education.

Research Question 4

How can this research be used to develop a questionnaire or system to help the government officials of Greece make better-informed policy decisions?

H4₀ (Null Hypothesis). The notion that the study on ESLA and FLD interaction does not offer insights into policies or methodological changes in Greece suggests that current practices may remain unchanged based on the study results.

H4_a (Alternative Hypothesis). Sheds light on how ESLA impacts language development in learners, stressing the importance of policies and teaching methods that address these dynamics. This hypothesis highlights the value of research in shaping education interventions that recognize the nuanced aspects of language acquisition in environments.

Theoretical and Practical Implications. This hypothesis draws from the CDST, which provides a framework for understanding the interconnected factors influencing language

learning. CDST posits that external elements, such as cognitive abilities, emotional and social interactions, and exposure to linguistic input, shape language development. Hence, educational policies and teaching approaches should be flexible to meet learners' diverse needs as they navigate language acquisition challenges.

Methodological Considerations. Validating this hypothesis necessitates an examination of the study's outcomes within the framework of existing education policies and practices. It includes analyzing the data gathered statistically and assessing qualitatively how these discoveries coincide with or challenge existing teaching methods. This could involve discussions with teachers, reviews of policies, and conversations with language experts to understand the consequences of the study's outcomes.

Possible Suggestions for Policies. If this theory is validated, it might result in policy suggestions to enhance strategies in Greece. These could involve creating educational frameworks that cater to the differences in children's language learning paths, providing training programs for teachers that focus on bilingual education methods, and designing evaluation tools that better represent the skills of bilingual learners.

Essentially, this methodical approach to testing hypotheses laid out in this study presents a route to examine the impact of ESLA on FLD among children in Greece. The research seeks to provide insights into bilingual education research and policy development by assessing these theories. The potential discoveries have ramifications for practices that offer guidance on how best to assist young learners on their bilingual journey while safeguarding the authenticity of their FLD. This research aims to contribute to discussions and offer suggestions for teachers, policymakers, and others influencing language education in Greece and beyond.

Conclusion

This chapter has provided an overview of the significance of ESLA, highlighting its relevance within the global educational landscape. The historical context and legislative responses in various countries, including Greece, have been explored to understand the broader implications of early language instruction. The problem statement articulated the critical gap in understanding how ESLA affects FLD, resulting in inconsistency and confusion among education policy development officials and emphasizing the necessity for this research.

This study aims to investigate the impacts of ESLA on FLD, aiming to provide empirical evidence that can inform educational policies and practices. By examining this issue within the Greek context, where recent policy shifts have introduced English instruction in kindergartens, this study seeks to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing debate.

The next chapter will comprehensively review the literature related to ESLA and FLD. This review will cover several key topics, including the conceptual and theoretical frameworks underpinning the study, the nuances of first language development, and the role of age and the critical period *hypothesis* in language acquisition. Furthermore, it will examine *Second Language Acquisition Theories* to provide a deeper understanding of ESLA processes and explore *Communication Disorders* that may arise from early bilingual education. Building on the foundation of this multifaceted exploration, the literature review will identify key themes and gaps in the existing research, setting the stage for the following detailed investigation.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

The scholarly debate around the terms *Conceptual* and *Theoretical Framework* is a testament to their central role in research, often sparking considerable debate and confusion. These discussions highlight the need for clear definitions and distinctions, especially given the vital role these frameworks play in ensuring coherent and meaningful research (Ravitch & Riggan, 2017, pp. 26-33, 221; Kivunja, 2018; Passey, 2020; Varpio et al., 2020; Mensah et al., 2020; Luft et al., 2022; Brydges & Batt, 2023). This study, which explores the effects of *English as a Foreign Language* (EFL) on *First Language Development* (FLD) among young children in Greece, employs these frameworks to structure and contextualize its research within this complex field.

The *Conceptual Framework* in this research organizes key ideas and variables, clarifying their relationships and highlighting research gaps (Mensah et al., 2020; Varpio et al., 2020; Luft et al., 2022). It integrates scholarly literature, enhancing the relevance of the research and focusing on the research questions. The role of the *Conceptual Framework* is to act as a compass, combining insights from existing literature, real-world experiences, and new ideas, simplifying complex concepts, and adapting to evolving theories (Mensah et al., 2020; Luft et al., 2022; Brydges & Batt, 2023).

Concurrently, the *Theoretical Framework*, underpinned by *Complex Dynamic Systems Theory* (CDST), provides the lens through which this study's research efforts are focused and data interpreted. CDST, in this study context, helps explore the intricate relationships between *Early Second Language Acquisition* (ESLA) and FLD in young children (Kivunja, 2018; Mensah et al., 2020; Brydges & Batt, 2023).

This research extends its scope to empirically investigate the impact of ESLA on the FLD of kindergarten children in Greece by testing for communication disorders in specific areas of language and literacy competencies, to wit, *Phonological Awareness, Narration Skills, Listening Comprehension, Receptive Language, Expressive Language, and Literacy Skills*. These competencies are critical indicators in assessing the influence of ESLA on the natural development of the first language. The methodology and findings will contribute to a nuanced understanding of bilingual language acquisition and its implications in Greece's unique educational and sociocultural context.

In the forthcoming sections, this study will elaborate on the *Conceptual Framework*, adapting its focus to the context of ESLA in Greece. This will explore how Greek educational policies, cultural attitudes towards bilingualism, and societal views on English language learning influence FLD in young children. The discussion will also address how the integration of ESLA in early childhood education in Greece influences the various developmental aspects of FLD. Additionally, the *Theoretical Framework*, grounded in CDST, will be detailed, illustrating its application in the Greek educational setting. This framework will provide the necessary theoretical underpinnings to analyze the effects of ESLA on FLD, particularly in identifying and assessing communication disorders in Greek kindergarten children.

Conceptual Framework

The *Greek Ministry of Education (GMOE)* recognized the importance of linguistic diversity and the necessity for communication in contemporary society and, as such, recognized the English language as a crucial tool. Its use facilitates communication between individuals of different languages and cultures, supports exchanging ideas, and promotes globalization and technological advancement. English operates as a critical medium in various fields such as the economy, technology, politics, tourism, and the internet, functioning as the

primary language of international communication (Ricento, 2018, pp. 221-235; Todorova & Todorova, 2018; Haidar & Fang, 2019; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Zeng et al., 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

The Greek educational system, therefore, actively integrated with these societal developments rather than merely observing them. Implementing new pedagogical approaches and methods is essential to equip students with the knowledge and skills necessary for active citizenship in the future. In response, the GMOE initiated a pilot program for English language activities in kindergartens during the 2020-21 school year, as per the decision of the Deputy Minister of Education and Religious Affairs (Government Gazette, 2020). The aim was to introduce children to a foreign language through creative and enjoyable activities, fostering comprehensive development. This initiative focused on providing cross-linguistic stimuli in a sensory-rich environment, emphasizing the use of new elements in English to enhance knowledge and cultivate values, standards, and lifestyles (Pentéri et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

The GMOE aimed to develop skills related to active citizenship and support the developmental characteristics of young children, respecting a holistic approach to learning. This was coupled with creative interdisciplinary activities aligned with the Pedagogical Principles of the Kindergarten Curriculum. Efforts were also made to enhance learning motivation by creating a collaborative framework that fosters interest and a pleasant learning atmosphere. Additionally, the GMOE emphasized the value of allowing children the freedom to deconstruct behaviors and cultivate cognitive, metacognitive, and social skills (Government Gazette, 2020; Pentéri et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Alexiou, 2023).

Introducing the English language aims to improve knowledge and adapt information to the new communicative environment. Through interculturality, it supports literacy, communication, and collaborative creativity (Papadopoulos & Agathokleous, 2020; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Alexiou, 2023). By implementing activities in English, students are encouraged to shape their identities as active citizens, engage in dialogue in a contemporary multicultural environment from an early age, and expose themselves to new linguistic stimuli. The focus is on developing oral communication skills naturally and implicitly rather than through strict language instruction (Government Gazette, 2020; Pentéri et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou, 2023).

Young children's temperament guides educators to find appropriate communication and knowledge dissemination methods, engaging the children's minds and hearts (Alexiou, 2015, pp. 285-301). By utilizing all learning frameworks of kindergarten and emphasizing real-life situations and authentic communication, educational actions and events are enriched with the English language. Children in kindergarten express themselves through various languages, such as movement, dramatization, and painting. These skills are developed through thematic activities that encourage collaborative learning, enabling children to work together to achieve tangible results and solve problems (Rothbart & Bates, 2006; Heilmann et al., 2010; Abulizi et al., 2017; Kirsch et al., 2020; Franck et al., 2022).

The emphasis is not primarily on the structural organization of the English language (i.e., syntax and grammar) but on its meaning and content, promoting conditions for research-based learning to understand the language (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou, 2023). The GMOE advocates for a shift in educational processes towards a model that connects knowledge with society and embraces contemporary cultural diversity (Papadopoulos & Agathokleous, 2020; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Alexiou, 2023).

In this modern educational model, the early introduction of the English language is vital. It is widely accepted that students become self-motivated and develop their skills when they experience satisfaction and joy in the school environment. Exposing children to a foreign language through activities and linguistic stimuli that emphasize the communicative nature of language is crucial. The value of acquiring a foreign language as a means of communication in our multicultural society has been demonstrated, with encouragement and promotion of new elements in English leading to increased knowledge and successful adaptation to the communicative environment. Evaluating knowledge, teaching practices, and societal acceptance of school knowledge provide insights into that society (Koyuncu et al., 2023; Gillon, 2023; Riad et al., 2023).

Program EAN (2021-2022): An Academic Overview

The Εισαγωγή της Αγγλικών (EAN), or *Introduction of English in Preschool Education program*, is designed to facilitate the integration of the English language through a comprehensive educational initiative. This program targets English language instructors, kindergarten educators, and educational personnel, enhancing their pedagogical practices. It emphasizes the systematic surveillance and oversight of English language incorporation in kindergartens, along with the development of versatile educational materials to provide comprehensive support to educators (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

During its inaugural year, the program's foundational elements included systematic oversight involving the collection of both quantitative and qualitative data from children, educators, *Special Education Educators* (SEEs), and parents. Additionally, targeted visits to kindergartens nationwide were conducted. Another critical component was the asynchronous training of over 18,000 registered educators, with approximately 15,000 completing the

training. This training focused on topics pertinent to innovative educational reform, extending to modern and asynchronous training for 70 SEEs specializing in English language and kindergarten education (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Integral to the program was producing exemplary educational material accessible to educators to enhance their pedagogical efforts and as a source of inspiration for crafting scenarios and activities in English. A fundamental aspect of the program involved establishing and sustaining the bilingual *Educational Portal* of EAN. This portal serves as a repository for educational materials, offering valuable insights and ideas for activities, games, and educational tools. Furthermore, it disseminates information about the program, its sub-projects, and various related activities (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Innovative Paradigms within the EAN Program: A Scholarly Perspective

In its inaugural year, the EAN program has introduced significant innovations in assimilating English in Greece and internationally. These advancements are substantiated by a meticulous evaluation of existing European research data and implementation models from foreign jurisdictions (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

English Linguistic Assimilation in Early Childhood Education

By departing from traditional methodologies, the program transcends the conventional treatment of the English language as a discrete cognitive entity. Instead, it aligns seamlessly with the socio-educational tendencies, foundational principles, and methodological contours of the contemporary *Preschool Education Curriculum* (Pentéri et al., 2021). This shift from a fractionalized or isolated pedagogy is based on recognizing children's pre-existing cognitive

abilities before introducing the new linguistic paradigm. Collaborative efforts among educators from various disciplines ensure continuity between programmatic implementation and the incorporation of creative activities in the English language, thereby enriching the overall pedagogical environment (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Integration of Content and Language within Education (CLIL)

The EAN program formally and systematically introduces the content and language-integrated learning (CLIL) methodology in Greece. CLIL is an educational approach where subjects are taught in a foreign language, integrating language and content learning objectives. This initiative underscores a commitment to fostering interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary learning within kindergartens through a methodologically comprehensive framework encapsulated in CLIL scenarios across various educational domains (Paschalidou, 2018, pp. 355-371; Papadopoulos & Agathokleous, 2020; Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Cohesive Educator Models

The EAN program spearheads a cohesive model of collaboration among educators in the Hellenic context, specifically bridging practitioners in English language education with kindergarten pedagogues. Despite directives stipulating that English language activities be conducted in the presence of the kindergarten teacher, EAN advocates for dynamic and active collaboration between English language educators and their kindergarten counterparts throughout the entire educational continuum (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Augmented Collaborative Frameworks

The collaborative framework is further strengthened through the conceptualization and implementation of collective training modules tailored for educators across both specialties (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Transition to Soft CLIL Pedagogical Constructs

Within the program's framework, a notable shift from activity-centered English language instruction principles, as traditionally implemented in higher educational tiers, towards developing soft CLIL educational scenarios. These scenarios provide a structured framework, clear purpose, and intrinsic value to the organic integration of the English language. This approach adheres to a child-centered pedagogy, promoting exploratory learning and active participation of children (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Holistic Progeny Development

EAN scenarios promote the holistic development of children, enhancing their cognitive skills, socio-emotional awareness, intercultural competence, and pedagogical values. This approach strengthens the individual child and significantly contributes to the formation of global citizens (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Sub-Projects within the EAN Program (2021-2022): A Structural Exegesis

The EAN initiative, a product of collaborative synergy among various stakeholders, designates the *Aristotle University of Thessaloniki* (AUTH) as the central orchestrator responsible for programmatic delineation. Complementary contributions come from the *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens* (NKUA) and the *Institute of Educational*

Policy (IEP). The initiative's interdisciplinary team comprises faculty members, adjunct lecturers, research associates, and external collaborators. The following elucidates the constituent sub-projects of the EAN program, further delineating the respective custodial institutions (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Sub-Project 1, titled *Design-Supervision of Program Implementation and Formation of Didactic and Pedagogical Artifacts*, involves the meticulous construction of investigative instruments and the application of both quantitative and qualitative methodological paradigms to encapsulate and scrutinize the perspectives and operational methodologies of involved entities. This sub-project's team also formulated an instructive regimen for English language educators and kindergarten instructors. Their remit included creating pedagogical materials emphasizing a comprehensive, socio-culturally attuned, interdisciplinary, and intercultural pedagogical ethos, alongside the promulgation of representative educational material and the establishment of an educational portal catering to the initiative's needs (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Sub-Project 2, titled *Training of Pedagogical Cadres and Educators in PE06 and PE60 for the Operationalization of the English Language Induction Program in Kindergarten*, focuses on creating a hybrid learning paradigm (encompassing both asynchronous and synchronous modalities) tailored for the pedagogical echelon. Leveraging the didactic corpus developed by *Sub-Project 1*, this module aimed to provide augmented support to SEEs across both specialized domains during collaborative capacity-building endeavors (refer to *Sub-Project 3* for further details) (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Completing the triad, *Sub-Project 3*, titled *Asynchronous Instructional Program for Educators and Pedagogical Personnel Envisaging the Introduction of the English Language in Kindergarten*, focused on the implementation of asynchronous instructional modules for educators and SEEs in both specialized areas. This instructional approach utilized the didactic artifacts developed by *Sub-Project 1* within the realm of asynchronous pedagogy, aiming to strengthen the proficiencies of educators and SEEs alike during the collaborative instructional trajectory (Alexiou et al., 2021; Alexiou et al., 2022; Alexiou & Penderi, 2022; Eurydice, 2023; Alexiou, 2023).

Theoretical Framework

This *Theoretical Framework* is a critical component of this study, as it provides a structured and systematic lens through which the complex dynamics of ESLA on FLD are examined. A robust theoretical framework comprehensively explains various factors influencing bilingual language and cognitive development (Varpio et al., 2020; Brydges & Batt, 2023). This framework integrates *Complex Dynamic Systems Theory* (CDST) and pertinent language acquisition theories to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the development of language skills in young children exposed to EFL in Greece.

The study focuses on the effects of ESLA on the FLD of young children in Greece. This context provides a unique opportunity to explore how early exposure to a foreign language impacts the development of the first language in a non-anglophone environment. The Greek educational system's recent policy shifts towards incorporating EFL in early childhood education necessitate an in-depth examination of the potential benefits and challenges associated with such an approach.

Essential language skills examined in this study include *phonological awareness, narrative, listening, receptive and expressive language skills, and literacy skills*. These skills

are fundamental to children's overall linguistic and cognitive development and are essential for academic success (Uccelli & Páez, 2007; Raban, 2014; Castles et al., 2018; Raposo-Rivas et al., 2024). By investigating these specific language domains, the study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of how ESLA influences FLD and identify the factors contributing to optimal bilingual development. The following sections will delve into the theoretical underpinnings of the study, drawing on CDST and other relevant language acquisition theories to provide a comprehensive and integrative perspective on bilingual language development.

Complex Dynamic Systems Theory

CDST offers a comprehensive framework for understanding language development by emphasizing language acquisition's interconnected, non-linear, and dynamic nature. This theory posits that language development emerges from the dynamic interactions among cognitive, social, and linguistic factors, making it particularly relevant for examining the effects of ESLA on FLD among young children. CDST is grounded in the principles of complexity science, which views systems as composed of multiple interconnected components that interact non-linearly. These interactions give rise to emergent properties that cannot be predicted from the individual components alone. In the context of language development, this means that the development of language skills is influenced by a multitude of factors, including individual cognitive abilities, social interactions, and environmental inputs (Larsen-Freeman, 2006; de Bot et al., 2007; Larsen–Freeman, 2019; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 3-5; Lowie & Verspoor, 2019; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022).

Core Tenets of CDST. The foundation of CDST is built on several interrelated principles that emphasize the complexity and dynamism of language development processes. These principles provide a lens through which the multifaceted nature of bilingual language development can be examined. The core tenets of CDST include the *Relational Principle*,

Dynamic Nonlinearity, Emergence, Interconnectedness, Co-Adaptation, Self-Organization, Context-Dependence, Holistic Perspective, and Temporal Dynamics. Each of these tenets highlights a specific aspect of the dynamic nature of language development and provides a foundation for understanding the intricate processes involved.

Relational Principle. The *Relational Principle* posits that phenomena do not exist in isolation but only concerning other phenomena. This principle is critical for understanding language development, emphasizing the interconnected nature of linguistic, cognitive, and social factors. In the context of bilingualism, the *Relational Principle* highlights how the development of language skills in one language is influenced by and influences the development of skills in the other language (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 21-24; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Dynamic Nonlinearity. *Dynamic Nonlinearity* refers to the non-linear nature of language development, characterized by variability, fluctuations, and feedback loops. This principle encompasses the concept of sensitivity to initial conditions, where minor differences at the beginning of the process can lead to significantly different outcomes. Language development is not a straightforward process but involves periods of rapid progress and occasional regressions. This non-linear nature means that small changes in one system component can lead to significant, sometimes unpredictable, changes in overall language proficiency. Feedback loops, where the output of a system influences its input, are integral to this process. For example, a slight increase in exposure to EFL can lead to substantial improvements in *Phonological Awareness* or *Narrative Skills*, depending on the specific interactions within the system (Verspoor & Van Dijk, 2011; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 3-5; Lowie & Verspoor, 2019; Larsen–Freeman, 2019; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Emergence. *Emergence* is the concept that new properties and patterns arise from the interactions of the system's components. This principle is closely related to sensitivity to initial conditions, as the system's initial state can influence the emergent properties. In language development, language skills such as *Phonological Awareness*, *Narrative Skills*, and *Listening Skills* emerge from the complex interplay of cognitive, social, and environmental factors. These emergent properties are not directly programmed or taught but arise spontaneously from the dynamic interactions within the system (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 31-33; Chang & Zhang, 2021; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Interconnectedness. *Interconnectedness* emphasizes that language development involves multiple interacting components. These components include cognitive processes, such as memory and attention; social interactions, such as communication with caregivers and peers; and environmental factors, such as exposure to linguistic input. The interconnected nature of these components, facilitated by feedback loops, means that changes in one area can have ripple effects throughout the system, influencing overall language development (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 21, 64-65, 141; Larsen-Freeman, 2019; Lowie & Verspoor, 2019; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Co-Adaptation. *Co-Adaptation* highlights the ability of language systems to change in response to internal and external stimuli through feedback loops. This principle underscores the variability in how children develop and use two or more languages. Factors such as exposure to each language, social interactions, and cognitive development interact in complex ways to influence language outcomes. Systems constantly reorganize their internal structures to adapt to new situations, optimizing their interactions with the environment (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 26-27; Lowie & Verspoor, 2019; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Self-Organization. *Self-Organization* is the spontaneous development of structure and patterns from local interactions among system components without external control. This principle is particularly relevant to language development, where children naturally and gradually organize their linguistic knowledge through interaction with their environment and exposure to language inputs. Feedback loops are essential here, as they help the system to stabilize and evolve. The development of *Narrative Skills*, for instance, can be seen as a self-organizing process where children integrate their experiences and linguistic inputs to construct coherent stories (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 31-33; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Context-Dependence. *Context-Dependence* emphasizes that language development is deeply influenced by the context in which it occurs. This includes the social, cultural, and environmental factors that shape linguistic experiences. Language development cannot be fully understood without considering the specific contexts in which it takes place, as these contexts provide the necessary conditions for the development and use of language skills (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 28-29; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Holistic Perspective. The *Holistic Perspective* in CDST involves viewing language development as an integrated process where cognitive, social, and environmental factors are interwoven. This perspective underscores the need to consider the entire language system, rather than isolating individual components, to understand how language skills develop and interact. Feedback loops are essential. It highlights the importance of examining language development as a whole, encompassing all aspects of linguistic and cognitive development (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 20, 46, 64, 71; Larsen–Freeman, 2019; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Temporal Dynamics. *Temporal Dynamics* refers to the consideration of time in understanding language development. This includes recognizing that language development is a process that unfolds over time, with past experiences influencing current development and future trajectories. Feedback loops play a crucial role here, ensuring that past interactions can influence future development. The temporal aspect is crucial for understanding how language skills evolve and change in response to varying linguistic inputs and interactions (Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 24-25; Larsen–Freeman, 2019; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022; Hiver et al., 2022).

Application to Language Development. CDST provides a robust framework for understanding how different aspects of language skills, such as *Phonological Awareness*, *Narrative Skills*, *Listening Skills*, *Receptive and Expressive Language Skills*, and *Literacy Skills*, develop through dynamic interactions. By viewing language development as a complex, adaptive system, CDST allows researchers to examine how these skills evolve in response to varying linguistic inputs and social interactions (Ellis & Larsen-Freeman, 2006; Elhassan et al., 2017; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 21, 71-72; Khan et al., 2021; Li & Woore, 2021; Chang & Zhang, 2021).

For instance, *Phonological Awareness*, which involves the ability to recognize and manipulate language sounds, can be significantly influenced by exposure to EFL. The dynamic interactions between EFL exposure and existing phonological knowledge can lead to enhanced (or potentially adverse) *Phonological Awareness* as children learn to navigate and distinguish between the phonetic structures of both languages. Similarly, *Narrative Skills*, which involve the ability to construct and understand stories, can be enriched through exposure to diverse linguistic inputs and storytelling traditions present in EFL contexts (Ellis & Larsen-Freeman, 2006; Elhassan et al., 2017; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2019, pp. 21, 71-72; Khan et al., 2021; Li & Woore, 2021).

CDST in Practice. CDST has been applied in various studies to explore the dynamic nature of language development. For example, research has shown that complex syntactic structures in a second language emerge from continuous exposure and adaptation, characterized by periods of instability and bifurcation. These studies highlight the importance of viewing language development as a process of continuous adaptation, where linguistic proficiency evolves through iterative interactions within the linguistic environment (Evans & Larsen-Freeman, 2020; Chang & Zhang, 2021; Verspoor & Lowie, 2022).

In the context of this study, CDST provides a valuable lens for examining how ESLA impacts the FLD among young children in Greece. By considering bilingual development's holistic and adaptive nature, CDST allows for a nuanced understanding of how various language skills develop in response to early EFL exposure. This perspective is essential for identifying the factors contributing to optimal bilingual development and informing educational practices and policies supporting effective language learning.

Connection to Literature Review

This study's theoretical framework and research questions are deeply interconnected with the existing literature on bilingualism, language acquisition, and cognitive development. This section elucidates how the theories and empirical evidence presented in the literature review support and inform the theoretical and empirical aspects of this study, focusing on the integration of CDST, *First Language Development*, *Age and the Critical Period Hypothesis* (CPH), *Second Language Acquisition* (SLA) theories, and *Communication Disorders*.

First Language Development. The literature on FLD provides foundational insights into how children acquire their native language. Studies have consistently shown that FLD is a complex, dynamic process influenced by many cognitive, social, and environmental factors. The principles of CDST align with this perspective, emphasizing the interconnectedness and

context-dependent nature of language development. For instance, Tomasello's (2003) usage-based theory of language acquisition, which posits that children learn language through social interaction and cognitive processes, supports the CDST's focus on the dynamic interactions between linguistic inputs and cognitive development (Lowie & Verspoor, 2019; Hiver et al., 2022).

Research on FLD also highlights the importance of early exposure to rich linguistic environments. This aligns with the CPH, which posits an optimal period during early childhood when the brain is particularly receptive to language learning (Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021). The integration of these insights into the theoretical framework of this study underscores the importance of examining how early exposure to EFL interacts with the ongoing development of the first language.

Age and the Critical Period Hypothesis. The CPH is a central theory in understanding the timing and effectiveness of language development. Studies reviewed in the literature consistently support the notion that younger learners acquire languages more efficiently and achieve higher proficiency levels than older learners (Lenneberg, 1967; Newport, 1990; Hartshorne et al., 2018). Neuroimaging studies, such as those by Kuhl (2010), provide empirical evidence for heightened brain plasticity during early childhood, facilitating language acquisition (Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021).

The literature also discusses the implications of the CPH for bilingual education, suggesting that early introduction of a second language can leverage this critical period to enhance bilingual proficiency. This theoretical insight directly informs the design of this study, which investigates the impact of early EFL exposure to FLD on young children. By situating this research within the framework of CDST, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on the optimal timing for language instruction (Oh et al., 2019).

Second Language Acquisition Theories. The literature on SLA theories comprehensively explains second language learning mechanisms, including Krashen's Input Hypothesis, Vygotsky's Interactionist Theory, and Bialystok's Dual Language Systems Theory. Krashen's *Input Hypothesis* emphasizes the importance of comprehensible input in language learning, suggesting that exposure to language slightly beyond the learner's current proficiency level ($i+1$) is crucial for acquisition (Krashen, 1985). This principle informs the pedagogical approaches in Greek EFL programs, which aim to provide rich linguistic inputs that facilitate language learning.

Vygotsky's *Sociocultural Theory* and Long's *Interaction Hypothesis* further highlight the role of social interaction and meaningful communication in language acquisition (Vygotsky, 1978; Long, 1996). These theories support implementing interactive and communicative teaching methods in EFL classrooms to engage learners in meaningful linguistic exchanges. The emphasis on interaction and feedback aligns with the principles of CDST, which views language development as a dynamic process shaped by social interactions and contextual factors.

Furthermore, Bialystok's *Dual Language Systems Theory* offers insights into the cognitive benefits of bilingualism, such as enhanced executive control and metalinguistic awareness. The literature review underscores the cognitive advantages of bilingualism, providing a theoretical basis for exploring how early EFL exposure can enhance cognitive development in young learners. This theoretical perspective is integrated into the research hypotheses, which examine the potential cognitive benefits of bilingual education (Bialystok, 2018).

Communication Disorders. The study of *Communication Disorders* within the context of bilingualism highlights the complex interplay between multiple linguistic systems.

The literature review discusses how bilingualism can influence the diagnosis and manifestation of *Communication Disorders*, with some studies suggesting that bilingual individuals may exhibit different patterns of language use compared to monolinguals. CDST provides a valuable framework for understanding these complexities, emphasizing language development's non-linear and emergent nature.

Research on *Phonological Awareness, Narrative Skills, Listening Skills, Receptive and Expressive Language Skills, and Literacy Skills* in bilingual children further supports the need to examine these language domains within a dynamic systems framework. Studies have shown that bilingual children often develop heightened Phonological Awareness and Narrative Skills, which are crucial for literacy development. These findings align with the theoretical principles of CDST and SLA theories, underscoring the importance of investigating how early EFL exposure influences these specific language skills (Norbury et al., 2016).

CDST Integration With The Research Questions and Hypotheses

Integrating CDST into the research questions and hypotheses provides a robust framework for examining the intricate interplay between ESLA and FLD among young children in Greece. CDST emphasizes language development's interconnected, non-linear, and dynamic nature, which is critical for understanding how various factors influence bilingual language development.

Research Question 1. Is there any statistically significant differentiation in the performance of *Young Children* in their L1 prior to and upon the completion of the intervention of early foreign language teaching/learning?

H1₀ (Null Hypothesis): Introducing ESLA to preschoolers does not lead to changes in their FLD.

H1_a (Alternative Hypothesis): ESLA results in alterations in FLD among Greek preschool children.

The *Relational Principle* within CDST posits that language skills are interconnected and do not develop in isolation. This principle underpins the examination of whether ESLA influences the development of the first language, suggesting that early exposure to English could potentially modify Greek language skills. The *Dynamic Nonlinearity* principle, which highlights variability and feedback loops, supports investigating whether early English exposure leads to significant changes in Greek language proficiency, indicating that even minor initial differences in language exposure might lead to diverse outcomes.

Research Question 2. Do participants in Group B show any significant differences in the Logometro® test compared to Group A?

H2_o (Null Hypothesis). Early EFL teaching does not significantly alter performance on the Logometro® test compared to non-EFL settings.

H2_a (Alternative Hypothesis). Early EFL exposure impacts performance on the Logometro® test, indicating differences in language skills development.

The principle of *Emergence* within CDST, which explains how new properties arise from the interactions within a system, directly applies to this research question. The *Emergence* of specific language skills as measured by the Logometro® test can be viewed as a result of the dynamic interactions between EFL exposure and existing linguistic abilities. *Interconnectedness* further emphasizes how multiple factors, including cognitive processes and social interactions, contribute to language proficiency, supporting the hypothesis that EFL instruction may significantly impact various linguistic competencies.

Research Question 3. Do the results suggest that EFL acquisition adversely affects young children's L1(Greek) acquisition ability?

H3₀ (Null Hypothesis): Early EFL acquisition does not hinder Greek (L1) development.

H3_a (Alternative Hypothesis): Early exposure to EFL might impede L1 acquisition, potentially causing delays or disorders in L1 progress.

The principle of *Co-Adaptation* within CDST highlights the ability of language systems to change in response to internal and external stimuli. This principle is essential for exploring whether early EFL exposure could negatively impact Greek language development, suggesting that language systems must adapt to introducing a new language. *Context-Dependence* also plays a crucial role, emphasizing that language development is influenced by the specific social, cultural, and environmental contexts, which could explain the potential adverse effects of EFL on Greek language skills.

Research Question 4. How can this research be used to develop a questionnaire or system to help the government officials of Greece make better-informed policy decisions?

H4₀ (Null Hypothesis): The ESLA and FLD interaction study does not offer insights into policies or methodological changes in Greece.

H4_a (Alternative Hypothesis): The study on ESLA impacts language development, stressing the importance of policies and teaching methods addressing these dynamics.

The *Holistic Perspective* in CDST, which views language development as an integrated process involving cognitive, social, and environmental factors, supports formulation of comprehensive educational policies. The *Temporal Dynamics* principle, recognizing that language development unfolds over time with past experiences influencing future trajectories, underscores the importance of longitudinal data in shaping policy decisions. By applying CDST principles, this research aims to provide evidence-based recommendations that inform educational policies and practices, ensuring that they accommodate the dynamic nature of bilingual language development and optimize learning outcomes.

In conclusion, building upon the theoretical foundation established by CDST, this study seeks to delve into existing research that explores the multifaceted dynamics of bilingual language development, specifically focusing on the impact of ESLA on FLD. The ensuing literature review will examine seminal and contemporary studies investigating the interplay between FLD, *Age and the CPH*, SLA theories, *Communication Disorders*, and cognitive outcomes in bilingual children. Key areas of exploration will include the role of *phonological awareness*, *narrative skills*, *listening comprehension*, *receptive and expressive language skills*, *literacy development in bilingual settings*, and the cognitive advantages associated with bilingualism. Furthermore, the review will scrutinize empirical evidence on the effects of early language exposure on language and cognitive development, highlighting gaps in the current research and positioning this study within the broader discourse on bilingual education and policy-making. Through this comprehensive examination, the literature review aims to contextualize the study's research questions and hypotheses, providing a robust empirical backdrop against which the study's findings will be analyzed and interpreted.

First Language Development

Introduction

First Language Development (FLD), also denoted as first language acquisition or L1 acquisition, delineates the innate course whereby individuals naturally attain proficiency in their native language during the early stages of childhood (Hutauruk, 2015; Izar et al., 2020). This phenomenon represents a nuanced and remarkable manifestation of human aptitude to seamlessly and expeditiously acquire intricate linguistic systems (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 3). The purview of FLD encompasses a diverse array of linguistic facets, encompassing *phonology* (the system of sounds), *morphology* (the structure of words), *syntax* (the configuration of sentences), *semantics* (signification), and *pragmatics* (the utilization of

language within context). This multifaceted progression is orchestrated through interactions with primary caregivers, exposure to linguistic stimuli, and the inherent cognitive mechanisms that facilitate language development. This scholarly examination of FLD furnishes profound insights into the cognitive apparatus intrinsic to humanity and elucidates broader realms within psychology, linguistics, and cognitive science.

Furthermore, FLD unveils the intricate interplay between biological predispositions, cognitive mechanisms, and environmental factors in shaping linguistic competence (Baihaqi, 2020). This process, where infants effortlessly progress from babbling to constructing complex sentences, underscores the unparalleled nature of human communication abilities. This section delves into the foundational concepts of FLD, exploring the sequential emergence of linguistic components and the pivotal role of caregiver interaction. By scrutinizing the nuanced interactions between nature and nurture, this exploration seeks to illuminate the mechanisms underpinning FLD and shed light on its implications for broader cognitive and linguistic theories. These linguistic theories (*Behaviorism*, *Innateness Theory*, *Interactionist Approaches*, and *Constructivism*, which will be discussed in depth) each seek to explain the two fundamental challenges of FLD, which are, to wit, the *Logical Problem of Language Acquisition* and the *Developmental Problem of Language Acquisition*. An explication of these aforementioned conundrums of FLD will be succinctly analyzed in the subsequent discourses.

Logical Problem of Language Acquisition

The *Logical Problem of Language Acquisition* (LPLA) represents a theoretical challenge intrinsic to the expeditious and efficacious development of native language grammar by children. Initially postulated by Noam Chomsky, a luminary linguist, and cognitive scientist, this theoretical puzzle revolves around the fundamental notion that language development cannot be exclusively explicated through the linguistic input children are exposed to in their

environment. Chomsky posited that the concept of the *Poverty of Stimulus*, denoting the limited and frequently imperfect linguistic input accessible to children, fails to provide a comprehensive account for the intricate and elaborate linguistic structures they ultimately acquire (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 3-9). The salient tenets of the LPLA can be succinctly encapsulated as follows.

Complexity of Grammar. Human languages manifest an intricate and meticulously structured grammar replete with regulations governing sentence structure, word order, tense, agreement, and other linguistic attributes. It is a striking phenomenon that children demonstrate a seemingly expeditious and precise development of these intricate grammatical principles, notwithstanding the fact that the linguistic input they are exposed to is frequently characterized by its partiality and inherent ambiguity (Ramirez et al., 2013; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 15).

Lack of Explicit Instruction. Children do not undergo explicit instruction in the prescriptive grammar rules in language acquisition. Instead, they are immersed in a milieu replete with a diverse array of linguistic expressions. Remarkably, children can generate and comprehend sentences hitherto unencountered in their linguistic exposure (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 3-4).

Rapid and Universal Development. Children exhibit a rapid and ubiquitous proclivity for language development, irrespective of the particular linguistic system under consideration. The celerity and uniformity characterizing the process of language development across diverse linguistic frameworks underscore the presence of inherent cognitive mechanisms presumably orchestrating this phenomenon (Hutauruk, 2015; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 4).

In essence, the LPLA posits the necessity of an innate, biologically predetermined cognitive architecture, namely a *Language Acquisition Device* or *Universal Grammar* (terms which will be discussed in the subsequent sections), which affords children the capacity to

proficiently assimilate the grammatical intricacies of their native language despite the constraints of the limited linguistic input at their disposal (Hutauruk, 2015). Noam Chomsky propounded the premise that human beings are innately endowed with a proclivity for language acquisition, and this inherent linguistic acumen serves as the guiding force in language development. While the LPLA underscores a formidable challenge in elucidating the phenomenon of language development, it has concurrently engendered extensive scholarly inquiry into the cognitive mechanisms underpinning language development, thereby contributing substantively to the advancement of the domains of linguistics and cognitive science (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 3-9, 15).

Developmental Problem of Language Acquisition

The second challenge within the domain of language development, denoted as the *Developmental Problem of Language Acquisition* (DPLA), constitutes a theoretical framework concerned with examining the progressive maturation of language aptitude in children over time. The primary focus of DPLA centers on the comprehensive elucidation of the sequential patterns and developmental stages that delineate the evolutionary trajectory of linguistic competency in children. This problem engenders inquiries into the temporal emergence of various linguistic attributes and proficiencies during a child's linguistic maturation. The core tenet of the DPLA revolves around the pivotal inquiry into the mechanisms that govern the transition of children from rudimentary, early linguistic expressions to more intricate and nuanced linguistic constructs. This paradigm comprehensively encompasses a spectrum of linguistic domains, encompassing *phonology*, *syntax*, *semantics*, and *pragmatics* (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 9-10). Succinctly stated, salient aspects pertinent to the DPLA can be encapsulated as follows.

Developmental Milestones. FLD is a complex process that involves a series of developmental milestones that occur in a roughly predictable sequence, although individual variation can exist (Ramirez et al., 2013). A succinct discourse of said milestones can be chronologically encapsulated as follows.

Phonological Development. Infants embark upon their linguistic development by engaging in the phonological discrimination of their native language's sounds in contrast to those in other linguistic systems. Innately endowed with the capacity to discern all phonemes across various languages, their exposure to their indigenous linguistic environment facilitates the refinement of their perceptual sensitivity to the particular phonemic repertoire of their native tongue. At six months, infants generate repetitive sound sequences, commonly called babbling. Initially, these vocalizations exhibit a universal character; however, over time, they undergo a gradual refinement, ultimately aligning with the phonetic nuances characteristic of the specific language or languages to which the infants are exposed (Ramirez et al., 2013; Hutaauruk, 2015; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Semantic Development. Typically, children embark upon the initial stages of lexical acquisition at approximately one year of age by assimilating their first linguistic units. Initially, they tend to acquire concrete nouns, primarily denoting tangible objects within their immediate perceptual milieu. As their cognitive development unfolds, their lexicon expands to encompass a broader semantic spectrum, incorporating verbs, adjectives, and abstract conceptualizations. It is noteworthy that children exhibit a notable proficiency in the swift assimilation of novel lexemes and their respective semantic referents, frequently accomplishing this feat with limited exposure. This cognitive phenomenon is denoted as *Fast Mapping* and holds significant import in the context of the burgeoning lexicon, as it constitutes a pivotal mechanism driving

vocabulary augmentation (Gelman & Brandone, 2010; Ramirez et al., 2013; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Morphological and Syntactic Development. Within the developmental trajectory of children, explicitly spanning the age range from 18 months to 2 years, a noteworthy linguistic milestone emerges as children amalgamate words into rudimentary phrases or sentences. In the initial stages of this linguistic evolution, the resultant sentences often exhibit a telegraphic quality, marked by the absence of function words and bound morphemes, yet they effectively convey fundamental semantic content. Additionally, children may tend to overgeneralize grammatical rules they have encountered in their linguistic environment, manifesting, for instance, in using forms such as *goed* instead of *went*. This phase in linguistic development signifies an emerging comprehension of the inherent grammatical structure, albeit without an encompassing grasp of the exceptions and nuances inherent to these rules (Baihaqi, 2020; Hutaurok, 2015; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Pragmatic Development. At around two years of age, children undergo a developmental process wherein they acquire the conventions governing interpersonal discourse, encompassing the principles of turn-taking during conversational exchanges and the adeptness to discern and appropriately respond to contextual cues provided by interlocutors. As they progress in their linguistic maturation, children exhibit a heightened capacity for the judicious deployment of language, adapting their communicative styles to suit the nuances of diverse communicative settings, including distinctions between formal and informal modes of speech (Hutaurok, 2015; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Metalinguistic Awareness. With advancing developmental stages, children cultivate metalinguistic awareness, denoting their capacity to engage in metacognitive reflection and discourse concerning language as an object of study. This cognitive maturation facilitates their

ability to engage in deliberations regarding the intricacies of grammar rules, discern and grapple with linguistic ambiguity, and engage in the playful manipulation of language, often involving humoristic elements (Ramirez et al., 2013; Hutaurok, 2015; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Narrative Development. As children advance through the formative school-age period, they exhibit an increasing proficiency in narrative composition. Their cognitive and linguistic development facilitates the acquisition of narrative competence, encompassing the aptitude to delineate narratives with a discernible architectural framework comprising introductory, middle, and concluding segments. Concurrently, they cultivate the ability to adeptly convey intricate concepts and emotions within the storytelling context, demonstrating heightened narrative prowess (Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Reading and Writing. In the developmental trajectory of children, typically observed at the juncture of approximately 4 to 5 years of age, a notable milestone emerges as they manifest the early signs of emergent literacy competencies. These nascent skills encompass the capacity to identify individual letters within the written alphabet and the nascent understanding that written symbols carry semantic significance. This phase constitutes a pivotal juncture in their cognitive development, laying the foundation for progressing into reading and writing proficiency (Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

It is imperative to underscore that these developmental milestones are not immutable but rather subject to variation concerning the timing and velocity of their attainment across individual children. Diverse factors, such as the extent of exposure to linguistically enriched environments, inherent individual disparities, and cultural contextual influences, can considerably impact the trajectory of FLD. Nonetheless, notwithstanding these variabilities,

these milestones furnish a foundational schema for comprehending the remarkable journey undertaken by children as they embark upon the development of their native language.

Order of Acquisition. A discernible pattern emerges in the sequence of grammatical structures children acquire, indicating a consistent developmental order. Notably, specific grammatical morphemes, including verb tense endings and pluralization markers, are frequently among the linguistic elements acquired at later stages of language development (Ramirez et al., 2013; Hutaurok, 2015; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020).

Overgeneralization. Children commonly exhibit a propensity for overgeneralization in applying linguistic rules they have assimilated during language development. An illustrative example of this phenomenon includes the tendency to employ a regular plural suffix -s to irregular nouns (e.g., *mouses* instead of *mice*) as they endeavor to apply a learned rule despite its incongruity with the particular irregular case in question (Baihaqi, 2020).

Critical Period Hypothesis. The process of language development exhibits a notable phase of accelerated and effective development during a specific sensitive window in early childhood. This temporal span is typically delineated as extending from infancy to approximately the onset of puberty. The theoretical construct of a critical period posits the possibility of a finite temporal window wherein the optimal maturation of language capabilities may transpire, suggesting that beyond this period, the acquisition of language may encounter heightened challenges and increased complexity (Siahaan, 2022). The CPH will be explored in depth in a subsequent section. In the subsequent sections, this paper will explore some of the more prominent theories of FLD (*Behaviorism, Innateness Theory, Interactionist Approaches, and Constructivism*), each providing a unique explication of how FLD occurs.

Behaviorism

The behaviorist viewpoint finds its theoretical origins in the work of prominent figures such as John B. Watson and B.F. Skinner emphasizes the significance of environmental factors and overtly observable behaviors in FLD. This perspective, rooted in behaviorism, advances the premise that language development predominantly results from conditioning, reinforcement, and imitation. According to this theoretical framework, the development of language by children ensues through repetitive exposure to linguistic stimuli, followed by consequent reinforcement mechanisms enacted by caregivers and the surrounding milieu (Dastpak et al., 2017; Al-Harbi, 2020; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020 p. 15). The core tenets of the *Behaviorist* approach to FLD can be encapsulated as follows.

Imitation and Reinforcement. From the vantage point of *Behaviorism*, language development in children unfolds through emulation, wherein children endeavor to replicate the linguistic patterns and phonetic manifestations they encounter from their caregivers and other individuals within their immediate surroundings. Initially, infants engage in a repertoire of vocalizations; however, with time, they progressively strive to reproduce the sounds and lexical items most frequently encountered in their linguistic environment. As an illustrative example, a child may mimic parental enunciations such as *mama* or *dada*. Importantly, when these imitative efforts by children elicit affirmative reinforcement in the form of expressions of approval, physical affection, or heightened attention, this positive feedback reinforces the likelihood of subsequent repetition of the exact words and verbal expressions (Dastpak et al., 2017; Budiman, 2017; Al-Harbi, 2020).

Association and Conditioning. Association constitutes a foundational concept within the Behaviorism framework, central to examining the cognitive linkages established between stimuli, encompassing entities like words and the ensuing responses or consequences they

engender. Within language development, association pertains to the cognitive process through which children forge connections between lexical items or phonetic elements and their respective semantic signification, a process facilitated by recurrent exposure to particular linguistic stimuli (Budiman, 2017; Al-Harbi, 2020).

Process of Association. Association entails the establishment of cognitive linkages between disparate environmental constituents. In the context of language development, children forge associations between linguistic stimuli and the tangible referents, actions, or abstract notions they signify. This cognitive process transpires organically as children receive linguistic input from their caretakers and the ambient milieu. An example of association can be demonstrated by contemplating a scenario wherein a child is consistently exposed to the term *apple* in conjunction with the visual perception or presentation of an actual apple. The child establishes a cognitive linkage through the sustained confluence of the auditory representation of the utterance, apple, and the physical fruit. This persistent reinforcement culminates in the child internalizing the semantic association, affording them the capacity to employ the lexical item *apple* to denote the fruit independently of explicit visual prompts, thereby demonstrating the acquisition of a lexico-referential competency (Shanks, 2010; Dastpak et al., 2017; Estremera, 2023).

Word-Meaning Connections. The language development journey in children is profoundly intertwined with the development of word-meaning connections. This process begins when a caregiver uses a linguistic utterance, such as the word *dog*, while simultaneously pointing to or showing a canine. In this instance, the child embarks on the task of creating an associative link between the auditory stimulus of the word *dog* and the visual and perhaps tactile experience of the actual animal. This is not a mere act of memorization but a complex cognitive process where the child starts to understand that specific sounds (words) are

consistently associated with particular objects or concepts in their environment (Hills et al., 2009; Bilson et al., 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Schmitt, 2019).

As the child encounters repeated instances where the word *dog* is used in the animal's presence, they begin to solidify this connection. It's a gradual layering of experiences, where each occurrence reinforces the association. Over time, this process leads to a robust mental network of word-meaning connections. Each word the child learns gets woven into this tapestry, creating an ever-expanding vocabulary that is intrinsically linked to the child's understanding of their environment (Hills et al., 2009; Bilson et al., 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Schmitt, 2019).

This mental network serves as essential cognitive scaffolding. It aids the child in navigating their environment and expressing their thoughts, needs, and feelings. When children learn that the word *dog* represents the animal, they can think about dogs even when none are present, recognize dogs in different contexts (such as in pictures or stories), and communicate about dogs with others. This cognitive achievement is a crucial milestone in language development, as it forms the foundation for more complex linguistic skills, like sentence construction and abstract thinking (Hills et al., 2009; Bilson et al., 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Schmitt, 2019).

Moreover, this associative learning extends beyond tangible objects like dogs. Children associate words with actions, emotions, and abstract concepts as children grow, further enriching their language comprehension and expressive abilities. The role of caregivers in facilitating this process cannot be overstated. Their consistent and contextually relevant use of language provides the necessary stimuli for children to build and strengthen these word-meaning connections, ultimately paving the way for effective communication and cognitive development (Hills et al., 2009; Bilson et al., 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Schmitt, 2019).

Semantic Networks. *Behaviorist* theories in linguistics assert that language learning is primarily a process of forming associations between stimuli and responses. In this paradigm, *Semantic Networks* are integral in understanding how these associations are structured and utilized in language development. *Semantic Networks*, as proposed in the *Behaviorist* context, are complex systems where words (lexical units) are interconnected based on their meanings and relationships. These connections are not random but contingent upon each word's semiotic significance and their inherent relationality. *Semiotic Significance* refers to each word's meaning and symbolic value (Leeder, 2022; Melvin-Brown et al., 2023; Banaruee et al., 2023; Estremera, 2023).

For example, consider the lexical items *dog*, *cat*, and *rabbit*. These words would be linked in a Semantic Network due to their common characteristics. They are all animate beings, sharing the broader ontological category of animals. This linkage is based on superficial similarity and deeper semantic relationships. Such connections enable individuals, especially children in the phase of FLD, to categorize and understand these words within a broader semantic context (Leeder, 2022; Melvin-Brown et al., 2022; Banaruee et al., 2023; Estremera, 2023).

Moreover, *Behaviorist* theories emphasize the role of reinforcement in strengthening these associative linkages. When a child correctly identifies or uses a word like *dog* in context, positive reinforcement (such as praise from a caregiver) strengthens the association between the word and its referent in the real world. This reinforcement is crucial to the child's ability to effectively build and navigate their semantic network. Furthermore, the concept of a *Semantic Network* goes beyond just grouping similar words. It involves understanding how different concepts are interrelated. For instance, the word *dog* might be linked to *cat*, *rabbit*, and other concepts like *pet*, *fur*, and *bark*. Each association helps build a more comprehensive

understanding of the word and its usage (Leeder, 2022; Melvin-Brown et al., 2022; Banaruee et al., 2023; Estremera, 2023).

Generalization and Discrimination. *Association* assumes a salient role in the cognitive processes of *Generalization* and *Discrimination* within the context of language development. *Generalization* manifests when a child extrapolates by applying a specific word to encompass analogous objects or scenarios. As an illustrative instance, a child who has acquired the term *dog* might employ it in Generalization to denote other creatures sharing common attributes such as quadrupedal locomotion and fur. Conversely, *Discrimination* denotes the cognitive ability of the child to discern nuances among akin lexical items, each possessing distinctive meanings and referents (Zhao, 2022).

Challenges and Overextensions. This phenomenon is a notable aspect of early language development. *Overextensions* occur when a child applies a known word to a broader range of contexts or objects than is appropriate. For instance, a child might use the word *ball* to refer to a ball and any round object like an orange, a balloon, or even the moon. This is not merely a random error but reflects the child's ongoing process of understanding and categorizing the world. The child recognizes the shared characteristic (roundness) and extends the word *ball* to all similar objects. From a *Behaviorist* perspective, this could be seen as an attempt to use existing linguistic tools (words) to label and make sense of new experiences (Rescorla, 1980; Banli, 2018; Pinto & Xu, 2021).

In addition, language development is fraught with challenges, as children must navigate a complex linguistic environment. *Overextensions* are just one example of the difficulties they face. Another challenge is the correct application of grammatical rules. Children often overgeneralize grammar rules before learning the exceptions, leading to errors like *goed* instead of *went* or *mice* instead of *mice*. These errors, like *Overextensions*, are indications of an active

learning process, not signs of failure. Furthermore, *Behaviorism* emphasizes the role of reinforcement in learning. When a child uses language correctly (or even attempts to use it), positive responses from caregivers and others encourage further linguistic exploration and refinement. On the other hand, corrections and clarifications also play a role, providing feedback that helps the child refine their understanding and use of language (Rescorla, 1980; Banli, 2018; Pinto & Xu, 2021).

According to *Behaviorism*, children learn language by associating words with objects, actions, or concepts. When a child overextends a word, it is an attempt to use known associations to make sense of unfamiliar situations. This extrapolation is a fundamental part of learning, not just in language but in all cognitive development. It demonstrates the child's ability to apply learned concepts to new contexts, a skill crucial for learning and adaptation (Rescorla, 1980; Banli, 2018; Pinto & Xu, 2021).

Limitations of Association. While the role of *Association* is a substantial facet in the intricate domain of language development, it falls short of providing a comprehensive elucidation of the multifaceted phenomenon of language development. *Association*, linking stimuli and responses, is fundamental in understanding how children learn and use language. However, children's language development often transcends the bounds of simple *Association*. They demonstrate the ability to generate new linguistic expressions and understand rules of syntax that they have not been explicitly taught, indicating that language development involves more than just learning through *Association* and *Reinforcement* (Canale, 2022; Jaekel et al., 2023).

This observed phenomenon, where children grasp complex linguistic concepts without direct instruction, suggests the involvement of inherent cognitive capacities. These innate mechanisms enable children to infer rules and patterns in language, aligning with the *Poverty*

of the Stimulus argument, which posits that the linguistic input children receive is insufficient to explain their comprehensive understanding of language. This implies an innate language faculty, possibly encompassing a fine-tuned universal grammatical framework based on the specific language environment a child is exposed to (Canale, 2022; Jaekel et al., 2023).

Moreover, children learn words and their meanings and understand how to construct sentences that follow the syntactic rules of their language, often developing in predictable stages. This further indicates inherent cognitive structures guiding language development. While associative learning is a crucial component in language development, particularly in vocabulary acquisition and the initial stages of language learning, the role of innate cognitive mechanisms becomes more evident in the acquisition of syntax and grammar. This suggests that language development is a multifaceted process that involves both learned associations and inherent cognitive abilities, highlighting the limitations of *Association* in fully accounting for the complex process of linguistic assimilation (Canale, 2022; Jaekel et al., 2023).

Operant Conditioning. *Operant Conditioning*, a foundational tenet within *Behaviorism*, provides a framework for comprehending how human behaviors are modulated by their associated outcomes. Originating from the pioneering work of B.F. This theoretical construct posits that behaviors yielding favorable consequences are predisposed to repetition, whereas those engendering adverse repercussions tend to diminish in recurrence. Within language development, *Operant Conditioning* is pivotal in elucidating the mechanisms by which children acquire and refine their linguistic competencies, as substantiated by research findings. This theoretical framework introduces the concept of the *Operant*, which signifies a behavior that influences the environment, thereby engendering specific effects. These resultant effects can encompass either reinforcement or punishment, thereby dictating the propensity of the behavior to manifest in subsequent instances (Skinner, 1992/2020; Dastpak et al., 2017;

Sturdy & Nicoladis, 2017; Nor & Rashid, 2018). There are several underlying principles of *Operant Conditioning*, which will be succinctly explored in the subsequent sections.

Reinforcement. *Reinforcement*, a fundamental concept within *Behaviorism*, pertains to strategically using consequences to augment the likelihood of a specific behavior recurring. Within the realm of reinforcement, two primary categories are discerned. The first category is termed *Positive Reinforcement*, which entails the introduction of a desirable stimulus to heighten the frequency of targeted behavior. For instance, when a child correctly enunciates a novel word, and the caregiver responds with expressions of approval such as smiles, applause, or verbal commendations, the child is incentivized to incorporate that word into their lexicon more frequently. The second category, *Negative Reinforcement*, conversely involves the removal of an aversive stimulus as an incentive for the manifestation of a particular behavior. An illustrative instance can be elucidated through a child who commences the use of polite expressions like *please* and *thank you* to forestall reprimands or admonishments from caregivers (Skinner, 1992/2020; Sturdy & Nicoladis, 2017; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Punishment. *Punishment*, a central construct within the behavioral paradigm, entails strategically implementing consequences to diminish the propensity for a specific behavior to recur. Within the realm of punishment, two distinct modalities are recognized. Firstly, *Positive Punishment* entails the introduction of an aversive stimulus as a means to attenuate the frequency of a targeted behavior. For instance, a caregiver might elevate their vocal tone in response to a child's employment of inappropriate language, thereby reducing the child's utilization of such language in subsequent instances. Secondly, *Negative Punishment* involves the withdrawal of a desirable stimulus to discourage a particular behavior. For example, if a child resorts to tantrums in an attempt to acquire a coveted toy, a caregiver might opt to

confiscate the toy, consequently diminishing the likelihood of future instances of tantrum display (Skinner, 1992/2020; Sturdy & Nicoladis, 2017; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Application to Language Development. *Operant Conditioning* assumes considerable relevance within language development, as children acquire linguistic capabilities through iterative interactions with their immediate environment, caregivers, and social interlocutors. This pertinence is exemplified by the subsequent instances elucidating the role of *Operant Conditioning* in language development. First, *Positive Reinforcement* is the endeavor to convey a message by a child, and the consequent receipt of affirmative reactions, such as increased attention, smiles, or commendation from caregivers or peers, constitutes a *Positive Reinforcement* mechanism. This *Positive Reinforcement* augments the child's propensity to utilize language for self-expression, fostering further development (Skinner, 1992/2020; Sturdy & Nicoladis, 2017; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Second, language development in children can also be elucidated through the prism of *Negative Reinforcement*, whereby language serves as a means to circumvent adverse circumstances or aversive stimuli. For instance, when children discern that the expression of their hunger with the phrase, *I'm hungry*, elicits the provisioning of sustenance, they are inclined to employ the same linguistic utterance in analogous situations to satiate their hunger (Skinner, 1992/2020; Sturdy & Nicoladis, 2017; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Punishment and Correction. Caregivers frequently engage in language error correction with children, offering constructive feedback to rectify linguistic inaccuracies. When a child, for instance, mispronounces a word and subsequently receives a tactful correction, this feedback can engender an adaptive response wherein the child endeavors to modify their speech patterns to prevent the recurrence of the same linguistic error (Skinner, 1992/2020; Sturdy & Nicoladis, 2017; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Role of Caregiver Interaction. From a *Behaviorist* standpoint, the interaction between caregivers and children is pivotal in the intricate process of language development. Caregivers serve as primary sources of linguistic input, offering children invaluable models for language acquisition (Loi et al., 2017; Swanson, 2020). The nature of caregivers' responses to children's verbal expressions, encompassing corrective measures and elaborative contributions, significantly contributes to molding the child's evolving linguistic competence. Consistent and nurturing interactions, complemented by *Positive Reinforcement* administered by caregivers, facilitate the internalization of linguistic patterns and structures within the developing linguistic repertoire of the child (Skinner, 1992/2020; Loi et al., 2017; Swanson, 2020). This perspective underscores the importance of caregiver-child interaction as a critical factor in cultivating language skills during the early stages of development. The role of caregiver interaction can be further explained as follows.

Language Input. Caregivers emerge as paramount purveyors of linguistic input for young children. During routine interpersonal exchanges, caregivers facilitate children's exposure to a diverse lexicon, varied phraseology, and multifarious sentence structures. This multifaceted linguistic input assumes the role of an instructive model, affording children the opportunity for emulation and acquisition. For instance, caregivers frequently engage in object labeling, such as, *Look a ball!*, or narrate actions, such as, *Now we're washing our hands*, thereby furnishing children with both vocabulary enrichment and the appropriation of intricate syntactical patterns (Skinner, 1992/2020; Loi et al., 2017; Swanson, 2020).

Imitation and Reinforcement. Children frequently exhibit a proclivity for replicating the linguistic patterns they encounter in the discourse of caregivers. Within the framework of *behaviorist theory*, it is posited that caregivers' responses to these instances of linguistic imitation wield a pivotal influence on fortifying the trajectory of language development. When

a child's linguistic endeavors are met with favorable responses, such as manifestations of positive affect, including smiles, laughter, or direct and affirmative verbal engagement, a potent motivational impetus is engendered, prompting the child to persist in replicating these linguistic behaviors. This paradigm of *Positive Reinforcement* fosters a climate conducive to sustained linguistic exploration and continued acquisition (Skinner, 1992/2020, pp. 56-57; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Expansion and Recasting. Caregivers frequently engage in a pedagogical practice characterized by amplifying children's linguistic endeavors, wherein they proffer more comprehensive and grammatically refined renditions of the children's initial utterances. As an illustrative instance, when a child articulates the phrase, *doggy run*, a caregiver may offer a responsive elaboration such as, *Yes, the doggy is running*. This practice serves a dual function: firstly, it imparts to the child more sophisticated linguistic prototypes, and secondly, it surreptitiously rectifies linguistic inaccuracies while concurrently exposing the child to appropriate sentence structures (Skinner, 1992/2020; Ellis & Sheen, 2006; Miller et al., 2014).

Joint Attention and Turn-Taking. Caregiver-child interactions frequently encompass joint attention, wherein participants direct their cognitive and perceptual resources toward a familiar object or event. This mutual engagement establishes a salient and contextually rich backdrop conducive to the utilization of language. Furthermore, turn-taking, a foundational element within conversational exchanges, imparts to children the rudimentary framework underpinning effective communication. This pedagogical mechanism facilitates their comprehension of when to engage in verbal expression when to assume a receptive listening role, and how to constructively formulate responses (Skinner, 1992/2020; Akhtar & Gernsbacher, 2007; Casillas et al., 2016; Swanson, 2020).

Corrective Feedback. Caregivers play a pivotal role in language development by giving corrective feedback when children make linguistic errors. While the *Behaviorist* perspective principally underscores the significance of reinforcement mechanisms, caregivers concurrently contribute to facilitating language development through discreet and judicious correction. For instance, when a child errs in the pronunciation of a word, caregivers may promptly and unobtrusively model the correct pronunciation in their response, thereby indirectly instructing the child in the appropriate articulation (Demetras et al., 1986; Skinner, 1992/2020; El Tatawy, 2002; Madigan et al., 2019; Han, 2021, pp. 23-43).

Language Context and Real-Life Use. In the realm of FLD, contextualized language interactions are pivotal. When children engage with caregivers, they are not merely exposed to language as a set of abstract symbols or sounds; they experience language as a tool embedded in meaningful contexts. This experience is crucial in imparting language with significance and practical utility, transforming it from a mere linguistic code to a functional tool for communication. Such interactions act as a catalyst, accelerating the child's understanding of language's pragmatic applications in real-life situations. Children learn to associate words with their meanings and contexts through routine conversations, storytelling, and everyday interactions. This process is far more than just vocabulary acquisition; it involves understanding how different linguistic elements are applied in various contexts (Skinner, 1992/2020; Casey & Bicard, 2009; Miller et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2019; Beatty-Martínez et al., 2020).

For instance, children gradually learn the functional distinction between different types of words and phrases. They come to understand that specific lexemes are used for particular purposes. Words may be employed to request objects or express needs; a child learns to use words like *more* or *help* to articulate desires or seek assistance. Similarly, they understand that

different linguistic units, like verbs or adjectives, describe actions, emotions, or events. A child learns to narrate experiences or describe scenarios using appropriate verbs and adjectives, thereby developing *Narrative Skills* (Skinner, 1992/2020; Casey & Bicar, 2009; Miller et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2019; Beatty-Martínez et al., 2020).

Moreover, these interactions enable children to grasp the nuances of language use, such as tone, volume, and body language. They learn how the same word or phrase can convey different meanings based on context and delivery. This understanding is crucial for developing social communication skills, allowing children to interpret and engage in conversations effectively (Skinner, 1992/2020; Casey & Bicar, 2009; Miller et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2019; Beatty-Martínez et al., 2020).

Emotional Connection and Attachment. Language development is intricately entwined with establishing emotional bonds between caregivers and children. The interactions facilitated by caregivers nurture emotional attachments and serve as a crucible for refining communication proficiencies. Caregivers, in this context, responsively attend to a child's vocalizations and, over time, their verbal expressions, thereby fortifying the symbiotic relationship between language development and the conveyance of emotional sentiments (Skinner, 1992/2020; Mckibbin, 2018).

Limitations and Criticisms. While *Behaviorism* furnishes valuable insights into specific facets of the learning process and language development, it has encountered substantial critique for its inherent limitations in providing a comprehensive account of the multifaceted intricacies underpinning children's acquisition and utilization of language. The ensuing discourse delineates several pivotal limitations and criticisms against *Behaviorism* in this context.

Lack of Explanation for Creativity. A principal critique against behaviorism within the domain of language development pertains to its inability to offer a satisfactory explication of the inherently creative and generative attributes inherent in language utilization. Children routinely can generate novel sentences and linguistic structures not directly presented to them within their linguistic environment. The predominant focus of *Behaviorism* on imitation and reinforcement proves inadequate in encapsulating the intrinsic cognitive mechanisms that empower children to spontaneously generate entirely novel linguistic expressions (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Limited Explanation for Grammar Acquisition. *Behaviorism* encounters substantial challenges in furnishing a comprehensive theoretical framework for elucidating the intricacies surrounding children's acquisition of intricate grammatical structures. Children seemingly display a proclivity for naturally assimilating grammatical rules and structures without explicit instruction or direct reinforcement corresponding to each grammatical rule. This phenomenon fundamentally disrupts the *Behaviorist* postulate, which posits that the entirety of language development is contingent upon environmental associations and reinforcement mechanisms (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Rapid Acquisition and Under-Exposure. Children exhibit remarkable celerity and apparent ease in language development, frequently achieving linguistic proficiency with relatively constrained exposure to specific linguistic patterns. This phenomenon poses a formidable challenge to *Behaviorism's* predilection for reiterative exposure and reinforcement as the exclusive mechanisms underpinning language development. The theory grapples with the quandary of elucidating the expeditious generalization and internalization of linguistic rules

by children, mainly when derived from limited input sources (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Innate Predispositions and Critical Periods. *Behaviorism* ostensibly diminishes the significance attributed to innate cognitive mechanisms in language development. Nonetheless, empirical research has demonstrated that children inherently possess a latent aptitude for language, substantiated by their proficiency in language development, even in circumstances characterized by scant linguistic exposure. The delineation of critical periods, within which language development attains its zenith efficacy, intimates the operation of inherent factors, a facet insufficiently explicated within the purview of *Behaviorism's* theoretical framework (Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Siahaan, 2022).

Cultural and Contextual Variation. As an orientation, behaviorism exhibits a proclivity for marginalizing the salience of cultural and contextual variables in language development. Cultural norms, values, and linguistic conventions influence how children apprehend and employ language. The predominant concentration within *Behaviorism* on ubiquitously applicable associations and reinforcement mechanisms may not sufficiently accommodate the manifold and culturally nuanced modalities of language development evident across disparate cultural milieus (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Overemphasis on Caregiver Input. While it is incontrovertible that caregiver input is indispensable, *Behaviorism* may be susceptible to overemphasizing caregivers as the exclusive purveyors of linguistic input. Children are subject to linguistic exposure in a multifarious array of contexts extending beyond caregiver interactions, including peer engagements, media consumption, and educational settings (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Neglecting Cognitive Processes. As an approach, behaviorism predominantly centers its scrutiny upon overt behaviors and external stimuli, neglecting the intricate cognitive processes underpinning the complex phenomenon of language development. Cognitive faculties, including but not limited to memory, attention, and pattern recognition, wield substantive influence in the realm of language development, a facet that behaviorism appears to inadequately encompass within its explanatory framework (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Not All Learning Is Based on Reinforcement. Behaviorism's pronounced predilection for the stringent dependence upon reinforcement as the principal learning mechanism may prove insufficient to comprehensively explain the facets constituting language development. Children frequently assimilate linguistic competencies by discerning from their errors, through observational learning from others, and via intrinsically driven motivation. This intrinsic impetus extends beyond the realm of external reinforcement, thus eluding the purview of Behaviorism's explanatory reach (Virués-Ortega, 2006; Palmer, 2006; Shormani, 2014; Abutalebi & Clahsen, 2018; Nor & Rashid, 2018).

Summary Statement. In summary, the Behaviorist paradigm concerning initial language development underscores the profound influence of environmental determinants, imitation, reinforcement mechanisms, and caregiver involvement in shaping language development. Although this perspective furnishes valuable insights into particular dimensions of language development, it manifests inherent limitations in elucidating the comprehensive spectrum of linguistic inventiveness and intricacy exhibited by burgeoning language learners.

Innateness Theory (Universal Grammar)

The *Innateness Theory*, alternatively called the *Innate Knowledge Theory*, constitutes a foundational construct within cognitive science, linguistics, philosophy, and psychology.

This theoretical framework advances the proposition that select facets of human knowledge, behavioral patterns, or cognitive architectures are inherently present at birth or are intrinsically biologically determined, thereby transcending exclusive reliance upon experiential acquisition and learning as their sources of origin. The theory posits that individuals possess predetermined cognitive structures or inherent predispositions from birth, which exert formative influence over their capacity to acquire and comprehend discrete conceptual domains (Hutauruk, 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Broad, 2020; Zhao, 2022).

Language acquisition is one of the preeminent domains in which the Innateness Theory has garnered substantial attention, most notably associated with the linguist Noam Chomsky. Chomsky propounded the notion of *Universal Grammar*, a comprehensive framework comprising grammatical rules and structures that exhibit ubiquity across the spectrum of human languages. According to this theoretical framework, individuals are endowed with an inherent linguistic capacity that serves as a guiding force in their acquisition of any language to which they are exposed during their formative years (Hutauruk, 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Broad, 2020; Zhao, 2022).

The purview of the *Innateness Theory* extends beyond its implications in the language domain and encompasses a broader spectrum of facets of human cognition. It posits that select cognitive faculties, such as the recognition of facial features, comprehension of spatial relationships, and the formulation of rudimentary numerical concepts, may exhibit intrinsic components. This theory is juxtaposed with empiricism, a philosophical perspective advocating that all knowledge is derived exclusively from sensory experiences and interactions with the external environment (Hutauruk, 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Broad, 2020; Zhao, 2022). The salient tenets affiliated with the *Innateness Theory* encompass the following key concepts.

Universal Grammar. *Universal Grammar* (UG) stands as a foundational theoretical construct within the field of linguistics, first postulated by Noam Chomsky. This theory posits the existence of a comprehensive array of grammatical structures and principles intrinsic to the human species. These fundamental structures are believed to serve as the substratum upon which the complexity of linguistic diversity is based while concurrently guiding the intricate process of language development. Implicit in the framework of UG is the notion that specific innate cognitive mechanisms are responsible for endowing humans with the capacity to acquire language, and remarkably, these cognitive mechanisms remain consubstantial across the scope of human languages (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 16). The ensuing elucidation will delineate several pivotal conceptual facets germane to UG.

Innate Language Faculty. Chomsky posits the contention that within the human cognitive apparatus resides an intrinsic linguistic faculty, a cognitive capacity biologically inscribed, facilitating the acquisition and utilization of language. Remarkably, this cognitive capacity constitutes a distinctive hallmark of the human species, demarcating our linguistic aptitude from that of other fauna (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 16).

Problem of Induction. The *Problem of Induction* pertains to the formidable task of elucidating the mechanism by which children can generalize from the inherently limited and often fluctuating linguistic input they encounter while acquiring the underlying grammatical norms of their native language. This challenge revolves around the profound inquiry into how children can form precise linguistic generalizations based on their exposure's partial and variable nature. This predicament assumes a central position within theories concerning language development, mainly within the framework of UG. It accentuates the imperative to proffer an explication concerning the intricate interplay between cognitive mechanisms and

innate structures and their convergence with linguistic input to facilitate language acquisition (Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 17-21).

Poverty of the Stimulus. Chomsky's rationale for the concept of UG is founded, in part, on the notion termed the *Poverty of the Stimulus*. Within this framework, it is discerned that the linguistic input accessible to children often manifests as ambiguous, incomplete, or grammatically irregular. Nonetheless, children consistently demonstrate their capacity to acquire proficiency in intricate grammatical rules. This observation substantiates the proposition that an internal guiding mechanism must be operative, aiding children in the process of filling informational gaps and deducing the latent grammatical frameworks (Behme & Deacon, 2008; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 24-29; Pearl, 2022).

Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH). Proponents of *Innateness Theory* posit the existence of a critical period for language development, a temporal phase presumed to be biologically predetermined, spanning from early infancy through approximately the onset of puberty. Within this delineated timeframe, it is postulated that the cognitive architecture of children's minds displays heightened susceptibility to linguistic input, thereby facilitating the seamless internalization of the grammatical constructs prevalent in their linguistic milieu (Al-Harbi, 2020; Siahaan, 2022; Wu, 2022). Subsequent sections of this discourse will delve comprehensively into the CPH.

Language Acquisition Device. Chomsky introduced the concept of the *Language Acquisition Device* (LAD), a theoretical cognitive mechanism posited to facilitate children's swift and efficient acquisition of language. The LAD is conceptualized as a neural or cognitive apparatus specifically designed for language processing, functioning as the guiding framework through which children harness their innate capacity to discern linguistic patterns and rules

from the linguistic input they are exposed to (Behme & Deacon, 2008; Hutaauruk, 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Izar et al., 2020; Al-Harbi, 2020).

Overgeneralization and Creative Language Use. During FLD, children frequently exhibit a phenomenon characterized by overgeneralizing grammatical rules. A pertinent illustration of this is the application of regular past-tense morphemes to irregular verbs, such as employing *goed* instead of *went*. Within the framework of *Innateness Theory*, these linguistic errors are elucidated as manifestations of children's intrinsic predisposition to employ rules and patterns when processing linguistic input, even when confronted with irregularities (Baihaqi, 2020).

Role of Caregiver Interaction. While *Innateness Theory* accords substantial prominence to inherent mechanisms, it does not outright dismiss the significance of environmental input. Caregivers and exposure to language remain pivotal components in the framework of this theory, serving as catalysts and guides for the innate linguistic faculties. Caregiver interaction, in particular, assumes a critical role in the provision of essential linguistic stimuli, facilitating the process by which children refine their innate linguistic predispositions to align with the idiosyncrasies of their native language (Loi et al., 2017; Swanson, 2020).

Limitations and Criticisms. *Innateness Theory* has encountered several noteworthy criticisms. First, detractors argue that the theory falls short of providing a comprehensive account of the substantial variations witnessed in language development, both among individuals and across diverse linguistic systems. Second, the theory's postulation of a biologically predetermined critical period has encountered challenges, given empirical evidence suggesting successful language acquisition transpires beyond the envisaged temporal confines. Thirdly, critics posit that *Innateness Theory* may undervalue the contributory role of

environmental factors, particularly the influences stemming from caregiver interaction and linguistic input, in the formative processes of language development. It is contended by some that these environmental factors exert a more substantial influence than the theory acknowledges (Nor & Rashid, 2018). Lastly, discerning voices within the discourse have observed a conspicuous absence of direct neurobiological substantiation in support of the existence of UG or a specific LAD within the human cerebral architecture. Although brain regions implicated in language processing have been identified, conflating these regions with innate linguistic structures remains a complex and elusive endeavor (Behme & Deacon, 2008).

Interactionist Approach

The *Interactionist Approach* (IA) offers a perspective on FLD that underscores the intricate interplay among inherent cognitive capacities, environmental stimuli, and social interactions in the developmental trajectory of language development (Mulyani, 2019; Alharbi, 2023). This viewpoint synthesizes elements from both *Behaviorism* and *Innateness Theory*, acknowledging that children's linguistic advancement is not only molded by their interactions with caregivers but also by their inherent cognitive predispositions (Mulyani, 2019; Alharbi, 2023). Consequently, scholarly inquiries conducted within the framework of the IA have shed light on the pivotal role of IA in elucidating the multifaceted processes that underlie the remarkable achievement of FLD. The IA posits that innate biological factors do not unilaterally determine language development, nor do environmental influences exclusively shape it. Instead, it represents a nuanced interplay between these two forces, intricately intertwined with the dynamic of social interaction and the nuances of cultural context (Vygotsky, 1978; Mulyani, 2019; Alharbi, 2023).

The theoretical underpinnings of the IA can be traced to a group of esteemed scholars who have made substantial and seminal contributions to comprehending this intricate

phenomenon. Among the most eminent figures within the field of IA are Lev Vygotsky, David Wood, Gail Ross, Jerome Bruner, and Michael Tomasello (Vygotsky, 1978; Vygotsky, 1987a; Vygotsky, 1987b; Vygotsky, 1987c; Vygotsky, 2012; Wood et al., 1976; Bruner, 1983; Newport, 1975; Tomasello, 1999; Tomasello, 2005; Nungesser, 2012; Alharbi, 2023). It is imperative to note that while the corpus of scholarly contributions to IA extends beyond the aforementioned scholars and by no means diminishes the value of other researchers' contributions, the scope of this literature review necessitates a focused examination of the most prominent contributors. The subsequent sections of this paper will delve comprehensively into IA and explore the contributions of the aforementioned scholars to this theoretical framework.

Nature and Nurture. At the core of the IA lies a fundamental recognition of the intricate interplay between inherent and environmental factors in language development (Mulyani, 2019; Alharbi, 2023). While nativist theories, prominently advanced by Noam Chomsky, postulate that language development primarily hinges on innate *Universal Grammar* and *Behaviorist* theories, as exemplified by B.F. Skinner underscores the role of environmental conditioning, and IA navigates a middle ground in this discourse. It acknowledges the presence of inherent linguistic predispositions, as posited by Chomsky, while concurrently underscoring the indisputable influence of linguistic and social environments, as highlighted by Skinner (Mulyani, 2019; Ruiz & Umerez, 2021; Alharbi, 2023). The discussion will explore the interplay between *Innate Biological Factors* (Nature), *Environmental Influences* (Nurture), and the synergistic dynamics between these two constructs, elucidating their collective impact on FLD.

Innate Biological Factors (Nature). The IA acknowledges that children have specific innate cognitive and linguistic capacities that establish the foundational framework for language development (Mulyani, 2019; Ruiz & Umerez, 2021). Noam Chomsky's theory of

UG stands as a notable representation of the nativist perspective within this discourse. Chomsky posited that humans possess a biologically ingrained inclination toward language, characterized by universal grammatical principles underpinning all human languages (Alharbi, 2023). According to this viewpoint, children inherently possess linguistic competence, which empowers them to rapidly acquire the specific grammatical structures of their native language. Within the purview of the IA, the concept of innate language capacities does not negate the significance of inherent factors but instead positions them as foundational elements that engage in intricate interactions with environmental input. These intrinsic capacities encompass the aptitude to discern and generalize linguistic patterns, encompassing word order and phonological rules, thereby facilitating language development (Mulyani, 2019; Ruiz & Umerez, 2021; Alharbi, 2023).

Environmental Influences (Nurture). While acknowledging the presence of inherent linguistic predispositions, the IA asserts that the developmental trajectory of language is profoundly influenced by environmental factors (Vygotsky, 1978; Alharbi, 2023). This pronounced emphasis on the role of nurture aligns with the *Behaviorist* perspective, which underscores the significance of the linguistic and social environment in molding language development. Central to this framework is the recognition that the linguistic input children receive from their caregivers, peers, and the broader community is pivotal in the intricate complexity of language development. Children are exposed to a diverse spectrum of linguistic input, encompassing both *Child-Directed Speech* (CDS) and *Adult-to-Adult* speech. CDS, commonly called *Motherese*, exhibits distinctive features, including simplified grammar, heightened prosodic elements, and a heightened emotional tone. It is postulated to facilitate language learning by offering linguistically accessible and emotionally engaging input (Newport, 1975; Mulyani, 2019; Ruiz & Umerez, 2021; Alharbi, 2023; Pierce et al., 2023).

Interplay. The IA underscores that the *Interplay* between innate predispositions and environmental influences is not unidirectional but rather a reciprocal and complex dynamic relationship. Within this framework, children are not passive recipients of linguistic input but actively engage with their linguistic environment (Alharbi, 2023). For instance, they leverage their intrinsic cognitive capabilities to discern regularities and patterns within the linguistic input they encounter. These cognitive processes, including but not limited to statistical learning and pattern recognition, facilitate the extraction of linguistic rules and structures from their surrounding linguistic environment (Romberg & Saffran, 2010; Kuhl, 2011; Erickson & Thiessen, 2015).

Furthermore, caregivers and individuals with greater linguistic competence play a pivotal role in guiding and scaffolding the process of language development. They adapt their linguistic input to align with the child's developmental stage, providing support that aligns with the child's *Zone of Proximal Development*, a concept that will be elaborated upon in a subsequent section. This dynamic interaction between caregivers and children gradually internalizes intricate linguistic forms and structures (Newport, 1975; Mulyani, 2019; Pierce et al., 2023).

Social Interaction. A fundamental premise of the IA is that language development is inherently imbued with social dimensions. Lev Vygotsky, a distinguished Russian psychologist, pioneered the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) concept, highlighting how language development is expedited through interactions with individuals possessing more excellent knowledge, typically caregivers or peers. Vygotsky's scholarship underscores the pivotal role of *Scaffolding*, a concept that will be explored in a subsequent section, wherein linguistic input is meticulously tailored to align with a child's developmental stage, thereby facilitating their gradual assimilation of intricate linguistic structures (Xi & Lantolf, 2021;

Alharbi, 2023). In recognition of the comprehensive scope of the ZPD as an intricate topic, it will be treated with the depth it merits in a dedicated section. However, it is noteworthy to acknowledge that the subsequent section on the ZPD is intrinsically intertwined with the broader IA principle of *Social Interaction*. The following section will elaborate upon several other pivotal facets of *Social Interaction*.

Adaptation to Different Registers. Language registers encompass a spectrum of styles, forms, or linguistic varieties individuals employ in diverse social and communicative contexts. These registers span the spectrum from exceedingly formal and technical language to informal and casual speech. IV posits that children who encounter a broad spectrum of language registers within their linguistic environment are presented with an opportunity to skillfully adapt their language competencies to suit a multiplicity of communication styles and contexts (Sinkeviciute et al., 2019; Cowan & Olmstead, 2023). Four pivotal principles underlie both IV and the IA, which can be succinctly encapsulated as follows.

The first tenet pertains to CDS, a typical instance of register variation, frequently denoted as *Motherese*. Caregivers and adults frequently employ CDS when communicating with infants and young children. Distinct linguistic attributes, including simplified grammar, pronounced prosodic exaggeration, and repetitive patterns, typify CDS. Simplified grammar manifests when caregivers employ shorter sentences and less intricate grammatical structures in CDS, replacing, for instance, a more intricate sentence such as *Would you like some milk?*, with the simpler, *Baby wants milk*. Exaggerated prosody entails heightened intonation patterns, marked by elevated pitch and rhythmic, sing-song qualities, which capture the child's attention and foster engagement. Repetition involves frequently reiterating words and phrases within CDS, reinforcing vocabulary, and enhancing word recognition. Exposure to CDS facilitates children in becoming attuned to this specific linguistic register and adapting to its distinctive

attributes. This adaptation, in turn, facilitates the early development of language skills and fosters meaningful interactions with caregivers (Newport, 1975; Sinkeviciute et al., 2019; Pierce et al., 2023).

The second tenet encompasses the domain of *Adult-to-Adult* speech, a domain of linguistic interaction distinct from CDS, whereby children are exposed to *Adult-to-Adult* speech, characterized by its elevated formality and linguistic complexity. *Adult-to-Adult* speech exhibits considerable variability contingent upon several factors, including the degree of formality, the sociocultural context, and the interpersonal relationship between conversational participants. Adults employ intricate grammatical structures, a sophisticated lexicon, and precise terminology in formal settings. These formal domains encompass workplace deliberations, academic lectures, or legal discourse. Conversely, informal *Adult-to-Adult* speech transpires within everyday exchanges among peers, family members, or acquaintances. The incorporation of casual expressions, slang, and idiomatic language typifies it. Children exposed to this spectrum of *Adult-to-Adult* speech styles acquire the capacity to flexibly adapt their language in response to contextual cues. Consequently, they develop the proficiency to wield more formal language adeptly in academic or professional settings, as well as to engage comfortably in informal linguistic exchanges within the domain of social interactions (Ikeda et al., 2018; Sinkeviciute et al., 2019; Pierce et al., 2023).

The third tenet pertains to *adaptation and Social Competence*. Adapting to various linguistic registers extends beyond mere linguistic proficiency; it intertwines with the development of social competence. Children acquire a subtle understanding of how to employ language appropriately within diverse social and cultural contexts. They discern that employing formal language when conversing with a teacher differs significantly from engaging in informal conversations with peers. This adaptive capability encompasses a comprehensive

understanding of the societal norms that govern language usage. This entails recognizing the appropriateness of deploying polite forms of address or tailoring communication to align with the age and social status of the conversational partner. Consequently, children acquire the ability to express politeness and convey respect with precision in varying social scenarios. They become adept at employing polite forms of address and expressions when interacting with individuals who occupy positions of authority or high social status (Sinkeviciute et al., 2019; Pierce et al., 2023).

Moreover, the skillfulness of adapting to diverse linguistic registers increases the listening capability among children. This necessitates their attentiveness to contextual cues, enabling them to tailor their responses wisely. This skill set significantly contributes to the efficacy of communication and underscores the cultivation of active listening capabilities. Furthermore, the capacity to adapt to contrasting linguistic registers results in empathy and social acumen. Children acquire the ability to discern and honor the needs and expectations of their interlocutors within the realm of social exchanges, thereby fostering positive and harmonious interpersonal relationships. This proficiency extends to equipping children with the social competence requisite for effectively navigating conflicts and disagreements. Exposure to a spectrum of social contexts and language registers equips them with conflict resolution skills, encompassing the art of negotiation and the capacity for compromise. Ultimately, the facility for adapting to diverse linguistic registers enriches overall communication efficacy. Children master articulating their thoughts and intentions precisely and appropriately across diverse social settings (Sinkeviciute et al., 2019).

The Zone of Proximal Development. Vygotsky's theoretical construct, the *Zone of Proximal Development* (ZPD), constitutes a pivotal cornerstone within developmental psychology. Within this framework, Vygotsky posited that a child's ZPD delineates the

spectrum of tasks beyond their current independent capabilities yet attainable with the assistance and guidance of a more cognitively advanced individual, typically an adult or peer. In language development, the ZPD assumes particular prominence by underscoring that children make their most substantial strides in linguistic proficiency when engaged in cooperative interactions with individuals possessing heightened linguistic competence. In the context of the ZPD, caregivers, and educators undertake a pedagogical role by offering a form of instructional support known as *Scaffolding* (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

This pedagogical approach involves meticulously calibrating language input and support to align with the child's prevailing developmental stage (Azi, 2020). Such support is instrumental in facilitating a progression from rudimentary linguistic expressions to more intricate and nuanced language structures. To illustrate, caregivers may employ simplified sentence structures and gradually introduce progressively intricate grammatical formations as the child's linguistic aptitude evolves. Consequently, the ZPD underscores the inherently social nature of the language development process, emphasizing the pivotal role played by *More Knowledgeable Others* (MKOs) (Margolis, 2020). As advanced by Vygotsky (1978, pp. 84-91) and elaborated upon by subsequent scholars such as Xi and Lantolf (2021) as well as Nyberg (2022, pp. 9-10), the ZPD comprises three constituent components, namely, the *Actual Developmental Level*, *Potential Development*, and *Proximal Development*.

Actual Developmental Level. The *Actual Developmental Level* (ADL) encompasses the contemporary cognitive competencies, knowledge, and proficiencies that a learner has autonomously acquired, devoid of any external guidance or support. It encapsulates the learner's aptitude and comprehension within a specific domain, including FLD. Key attributes characterizing the ADL encompass *Independence*, *Previously Acquired Knowledge*, and *Self-*

Reliance. Independence delineates learners' capacity to autonomously execute tasks, apply skills, and articulate their comprehension. In the context of FLD, this manifests as the ability to utilize fundamental vocabulary, construct uncomplicated sentences, and convey rudimentary concepts without extensive external intervention. Previously *Acquired Knowledge* pertains to learners who have assimilated knowledge through preceding experiences and interactions. It serves as the underpinning upon which fresh learning endeavors are erected. For instance, a child's grasp of grammatical principles and vocabulary in FLD constitutes their ADL. Lastly, *Self-Reliance* denotes learners' proficiency in functioning and thinking independently, drawing upon their existing cognitive reservoirs. They may not require external cues, explanations, or assistance to successfully engage with tasks within their developmental level (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

In the context of the ZPD concerning the ADL, the ZPD occupies an intermediary position between the learner's ADL and their potential developmental level. It delineates a cognitive space wherein optimal learning transpires, contingent upon proper guidance and support. Within the ZPD, tasks, and concepts are characterized by a level of complexity that is both challenging and within the learner's reach, allowing them to enhance their skills and augment their comprehension under the tutelage of MKOs. In this context, the role of the ADL is to serve as a foundational reference point for the learning process. The ADL operates as the initial stage from which learners embark upon their educational journey. From this vantage point, learners enter into the ZPD, stretching their cognitive capacities and cultivating novel knowledge and proficiencies (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

Moreover, the ADL is the bedrock upon which *Scaffolding*, a pedagogical technique employed by MKOs, is constructed. *Scaffolding* is most effective when meticulously tailored

to bridge the cognitive divide between learners' present level of knowledge and their latent potential with guidance and support. By gaining a comprehensive understanding of a learner's ADL, educators and caregivers are better equipped to design learning experiences that harmonize with the learner's current level of proficiency. This personalized approach ensures that tasks are thoughtfully calibrated to strike an appropriate balance between challenge and assistance, thereby fostering an environment conducive to cognitive growth and development (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

Hence, it is of paramount importance to conduct a comprehensive assessment of a learner's ADL. Such an evaluation equips educators and caregivers with valuable insights into the learner's competencies, deficiencies, and areas warranting further development. Furthermore, this assessment serves as a means to monitor the learner's developmental trajectory over time as they transition from their current proficiency level toward their potential developmental capacity. In the context of FLD, comprehending a child's ADL assumes particular significance. It empowers educators and caregivers to skillfully introduce language-related activities and tasks equivalent to the learner's existing capabilities and offer an optimal degree of challenge. As learners benefit from the requisite guidance and support within their ZPD throughout their educational journey, their potential developmental level undergoes expansion. Consequently, tasks and concepts that were thus far beyond their grasp become attainable through the skillful implementation of *Scaffolding* and guided interactive interventions (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

Potential Development. The second pivotal component intrinsic to the ZPD is the learner's *Potential Development*, which denotes the uppermost threshold of attainable cognitive achievement when furnished with ideal guidance and support. It is an inherently fluid and adaptable concept, emblematic of the learner's inherent potential for growth in conjunction

with suitable assistance. Four primary characteristics distinguish *Potential Development*. Firstly, it is inherently changeable; unlike the current developmental level, *Potential Development* remains devoid of fixity and is amenable to expansion and evolution over time through exposure to novel experiences, interpersonal interactions, and diverse learning opportunities. Secondly, the extent of *Potential Development* is contingent upon the quality and quantity of the support and guidance accessible to the learner. Effective pedagogy and *Scaffolding* procedures can push the boundaries of potential development by fostering an environment conducive to cognitive advancement (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

Thirdly, *Potential Development* delves into uncharted territories, encapsulating the reservoir of latent abilities and untapped skills within the learner's cognitive arsenal. It encapsulates what the learner can achieve with the indispensable assistance of an MKO. Lastly, *Potential Development* is inextricably linked with an MKO, typically embodied by a teacher, parent, or peer, who is pivotal in unlocking the learner's latent potential. This knowledgeable figure is responsible for furnishing the requisite guidance and support to facilitate the learner's journey toward realizing their untapped cognitive capacities (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021).

Proximal Development. The ZPD constitutes the intermediary cognitive space between the learner's ADL and their Potential Development, thus embodying the region wherein learning attains its peak activity and productivity. Within this area, the learner stands to derive significant educational benefit from skillfully administered guided instruction and support. Four noteworthy attributes characterize the ZPD. First and foremost, the ZPD affords the learner an optimal level of challenge. Tasks and activities encapsulated within this domain are meticulously calibrated to neither overwhelm nor underwhelm the learner, engendering a

cognitive engagement that fosters progress under appropriate guidance. Secondly, the ZPD is inextricably linked with the tenet of *Social Interaction*. It thrives on interpersonal collaboration and meaningful engagement, wherein the learner participates in substantive interactions with peers or individuals capable of offering the requisite guidance and assistance (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 84-91; Azi, 2020; Margolis, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021). Thirdly, the efficacy of the ZPD hinges upon the concept of *Scaffolding*, which will be expounded upon in greater depth.

Scaffolding. *Scaffolding* is a pivotal and indispensable construct within the framework of ZPD theory. It denotes the intricate support and guidance furnished by an MKO to facilitate a learner's acquisition of novel skills or knowledge. The core objective of *Scaffolding* is to serve as a bridge, spanning the cognitive gap that separates the learner's independent capabilities from their as-yet-unattainable competencies without external guidance. The operationalization of *Scaffolding* unfolds as follows. Firstly, the MKO provides support in a meticulously graduated and systematic manner. Rather than offering outright solutions or answers to the learner, the MKO adapts their assistance to align with the learner's existing level of comprehension. This nuanced approach engenders the multifaceted nature of *Scaffolding*, which can assume diverse manifestations and evolve simultaneously with the learner's progress (Bodrova & Leong, 1998; Verenikina, 2003; van de Pol et al., 2015; Wright, 2018, pp. 123-135; Margolis, 2020; Azi, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021; Masava et al., 2022).

Secondly, a pivotal phase for effective *Scaffolding* is the assessment of the learner's ZPD. To this end, an exhaustive evaluation of the learner's present proficiencies and knowledge, as encapsulated within their ADL, is requisite. Once the area of the ZPD is demarcated, the MKO is poised to craft tailored support strategies coinciding with this delineation. Thirdly, the MKO assumes the role of an example through modeling. In the initial stages, the MKO may enact the task or provide a solution, thereby affording the learner a

tangible demonstration of requisite steps and strategies. This modeling function serves as a cognitive template, elucidating the procedural blueprint for the learner's subsequent endeavors. Fourthly, the MKO engages in a process of questioning characterized by the proposition of keen probing inquiries designed to stimulate the learner's cognitive faculties. These questions serve as catalysts for critical thinking, the establishment of connections, and the exploration of a spectrum of potential solutions or avenues, thereby propelling the learner to probe the problem or task more deeply (Bodrova & Leong, 1998; Verenikina, 2003; van de Pol et al., 2015; Wright, 2018, pp. 123-135; Margolis, 2020; Azi, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021; Masava et al., 2022).

Fifthly, the MKO assumes the role of a provider of constructive feedback, a pivotal facet of the *Scaffolding* process. Constructive feedback is an indispensable element within *Scaffolding*, as it encompasses the MKO's assessment of the learner's performance. It serves the dual function of highlighting errors or misconceptions while offering direction to rectify them. This iterative feedback loop constitutes a potent mechanism for refining the learner's comprehension and competencies. Sixthly, the MKO orchestrates a gradual transition towards fostering the learner's independence. As the learner progressively attains a heightened level of competence, the MKO skillfully diminishes the extent of support. The overarching objective is to equip the learner with the requisite skills and knowledge to autonomously undertake the task or resolve the problem without needing external assistance. Seventhly, the efficacy of *Scaffolding* is intrinsically tied to its personalization to the idiosyncratic needs and aptitudes of the individual learner. This necessitates careful consideration of factors such as the learner's prior knowledge base, prevailing learning style, and the specific task or concept under consideration (Bodrova & Leong, 1998; Verenikina, 2003; van de Pol et al., 2015; Wright, 2018, pp. 123-135; Margolis, 2020; Azi, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021; Masava et al., 2022).

Eighthly, the MKO is tasked with continuously monitoring the learner's ZPD. The efficacy of *Scaffolding* is most pronounced when it is meticulously aligned with the contours of the learner's ZPD. This alignment entails providing support that is sufficiently challenging yet ultimately attainable with guidance, thereby facilitating the learner's capacity to stretch their cognitive boundaries and expand their reservoir of knowledge. The MKO must maintain a heightened awareness of cultural sensitivity and the broader social context surrounding the learning process. *Scaffolding* is deeply integrated within the sociocultural learning environment, acknowledging that learners are profoundly shaped by their cultural and social surroundings. Consequently, the support furnished should be aligned with and sensitive to these contextual dynamics. Lastly, the MKO actively cultivates and promotes metacognition within the learner. *Scaffolding* may facilitate metacognitive skill development, empowering learners to monitor and regulate their learning. This encompassing process includes the provision of instruction in strategies for planning, self-assessment, and problem-solving, thereby equipping the learner with a metacognitive toolkit to navigate their educational journey effectively (Bodrova & Leong, 1998; Verenikina, 2003; van de Pol et al., 2015; Wright, 2018, pp. 123-135; Margolis, 2020; Azi, 2020; Xi & Lantolf, 2021; Masava et al., 2022).

Imitation and Social Learning. *Social Interaction* provides children with invaluable opportunities for imitation and social learning, which, in turn, serve as foundational mechanisms for language development. Children routinely emulate individuals' linguistic and communicative behaviors in their immediate environment, comprising caregivers, siblings, and peers. This act of imitation operates as a catalyst in the intricate process of language development, encompassing not only the acquisition of vocabulary and pronunciation but also the assimilation of intonation patterns, pragmatic competencies, and the intricate social conventions governing language utilization. Elaborating further on the nuances and

significance of *Imitation and Social Learning*, it is imperative to underscore their pivotal role in vocabulary acquisition. Particularly during the initial phases of language development, infants and young children demonstrate a proclivity for replicating the sounds, words, and phrases emanating from their caregivers and immediate environment. This imitative proclivity is a potent vehicle for forging semantic connections between words and their referential entities while concurrently expanding their lexicon. For instance, a child might readily replicate their caregiver's utterance of *dog* when indicating a family pet. Through repeated instances of imitation reinforced by associative processes, the child gradually forges an enduring linkage between the word *dog* and the furry companion, thus engendering the acquisition of a novel term and its related signification (Wodtke & Brown, 1967; Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 87-91; Schlag, 2012, pp. 1489-1493; Whiten et al., 2016; Attig & Weinert, 2020).

Secondly, imitation extends significantly to phonological development, encompassing the acquisition of the intricate sounds and phonemic components inherent to a language. In their quest to master linguistic proficiency, children replicate the auditory nuances they encounter within their linguistic environment, working diligently to reproduce these auditory elements precisely. Over time, this iterative process of imitation assumes a critical function in honing their pronunciation capabilities and fostering the refinement of their phonological skills. An essential element in this phonological developmental trajectory is the role of caregivers, who provide invaluable feedback and corrective guidance upon identifying inaccuracies or deviations in a child's phonetic rendition. These interactions, constituting integral components of the broader social learning paradigm, bear the weight of shepherding children towards the phonological norms inherent to their linguistic community (Wodtke & Brown, 1967; Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 87-91; Schlag, 2012, pp. 1489-1493; Whiten et al., 2016; Attig & Weinert, 2020).

Thirdly, imitation exercises a profound influence in the realm of grammatical structures and syntax, delineating yet another dimension of its significance. Children frequently exhibit a proclivity for emulating the sentence structures and grammatical configurations that permeate their interactions with caregivers and peers. Through this process of imitation, they undergo an internalization of the intricate grammatical rules underpinning their native language. For example, a child may replicate a caregiver's articulation of the sentence, *I want milk*, and subsequently, incorporate this identical sentence structure into their linguistic repertoire to express their desires. Increasingly, the iterative act of replicating grammatical patterns significantly contributes to the child's burgeoning comprehension of sentence structure and syntax (Wodtke & Brown, 1967; Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 87-91; Schlag, 2012, pp. 1489-1493; Whiten et al., 2016; Attig & Weinert, 2020).

Fourthly, the purview of *Imitation and Social Learning* transcends the confines of vocabulary and grammar to encompass the realm of pragmatic and socio-linguistic proficiencies. Children, in their formative years, keenly observe and subsequently emulate how language is wielded within diverse social environments. This process encompasses the acquisition of skills pertaining to initiating and perpetuating conversations, the skillful employment of courteous language, the articulation of emotions, and the interpretation of nonverbal cues, such as facial expressions and body language. Through multifaceted social engagements, children cultivate a discerning comprehension of the contextually apt deployment of language in diverse interpersonal scenarios. For instance, they acquire the nuanced usage of expressions like *please* and *thank you* within polite discourse, tailoring their language to align with the distinct expectations inherent to various social settings (Wodtke & Brown, 1967; Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 87-91; Schlag, 2012, pp. 1489-1493; Whiten et al., 2016; Attig & Weinert, 2020).

Lastly, the imitative and socially infused learning processes are indispensable in acquiring culturally specific linguistic and communicative norms. Children do not merely acquire the language itself but also the cultural customs, values, and conventions inherently interwoven with it. This all-encompassing sociolinguistic competence encompasses the discernment of culturally sanctioned forms of address, greetings, storytelling conventions, and other sociocultural facets integral to effective communication (Wodtke & Brown, 1967; Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 87-91; Schlag, 2012, pp. 1489-1493; Whiten et al., 2016; Attig & Weinert, 2020).

Joint Attention and Turn-Taking. Social interactions characterized by *Joint Attention* and *Turn-Taking* play an integral role in the intricate landscape of language development. *Joint Attention*, a fundamental construct, materializes when two or more individuals collectively direct their focus toward an ordinary object or event, often concomitant with the shared engagement of gaze, gestural expressions, and vocalizations. The act of shared gaze, notably observed between children and their communicative counterparts, predominantly caregivers, conveys a synchronous alignment of visual attention wherein both parties concur in their observation of a familiar object or event. This shared visual focus, in turn, lays the cornerstone for establishing a shared referential frame of context. Gestures and pointing gestures, on the other hand, represent instances wherein children employ manual and gestural cues, such as pointing, to direct attention toward objects or events of interest (Carpenter et al., 1998, pp. 82-115; Tomasello, 1999, pp. 56-93; Donnelly & Kidd, 2021; Sano et al., 2022).

In addition, caregivers reciprocate this communicative gesture, employing gestures to label objects or draw the child's attention to specific entities. When intertwined with verbal communication, the synergy of these gestures proves instrumental in facilitating the child's cognitive association of linguistic expressions with their respective referents. In culmination,

vocalizations constitute an additional facet of this multifaceted dynamic. Infants and young children, in response to shared attentional moments, proffer vocal utterances in babbling or exclamations. These vocalizations, while in their incipient stages, signify nascent endeavors towards communicative interaction and serve as precursors to the subsequent emergence of fully articulated spoken language (Carpenter et al., 1998, pp. 82-115; Tomasello, 1999, pp. 56-93; Donnelly & Kidd, 2021; Sano et al., 2022).

Therefore, *Joint Attention* assumes a pivotal role in the multifaceted domain of language development, encompassing vocabulary acquisition, the cultivation of pragmatic aptitudes, and the capacity to engage in collaborative storytelling endeavors. In vocabulary development, *Joint Attention* between caregivers and children holds profound implications. When both parties collectively direct their focus toward a particular object or event and concurrently assign it a verbal label, the child initiates associative connections between linguistic expressions and their corresponding referential entities. For instance, in a scenario where both caregiver and child jointly attend to a toy and mutually designate it as *ball*, the child embarks on the cognitive journey of establishing a lexical link between the term *ball* and the physical object (Carpenter et al., 1998, pp. 82-115; Tomasello, 1999, pp. 56-93; Donnelly & Kidd, 2021; Sano et al., 2022).

Furthermore, *Joint Attention* serves as the bedrock upon which the structure of pragmatic skills is constructed. It lays the foundational framework for developing pragmatic competencies, such as discerning communicative partners' intentions and interpreting shared experiential contexts. It fosters an environment conducive to cultivating the ability to partake in coordinated interactions, wherein both parties exhibit a heightened awareness of each other's focal points and areas of interest. Lastly, it is noteworthy that *Joint Attention* experiences frequently serve as the fertile soil from which shared narratives and stories germinate.

Caregivers and children, drawing upon these communal moments of attentional convergence, embark on the collaborative endeavor of co-constructing narratives. This process not only augments language development but also contributes significantly to the refinement of narrative skills (Carpenter et al., 1998, pp. 82-115; Tomasello, 1999, pp. 56-93; Donnelly & Kidd, 2021; Sano et al., 2022).

Turn-taking in conversational discourse constitutes a fundamental and indispensable facet of *Social Interaction*. It encompasses the dynamic alternation of speaking and listening roles between interlocutors, and its mastery holds paramount significance for developing conversational competence and pragmatic adeptness, namely, the aptitude to employ language skillfully within diverse social contexts. Through the medium of *Turn-Taking*, children embark on a trajectory of acquiring the skills requisite for active participation in dialogues, comprising the capacity to reciprocate conversational contributions, respond to inquiries, and discern the intentions and emotional states of their communication counterparts (Carpenter et al., 1998, pp. 82-115; 1998; Tomasello, 1999, pp. 56-93; Casillas et al., 2016; Donnelly & Kidd, 2021).

Turn-Taking comprises three pivotal components: *Initiation*, *Response*, and *Back-and-Forth Exchange*. *Initiation* denotes the point at which one conversational participant assumes the role of instigator by providing a statement, positing a question, or introducing a thematic topic. This act catalyzes a *Response* phase, wherein the conversational counterpart reciprocates the initiation by furnishing their statement, formulating a query, or contributing to the discourse. This reciprocal interaction between initiation and response engenders a *Back-and-Forth Exchange*, typifying the quintessential essence of conversations. By their very nature, conversational dialogues entail a continuous cycle of alternation between speaking and listening roles. This dynamic interplay serves as the crucible for the exchange of ideas, the

dissemination of information, and the conveyance of emotional states (Carpenter et al., 1998, pp. 82-115; Tomasello, 1999, pp. 56-93; Casillas et al., 2016; Donnelly & Kidd, 2021).

Peer Interaction. *Peer Interactions* constitute a salient and influential component of language development. As children exchange with their peers, they immerse themselves in collaborative linguistic endeavors encompassing storytelling, play, and problem-solving. The crucible of peer interactions furnishes an unparalleled linguistic context wherein children can engage in linguistic experimentation, negotiate semantic meanings, and coalesce around a shared comprehension of linguistic conventions and rules. Several salient tenets encapsulate the essence of *Peer Interaction*. The first tenet revolves around imaginative play, a pedagogical environment where children take center stage. Within imaginative play scenarios, children engage in the art of conjuring fictional settings and characters, orchestrating a world of make-believe. The scaffold of language becomes a paramount instrument in creating and perpetuating these imaginative realms as children employ linguistic expressions in role-play and storytelling endeavors. This creative process, propelled by the medium of language, serves as an incubator for nurturing creative inclinations and honing narrative competencies (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 24-30; Erdemir & Brutt-Griffler, 2022; Washington-Nortey et al., 2022).

The second tenet pertains to problem-solving, where cooperative games and collective activities often take priority. In this interactive landscape, children traverse the terrain of problem-solving and decision-making. The terrain is replete with linguistic expression as children articulate their ideas, propose solutions, and collectively strive to attain shared objectives. These communicative exchanges, strengthened by the *Scaffolding* of language, engender a fertile environment for cultivating cognitive dexterity and practical collaborative problem-solving skills. The final tent of *Peer Interactions* delves into the terrain of conflict resolution, a domain where interpersonal dynamics are brought to the fore. Within the

complexity of peer interactions, conflicts and disputes occasionally emerge. During these moments, children engage in linguistic exchanges to give voice to their emotions, negotiate amicable resolutions, and practice strategies for conflict resolution. These experiences stand as crucibles for the refinement and maturation of interpersonal communication skills, where language serves as the fulcrum of reconciliation and the navigation of complex social landscapes (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 24-30; Erdemir & Brutt-Griffler, 2022; Washington-Nortey et al., 2022).

Furthermore, *Peer Interaction* fosters collaborative language activities and serves as a dynamic platform for children to explore diverse linguistic styles and language registers. It's worth noting that different peer groups may exhibit their distinctive linguistic norms and variations, encompassing the realms of slang, jargon, or unique modes of expression. This linguistic kaleidoscope provides children with the invaluable opportunity to navigate the multifaceted language landscape, acquiring the art of linguistic adaptability. They learn to tailor their language use to align with their peer group's prevailing expectations and conventions, cultivating well-rounded linguistic versatility. In this interactive context, *Peer Interaction* becomes an environment teeming with opportunities for children to emulate and model the linguistic behaviors they encounter in their peers. This emulation extends beyond the mere replication of vocabulary and grammar; it encompasses the nuanced domains of pronunciation, intonation, and conversational styles. Children actively engage in the process of assimilating words, phrases, and speech patterns from their peers, thereby contributing to the enrichment and expansion of their linguistic repertoire (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 24-30; Erdemir & Brutt-Griffler, 2022; Washington-Nortey et al., 2022).

Moreover, it is imperative to underscore that peer interactions furnish a foundation for cultivating social learning and the strong influence of peers. Within this contextual framework,

children embark on an educational journey that extends beyond linguistic aspects and encompasses the acquisition of social norms, behavioral codes, and linguistic conventions via peer-mediated channels. They keenly observe how their peers navigate the intricate complexity of emotional expression, engage in dialogues, and adapt their communicative strategies to suit varying social environments. It is essential to recognize that the phenomenon of peer influence exerts a profound impact on a child's linguistic choices, pragmatic aptitudes, and communicative demeanor (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 24-30; Erdemir & Brutt-Griffler, 2022; Washington-Nortey et al., 2022).

It is noteworthy that while *Peer Interaction* unfolds as a pedagogical environment ripe with linguistic exposure and practice opportunities, it also presents an accompanying duality of challenges and advantages in language development. It is common for peer-driven interactions to inadvertently give rise to linguistic errors or nonstandard language usage. Nonetheless, it is paramount to acknowledge that these challenges constitute an inherent facet of the organic learning process. Furthermore, peer correction and feedback mechanisms come into play within the context of peer interactions, contributing to the iterative process of language refinement and skill enhancement. Additionally, it is worth emphasizing that peer interactions serve as a conduit for children's immersion in the cultural and sociocultural complexity of their respective peer groups. This immersion encompasses acquiring linguistic elements and assimilating the cultural norms and customs uniquely associated with their peer collective. This holistic exposure encapsulates practices ranging from the transmission of storytelling traditions to the participation in language-oriented games and the co-construction of shared narratives that encapsulate the distinctive essence of their peer culture (Vygotsky, 1978, pp. 24-30; Erdemir & Brutt-Griffler, 2022; Washington-Nortey et al., 2022).

Feedback and Correction. The IA underscores the paramount importance of *Feedback and Correction* mechanisms in the realm of language development. Within this pedagogical framework, Jerome Bruner's extensive investigations into child language development occupy a central position (Bruner, 1983). Bruner underscores caregivers' pivotal role in language development, particularly in providing corrective feedback when children exhibit linguistic errors. This dialectical interplay, characterized by a dynamic feedback loop, engenders an iterative refinement of children's language skills. It is crucial to recognize that, when situated within the conceptual context of the ZPD, *Feedback and Correction* mechanisms are integral in advancing a learner's trajectory of progress and development. The essential concept of *Feedback and Correction* within the purview of the ZPD encapsulates the act of furnishing learners with timely, constructive, and personalized guidance to facilitate the bridging of this cognitive gap (Bruner, 1983; Ferris, 2012; Abbuhl, 2021, pp. 44-64; Miao et al., 2023; Shen & Chong, 2023; Nikolaus & Fourtassi, 2023; Zhang & Zhang, 2023; Lee, 2023). The concepts of *Feedback* and *Correction* can be further elaborated upon as follows.

Feedback should be timely and specific within the context of the ZPD. Feedback provided within the ZPD must adhere to timeliness and specificity. This entails that the feedback administered should be temporally aligned with the learner's performance and attuned to the nuances of their current efforts and progress. To expand upon the significance of this aspect, it is paramount to underscore the criticality of timely feedback. Timely feedback is characterized by its expeditious delivery, occurring promptly after a learner's performance or exerting effort. This immediacy in the delivery of feedback serves the pivotal function of enabling learners to establish a direct linkage between the feedback and their actions, thereby augmenting their cognitive grasp of how their performance aligns with their overarching objectives.

Additionally, the facet of specific feedback emerges as a catalyst of pedagogical efficacy. This form of feedback transcends the realm of generic praise or criticism, instead delving into the granular details of what the learner has executed proficiently and, simultaneously, which areas necessitate refinement and enhancement. The cardinal attribute of specificity bestows upon learners a clear and well-defined trajectory forward, guiding them toward actionable steps aimed at the augmentation of their skill set and the deepening of their comprehension (Bruner, 1983; Ferris, 2012; Abbuhl, 2021, pp. 44-64; Miao et al., 2023; Shen & Chong, 2023; Nikolaus & Fourtassi, 2023; Zhang & Zhang, 2023; Lee, 2023).

Specific feedback assumes particular prominence in the context of *Correction*. Specific feedback, replete with precise information about learners' errors, furnishes them with a valuable tool for rectifying and ameliorating their mistakes with a heightened degree of efficacy. This corrective aspect not only serves to rectify errors but also engenders a deeper and more nuanced comprehension of the underlying task or conceptual domain. Furthermore, timely *Feedback* is pivotal in sustaining learner engagement and motivation. The awareness that their endeavors are being actively recognized and praised bolsters learners' resolve to maintain focus and perseverance in the face of learning tasks. It further reinforces the fundamental notion that their learning journey is an inherently dynamic and adaptive process, replete with opportunities for growth and refinement (Bruner, 1983; Ferris, 2012; Abbuhl, 2021, pp. 44-64; Miao et al., 2023; Shen & Chong, 2023; Nikolaus & Fourtassi, 2023; Zhang & Zhang, 2023; Lee, 2023).

Furthermore, dual attributes of timeliness and specificity significantly promote metacognitive faculties within learners. This pedagogical construct nurtures metacognition, the capacity to engage in introspective thought processes concerning cognitive activities. Timely and specific feedback prompts learners to undertake reflective introspection concerning their

performance, facilitating a thoughtful consideration of their cognitive processes and instigating adaptive adjustments. This heightened level of metacognitive awareness constitutes a catalyst for the enhancement of self-regulation and the fostering of independent learning initiatives (Bruner, 1983; Ferris, 2012; Bellon et al., 2020; Abbuhl, 2021, pp. 44-64; Miao et al., 2023; Shen & Chong, 2023; Nikolaus & Fourtassi, 2023; Zhang & Zhang, 2023; Lee, 2023)

Summary Statement. In this section, the foundational tenets of the IA in the realm of language development have been explored in depth. This section has underscored the central position of the nature and nurture paradigms, emphasizing the pivotal roles played by social interactions and cultural contextual factors. In summation, the IA presents the proposition that language development emerges due to the complex and dynamic interplay between multifarious elements, encompassing social interactions, caregiver input, cognitive maturation, and inherent predispositions. These symbiotic interactions coalesce to engender an environment replete with linguistic richness, serving as the crucible for the incremental acquisition of linguistic competencies and the cultivation of efficacious communicative abilities. This perspective resolutely underscores the intricate interdependencies that intertwine the social, cognitive, and linguistic dimensions that underlie language development's multifaceted complexity.

Constructivism

The process of language development, in which children naturally acquire their native language, remains a topic of enduring fascination and extensive scholarly exploration. Over generations, researchers from diverse disciplines such as psychology, linguistics, and education have dedicated themselves to unraveling the intricate mechanisms underlying this remarkable achievement. These collective endeavors have resulted in various theories and approaches, each offering unique insights into the complexities of language development. Amid this

scholarly landscape, one theoretical framework has made a remarkable impact, fundamentally reshaping the scholarly understanding of how children embark on acquiring their first language. The *Constructivist Approach*, firmly anchored in the foundations of cognitive science, sociocultural theory, and linguistics, ascends as a pivotal paradigm. At its core, the *Constructivist Approach* challenges established notions of passive language development. Instead, it positions children not as passive recipients but as active architects of their linguistic expertise, purposefully and diligently constructing their linguistic knowledge from the raw materials of their experiences, interactions, and cognitive processes (Ziglar & Birjandi, 2012, pp. 2128–2132; Ambridge et al., 2015; Behrens, 2021; Szabó & Csépes, 2023). This section will delve into the influential scholars who have contributed to the *Constructivist Approach* and explore its fundamental principles in depth.

Jean Piaget. Jean Piaget, a renowned figure in the field of psychology hailing from Switzerland, is celebrated for his pioneering contributions to the understanding of cognitive development. His groundbreaking work laid the foundational principles upon which the *Constructivist Approach* to FLD is firmly established today. Within Piaget's theories, a prominent theme emerges from the dynamic interplay he revealed between cognitive growth and the complex process of language development. Piaget's profound insights into children's cognitive development underscore the notion that as a child's cognitive abilities mature, their language development journey unfolds in parallel. The roots of the *Constructivist Approach* can be traced within this mutually beneficial relationship between cognition and language. Piaget's scholarly pursuits serve as a potent reminder of the central role of cognitive processes in shaping linguistic competence. His work provides a solid foundation for the *Constructivist Approach*, emphasizing the child's active involvement as the principal protagonist in constructing their linguistic environment. In essence, Piaget's contributions serve as a guiding

compass through the intricate landscape of FLD as this paper delves deeper into the *Constructivist Approach's* exploration of the child's dynamic role in FLD. One of Piaget's most prominent works, *The Theory of Cognitive Development*, sheds light on its relevance to FLD (Piaget, 1972; Ahmad et al., 2016; Marwaha et al., 2017; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148). The core tenets of this theory will subsequently be expounded.

Sensorimotor Stage (0-2 years). During the *Sensorimotor Stage* of development, infants' and toddlers' primary focus of attention centers around sensory experiences and physical actions. Their understanding of the world is constrained by what they can directly perceive through their senses and the actions they are capable of performing. This stage marks the early stages of language development. Infants establish associations between sounds and objects or actions, often engaging in babbling, which involves experimenting with different sounds and articulations. This babbling phase is paramount as it forms the cornerstone for subsequent language development milestones. One of the central achievements in this stage is the emergence of the concept of object permanence. Gradually, infants realize that objects maintain their existence even when they are no longer visible. This cognitive leap is pivotal for language development as it enables children to connect words with objects, even when those objects are temporarily out of sight. Caregivers assume a pivotal role in fostering language development during this stage. They do so by providing a linguistically enriching environment, engaging in verbal interactions with the infant, and responding to the child's vocalizations and gestures (Babakr et al., 2019; Sanghvi, 2020; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Pakpahan & Saragih, 2022).

Preoperational Stage (2-7 years). During the *Preoperational Stage* of development, children develop an increased capacity to use symbols, which includes language, to represent objects, actions, and abstract ideas. This stage is characterized by notable progress in language

development. Children rapidly expand their vocabulary and begin employing words to convey their thoughts, emotions, and wants. Additionally, they engage in imaginative play and storytelling, showcasing their burgeoning linguistic skills. However, children in this stage frequently display egocentrism, a tendency to struggle with understanding the perspectives of others. This egocentric mindset can result in communication challenges, as children may assume that others share their viewpoints, leading to potential misunderstandings. As children's language proficiency continues to grow during this stage, they become increasingly adept at utilizing language to navigate social interactions, express their needs, and partake in conversations with caregivers, peers, and adults (Babakr et al., 2019; Sanghvi, 2020; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Pakpahan & Saragih, 2022).

Concrete Operational Stage (7-11 years). The *Concrete Operational Stage*, a pivotal phase in cognitive development, significantly enhances a child's logical and concrete thinking capacity. During this stage, children exhibit a heightened ability to apply logical principles systematically, enabling them to approach problems with a more structured mindset. They begin to excel in understanding intricate relationships between objects and abstract concepts, demonstrating a marked maturation in their cognitive faculties. In parallel, the domain of language development continues its evolutionary trajectory. Children in this stage embark on a journey of refinement, meticulously honing their understanding of grammar, syntax, and an expanding vocabulary. Once a tool primarily for communication, language has evolved into a versatile instrument for academic pursuits, facilitating increasingly complex reading and writing tasks. Furthermore, communication becomes more sophisticated as children cultivate their social skills. The ability to consider and respect the perspectives of others becomes a hallmark of their communicative prowess. They adeptly navigate conversations, seamlessly taking turns, engaging in active listening, and adjusting their language use to suit the nuances

of different social contexts. This multifaceted development in cognitive and linguistic abilities during the concrete operational stage lays a sturdy foundation for their future intellectual and social growth (Babakr et al., 2019; Sanghvi, 2020; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Pakpahan & Saragih, 2022).

Formal Operational Stage (11 years and beyond). The *Formal Operational Stage*, a critical juncture in cognitive development, ushers in a remarkable transformation in the intellectual abilities of adolescents and adults. At this stage, individuals develop advanced abstract thinking skills that elevate their cognitive prowess. This newfound cognitive agility empowers them to engage in intricate mental tasks, particularly those involving hypothetical and deductive reasoning. These capabilities lay the groundwork for exploring complex linguistic and cognitive challenges previously beyond their reach. Within the domain of language development, the formal operational stage marks a momentous ascent. Language mastery transcends previous levels as individuals acquire more sophisticated language skills. This includes comprehending abstract concepts, the dexterity to wield complex sentence structures, and the finesse required to articulate nuanced expressions. In the realm of communication, adolescents wield language as a powerful instrument. It becomes their conduit for delving into the multifaceted intricacies of the world around them. Language takes on a new dimension as a tool for scrutinizing and dissecting abstract ideas, facilitating critical thinking, and enabling active engagement in high-level debates and discussions (Babakr et al., 2019; Sanghvi, 2020; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Pakpahan & Saragih, 2022).

Criticism. Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development has held a central position as a foundational framework in examining child development. Nevertheless, despite its enduring influence, Piaget's theory has not escaped critique. This section assesses certain limitations and criticisms linked to Piaget's theory, particularly concerning its relevance across diverse

populations, the potential underestimation of children's capabilities, and the role of culture in shaping cognitive development.

A notable critique of Piaget's theory revolves around its tendency to not fully consider the impact of cultural diversity on cognitive development. Piaget's stages are often portrayed as universally applicable milestones, implying that children across different cultures undergo a similar developmental journey. However, research has consistently shown that cultural context exerts a profound influence on the trajectory of cognitive development. To a large extent, Piaget's theory overlooks the role of culture in shaping cognitive processes, which results in an omission of significant variations in developmental pathways among diverse populations. This omission, in turn, limits the theory's ability to hold up consistently across various cultural contexts and hinders its broad applicability (Babakr et al., 2019; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Sanghvi, 2020).

Critics have argued that Piaget's theory tends to undervalue children's cognitive abilities, especially during the early stages of development. According to Piaget, children cannot perform certain tasks or grasp specific concepts until they reach a particular stage of development. However, recent research has shown that children may demonstrate cognitive capabilities earlier than Piaget initially proposed. For instance, studies have highlighted instances where infants and young children engage in intricate problem-solving, display a basic grasp of object permanence, and exhibit the capacity for symbolic thinking earlier than Piaget's theory suggested. This discrepancy in assessing children's abilities raises questions about the accuracy of the stage-based model (Babakr et al., 2019; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Sanghvi, 2020).

Another critique of Piaget's theory centers on its limited consideration of social and emotional factors in cognitive development. Piaget's primary focus on individual, self-

contained cognitive processes tends to diminish the significance of social interaction, emotional bonding, and the development of emotional intelligence in influencing cognitive growth. This narrowed perspective fails to capture the intricate interplay between social experiences and cognitive development, a dynamic underscored by more contemporary theories like Vygotsky's sociocultural theory. This omission results in an incomplete depiction of the multifaceted nature of child development (Babakr et al., 2019; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Sanghvi, 2020).

Piaget's theory introduces discrete developmental stages, each marked by apparent transitions. However, critics contend that these transitions lack a thorough explanation of the underlying cognitive processes or mechanisms. The theory often lacks precision in detailing the mechanisms guiding the shift from one stage to the next, leaving critical questions about the processes responsible for developmental change unanswered. This vagueness complicates our ability to predict when and how these transitions occur and the potential variations in this process among individuals (Babakr et al., 2019; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148; Sanghvi, 2020).

Lev Vygotsky. Lev Vygotsky, an eminent Soviet psychologist renowned for his intellectual prowess, is an invaluable pillar in developmental psychology and the theoretical foundations of the *Constructivist Approach*. Vygotsky's intellectual journey extended beyond the horizons of Jean Piaget's groundbreaking ideas, adding a layer of profound complexity and sociocultural richness to the scholarly understanding of child development. His monumental contributions introduced the sociocultural perspective, a cornerstone of the *Constructivist Approach*, which has left an indelible mark on the landscape of FLD. Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, involving social interactions, cultural context, and the *Zone of Proximal Development* (ZPD), represents a holistic and nuanced approach to understanding how language develops

within the child's cognitive landscape. Central to this theory is the recognition that language development is an inherently social process. It unfurls in the complexities of human interaction, where caregivers, peers, and mentors play pivotal roles as co-authors in the child's linguistic narrative (Xi & Lantolf, 2021; Alharbi, 2023).

The ZPD, an iconic concept within Vygotsky's framework, serves as a compass guiding educators and researchers alike. This conceptual terrain encapsulates the space between what a child can achieve independently and what they can accomplish with the scaffolding of a *More Knowledgeable Other*. Vygotsky's notion of scaffolding embodies the collaborative essence of language learning. It illustrates how individuals with a deeper understanding of language can provide invaluable support and guidance to those on the precipice of linguistic discovery. Moreover, Vygotsky's work underlines the profound impact of cultural context on language development. He argued that language is not merely a product of individual cognition but is deeply entwined with cultural norms, values, and practices. Within cultural traditions and linguistic communities, children acquire the structural elements of language and the nuances of communication, pragmatic competence, and sociolinguistic awareness. For an in-depth analysis of the ZPD, please see the section on the *Interactionist Approach* (Vygotsky, 1978; Xi & Lantolf, 2021; Nyberg, 2022, pp. 9-10).

Michael Halliday. Michael Halliday, renowned for pivotal contributions to linguistic scholarship, is influential in elucidating the *Constructivist Approach* to FLD. Halliday's scholarly journey in functional grammar has charted a distinctive course, enhancing the understanding of language as a dynamic medium for human expression and communication. This intellectual endeavor, grounded in the varied landscape of systemic functional linguistics, aligns profoundly with the *Constructivist Approach's* core principles, recognizing language's multifarious roles in human life. Central to Halliday's theoretical proposition is the emphasis

on language's functional characteristics. Halliday posited that language operates not as a static symbol system but as an active tool serving numerous communicative functions. Within this conceptualization, language appears as a flexible and continually adaptable medium, capable of generating meaning, enabling social interaction, and reflecting the breadth of human experiences. This perspective resonates with the *Constructivist Approach*, which similarly values children's active engagement in using language to explore their environment, share thoughts and emotions, and establish social bonds (Goodman, 1989; Halliday, 1994; Ziglari & Birjandi, 2012, pp. 2128–2132; Thwaite, 2019).

Halliday's academic contributions are a potent reminder that language extends beyond simply aggregation of words and rules. It emerges as a dynamic, complex, and constantly evolving entity, integral to navigating the complexities of human existence. Language forms the bedrock of human interactions with the world and other individuals. Through Halliday's lens, language is perceived as a vibrant instrument for interaction and the creation of meaning, a viewpoint closely mirroring the central tenet of the *Constructivist Approach*. This approach asserts that children are active participants in constructing their linguistic knowledge. In Halliday's functional grammar framework, language's multifaceted nature is unveiled, where it transcends mere information conveyance. Instead, it serves as a conduit for emotional expression, social connection establishment, significance negotiation, and adept navigation of diverse communicative contexts. Language, thereby, encompasses a spectrum ranging from expressive and poetic to practical and transactional, adeptly meeting the demands of various communicative scenarios (Goodman, 1989; Halliday, 1994; Ziglari & Birjandi, 2012, pp. 2128–2132; Thwaite, 2019).

Michael Tomasello. Michael Tomasello, a prominent figure in the field of developmental psychology, stands out as a significant contributor to the *Constructivist*

Approach. His scholarly work emphasizes the vital importance of various factors, such as social interaction, shared intentionality, and cultural learning, within the complex realm of language development. Tomasello's extensive research provides valuable insights into the dynamic interplay between a child's agency and the sociocultural context, emphasizing these elements' role in the gradual acquisition of language. At the core of Tomasello's research lies a profound acknowledgment of children's active and participatory role during their language acquisition journey. Tomasello sheds light on the intricate processes whereby children, even from their earliest developmental stages, do not passively receive linguistic input but eagerly engage as astute participants in social interaction. Through diligent observations and empirical studies, Tomasello underscores how children participate in joint attentional episodes, characterized by shared focus and mutual interest with their caregivers and peers. This engagement forms the bedrock of linguistic development, establishing the groundwork for comprehending how language operates as a communicative tool within the intricate framework of human interaction (Tomasello, 1999; Tomasello & Brooks, 1999; Tomasello, 2003; Pleyer & Hartmann, 2019; Behrens, 2021).

Tomasello's academic work also directs attention to shared intentionality, a significant cognitive and social milestone during early development. This concept embodies children's ability not only to understand the intentions of others but also to actively communicate their intentions, bridging the gap between individual thinking and collective understanding. The intricate interplay of shared intentionality lays the foundation for developing practical competence, equipping children with the necessary skills to navigate the complex intricacies of language in various social contexts. One of the most prominent aspects of Tomasello's research lies in his recognition of the inseparable connection between language and culture. Tomasello emphasizes that children acquire language as an abstract system of symbols and a

living product of their cultural environment. Through astute observation, Tomasello reveals how children learn not only the vocabulary and grammatical structures of their language but also absorb the diverse tapestry of cultural norms, values, and communication conventions intertwined with language. Tomasello's elucidation of this cultural embeddedness in language learning positions language development as a profoundly sociocultural endeavor, highlighting the reciprocal influence between language and culture in shaping linguistic competence (Tomasello, 1999; Tomasello & Brooks, 1999; Tomasello, 2003; Pleyer & Hartmann, 2019; Behrens, 2021).

These distinguished individuals, each presenting a unique perspective and a wealth of invaluable insights, collectively establish the foundation upon which the *Constructivist Approach* to initial language development firmly rests. Their pioneering work, spanning psychology, linguistics, and education, represents a transformative influence that has challenged conventional paradigms, fundamentally reshaping our understanding of how children actively and deliberately mold their linguistic competence. Through the lens of their combined contributions, the multifaceted fabric of language development takes on fresh dimensions, unveiling a dynamic interplay among children's agency, their diverse array of experiences, and the intricate processes of cognition. The groundbreaking insights offered by these notable figures have propelled the field of child language development into a new era. No longer relegated to the role of passive recipients of linguistic input, children, within the constructivist framework, emerge as architects of their linguistic destinies. Their interactions with caregivers, peers, and the broader sociocultural environment serve as sources for linguistic growth, where the fusion of linguistic input, cognitive mechanisms, and social involvement shapes the contours of linguistic development. This prevailing paradigm shift, catalyzed by

these influential figures, underscores children's dynamic and participatory nature in constructing their linguistic knowledge.

Environmental Influence. The *Constructivist Approach* argues that the surroundings in which a child grows up significantly impact the child's initial language development. This impact includes not just the language they are exposed to but also cultural and social factors that mold the child's language skills, ability to communicate, and understanding of practical language use (Jones & Brader-Araje, 2002; Dev, 2016; Santos & Castro, 2020; Behrens, 2021). To fully grasp the extent of environmental influence within this framework, it is beneficial to explore several essential aspects.

At the core of the *Constructivist Approach* lies the acknowledgment that the child's environment provides the primary source for language learning. From the earliest moments of life, infants are exposed to the sounds, words, and structures of their native language. Caregivers, in particular, assume a pivotal role as language models. Infants exhibit a remarkable sensitivity to the speech sounds of their caregivers, and this sensitivity forms the basis for phonological development. Research conducted in this domain has demonstrated that the quality and quantity of linguistic input can significantly influence language development. Children exposed to a rich and diverse linguistic environment tend to develop language more rapidly and expand their vocabulary more extensively.

Conversely, those with limited exposure to language may encounter delays or difficulties in language development. Furthermore, the *Constructivist Approach* recognizes that children actively listen to and observe their linguistic surroundings. They identify patterns, searching for regularities and recurring structures in the language they hear. This inherent cognitive ability facilitates the acquisition of grammar and syntax, allowing children to discern

the rules that govern their language (Jones & Brader-Araje, 2002; Dev, 2016; Santos & Castro, 2020; Behrens, 2021).

In addition to linguistic factors, the cultural context plays a pivotal role in shaping language development. Language and culture are inherently intertwined, and children acquire not only the vocabulary and grammar of their language but also the cultural norms and values woven into it. Cultural influences manifest in various aspects of language, including speech conventions, manners of politeness, and communication styles. For instance, different cultures may exhibit distinct practices concerning conversational turn-taking, using honorific language, or expressing emotions. Children learn not only the words and grammar of their language but also these cultural subtleties through interactions with family, peers, and their wider community. The impact of cultural context on language becomes particularly apparent in bilingual or multilingual environments, where children navigate between various linguistic and cultural realms. Such experiences can enrich their linguistic repertoire and deepen their cultural awareness, highlighting the interplay between language and culture within the *Constructivist Approach* (Ali et al., 2015; Gelman & Roberts, 2017; Kučera & Mehl, 2022; Altarriba & Basnight-Brown, 2022).

Furthermore, the *Constructivist Approach* highlights the pivotal role of social interactions in propelling language development forward. In this view, children do not passively absorb linguistic input but actively participate in social exchanges that facilitate language development. Social partners, including caregivers, peers, and educators, function as essential companions with whom children engage in communication. These interactions give children opportunities to practice and refine their language competencies. For instance, engagements with caregivers expose children to diverse speech registers, ranging from infant-directed speech to more formal linguistic expressions. These interactions also serve as the

context in which children acquire pragmatic aspects of language, including its usage in distinct social settings and for various communicative purposes. Furthermore, social interactions contribute to cultivating conversational aptitude, encompassing skills such as turn-taking, inquiry, and responsive communication. These proficiencies prove fundamental for effective communication and become progressively honed through real-world engagements embedded within the child's social milieu (Gunduz & Hursen, 2015; Dennick, 2016; Shah, 2019).

Practical Implications. Understanding the *Constructivist Approach* bears tangible significance for various stakeholders in the field of education, encompassing educators, caregivers, and policymakers alike. This educational framework underscores the imperative of cultivating enriched and diversified linguistic environments to nurture children's linguistic proficiencies. In this approach, pedagogical strategies accentuating active engagement, problem-solving, and collaborative learning thrive, serving as potent catalysts for augmenting language development. At the core of the *Constructivist Approach* lies a resolute focus on creating learning environments centered around the child. In such environments, educators assume the role of architects, meticulously designing classrooms and educational curricula that empower children to participate proactively in their knowledge construction. Children are encouraged to actively engage with language, question, explore, synthesize, and partake in the dynamic meaning-making process. To this end, pedagogical strategies that foster active engagement in language development, such as dialogic reading, storytelling, peer interactions, and cooperative learning, come to the fore. These pedagogical approaches serve as platforms for children to proactively shape their linguistic understanding (Ayaz & Şekerci, 2015; Dennick, 2016; Shah, 2019).

In pursuing nurturing language development, enveloping children in environments teeming with linguistic stimuli is imperative. Herein, educators and caregivers have a pivotal

role to play, exposing children to a myriad of vocabulary, language forms, and communicative contexts. These multi-faceted encounters with language enrich their linguistic repertoires. Embracing cultural responsiveness within the *Constructivist Approach* entails a deep appreciation of the cultural scaffolding that underpins a child's linguistic journey. Acknowledging linguistic diversity, cognizance of cultural norms, and acknowledging culture's formative role in language development become essential tenets of this pedagogical philosophy. Moreover, caregivers and educators can actively promote social interactions among children, an aspect emphasized within the *Constructivist Approach*. Encouraging peer-to-peer communication, collaborative endeavors, and communal discussions provides fertile ground for the social facets of language development to flourish. The pivotal roles of caregivers and families in language development are accentuated within this educational framework. Fostering caregiver involvement, provisioning resources for parents, and championing family literacy programs can be pivotal in enhancing children's language learning (Méndez et al., 2015; Roessingh, 2020; Cycyk et al., 2021; Yoon, 2023).

A congruent alignment of assessment methods with the *Constructivist Approach* is essential. Educators are encouraged to wield flexible and authentic assessment techniques that effectively capture children's active involvement with language. Approaches such as portfolio assessment, observational methodologies, and performance-based assessments prove invaluable. Professional development opportunities are pivotal in bolstering educators' competence in applying the *Constructivist Approach*. Training endeavors spanning interactive pedagogical methods, cultural competence, and strategies for fostering active learning are powerful tools for educators. These equip them with the requisite skill set to navigate the complex terrain of this educational philosophy. In curriculum design, harmonizing instructional materials with the principles of active language acquisition becomes paramount.

This necessitates the development of curricula that are rooted in real-world language use, problem-solving, and opportunities for children to actively partake in the construction of their linguistic edifice. Policymakers are urged to embrace *constructivist principles* when formulating early childhood education policies. Recognizing the pivotal role of play-based learning, advocating for the proliferation of diverse linguistic resources, and supporting educators' professional development serve as pivotal avenues for enhancing the educational landscape (Birgin & Baki, 2007; Loyens & Gijbels, 2008; Ayaz & Şekerci, 2015; Thomas, 2023).

Lastly, researchers remain at the vanguard of exploring and validating the *Constructivist Approach* through empirical inquiries. Their undertakings span various areas, from the effectiveness of active learning strategies to the nuanced interplay of cultural contexts and cognitive processes in language development. As practitioners and policymakers stride forward, they must commit to inclusivity and diversity within language learning environments. This involves the establishment of inclusive classrooms that accommodate the diverse linguistic backgrounds, abilities, and learning proclivities of children. Notably, the *Constructivist Approach* underscores the holistic nature of development, urging practitioners to contemplate the intricate interconnections between language development and facets spanning the cognitive, social, emotional, and physical domains of a child's growth journey (Can, 2009; Suhendi & Purwarno, 2018; Behrens, 2021).

Criticism. Despite its valuable insights into children's active involvement in FLD, the *Constructivist Approach* faces several criticisms and debates in its application to this context. Critics have raised concerns and limitations regarding this educational framework, encompassing various dimensions. One prominent criticism revolves around the ambiguity and variability in the practical implementation of the *Constructivist Approach*. Critics argue that

the approach lacks clear and standardized guidelines, making it challenging for educators to apply its principles consistently. This lack of clarity can lead to inconsistencies in teaching methods and outcomes. While child-centered learning is a fundamental aspect of the *Constructivist Approach*, some critics argue that an exclusive focus on child autonomy and exploration may overlook the need for structured guidance and explicit instruction in language development. They contend that children may require more explicit instruction, especially in certain linguistic aspects, to ensure comprehensive language development (Liu & Matthews, 2005; Osborne, 2014; Alanazi, 2016).

Critics also point out that the *Constructivist Approach* may result in variable student learning outcomes. Since the approach encourages individualized learning paths, there is a concern that some children may not receive adequate support or may progress at different rates. This variability in outcomes can be a source of educational inequity. Some critics argue that the *Constructivist Approach* does not sufficiently address learners' diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds. They contend that this approach may not adequately support children from linguistically and culturally diverse backgrounds, potentially perpetuating educational disparities. Critics highlight the risk of knowledge gaps in specific essential language skills when the *Constructivist Approach* is exclusively applied. They argue that without a structured curriculum or explicit instruction, children may miss out on critical aspects of language development, such as formal grammar rules or academic vocabulary. Evaluating students' progress and language proficiency under the *Constructivist Approach* can be challenging. Critics suggest that the lack of standardized assessments aligned with this approach makes it difficult to measure and compare language development across students and settings (Alanazi, 2016; Shah, 2019; Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

Furthermore, effectively implementing the *Constructivist Approach* requires significant resources, including well-trained educators, low student-to-teacher ratios, and access to various learning materials and environments. Critics argue that these resource demands may be impractical for many educational settings, particularly those with limited resources. As standardized testing remains a prevalent method of assessing language proficiency, critics express concerns that the *Constructivist Approach* may not adequately prepare students for such assessments. This can potentially limit students' educational and career opportunities. While the *Constructivist Approach* has significantly contributed to our understanding of how children actively acquire their first language, it is essential to acknowledge and address these criticisms. Critics emphasize the need for a balanced approach that combines child-centered learning with explicit instruction, provides clear guidance to educators, and takes into account the diverse needs of learners in various cultural and linguistic contexts (Akpan & Beard, 2016; Kinay & Karataş, 2017; Andhale, 2022).

Summary Statement. The *Constructivist Approach* to FLD represents a paradigm shift, highlighting children as active architects of linguistic knowledge rather than passive recipients. This framework, informed by scholars like Piaget, Vygotsky, Halliday, and Tomasello, underscores the dynamic interplay of cognitive stages, social interactions, and environmental engagement in shaping language acquisition. It employs diverse methodologies, from observational and longitudinal studies to cross-cultural research, to investigate how children construct meaning. While critiques address its cultural and developmental gaps, this approach offers valuable insights for educators, caregivers, and policymakers, advocating child-centered learning, interactive pedagogy, and holistic development. Neuroscientific findings further validate its emphasis on the active neural processes underlying language

acquisition, cementing the Constructivist Approach as a dynamic and enduring perspective on FLD.

Future Directions and Conclusion

The existing body of literature highlights substantial research efforts over several decades to elucidate FLD complexities, resulting in divergent theoretical frameworks. However, significant gaps remain in the comprehensive analysis of variables that may adversely influence the initial phases of FLD in young children. This gap is highlighted by a study conducted by Short et al. (2019), emphasizing the need for further investigation. The study underscores the multifaceted nature of factors affecting FLD and the potential for targeted interventions to modify specific conditions. It acknowledges the intricate interplay among these factors and the challenge of determining precise methods for manipulating risk and protective conditions to optimize linguistic outcomes. Given this context, there is a compelling need for additional research into factors that may have unfavorable impacts on FLD. Consequently, the present study addresses this need for further scholarly exploration.

Age and the Critical Period Hypothesis

Introduction

Age and the *Critical Period Hypothesis* (CPH) have long stood as subjects of paramount importance within FLD and *Second Language Acquisition* (SLA). This multifaceted inquiry into the interplay between chronological age and linguistic competence is replete with theoretical complexities, empirical investigations, and educational implications of profound consequence. The elucidation of how age influences the acquisition of a first language and the learning of subsequent languages represents an enduring endeavor that has sparked extensive scholarly discourse and empirical investigation. FLD encompasses the complex process by which infants and children effortlessly and systematically acquire their native language(s)

within the confines of their linguistic environment. This natural and seemingly instinctive progression raises intriguing questions concerning the role of age in the development of linguistic abilities (Zurlinden, 2004; Vanhove, 2013; Azieb, 2021; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Siahaan, 2022; Martin et al., 2022; Han & Bao, 2023).

Notably, the early years of life are marked by an immense surge in language acquisition, characterized by rapid vocabulary expansion and grammatical development. The phenomenon of *critical periods* or *sensitive periods* in FLD, as posited by theorists like Eric Lenneberg, suggests that there may be biologically determined windows of opportunity during which linguistic acquisition occurs most optimally. Consequently, FLD provides an illuminating context for examining the temporal dimensions of linguistic development and their ramifications on linguistic competence (Zurlinden, 2004; Vanhove, 2013; Azieb, 2021; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Siahaan, 2022; Martin et al., 2022; Han & Bao, 2023).

In parallel, SLA scrutinizes acquiring languages beyond one's native tongue, affording a distinct perspective on age-related effects in language learning. SLA acknowledges the diverse contexts in which individuals acquire a new language, whether for academic, professional, or personal purposes. Here, the impact of age on language learning becomes increasingly notable, and the CPH surfaces as a seminal construct. The CPH posits that there exists a finite temporal window within which language acquisition is notably efficient, raising essential questions about the extent to which age exerts influence over the success and proficiency of second language learners. This section investigates the literature concerning age and the CPH, theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and pedagogical implications that collectively shape understanding of this complex interrelationship. By traversing the domains of FLD and SLA, this inquiry seeks to illuminate the multifaceted ways chronological age intersects with linguistic development and learning. In so doing, it endeavors to provide a

comprehensive and nuanced portrait of the pivotal role age plays in shaping linguistic competence across the human lifespan (Zurlinden, 2004; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Aljumah, 2020; Azieb, 2021; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Siahaan, 2022; Martin et al., 2022; Han & Bao, 2023).

Origins of the CPH

The inception of the CPH can be historically situated within the mid-20th century. During this period, Wilder Penfield and Lamar Roberts emerged as prominent figures in exploring and elucidating the CPH, specifically emphasizing its application within the realm of language acquisition. The seminal contributions of Penfield and Roberts, including their collaborative endeavor in the publication of their book *Speech and Brain Mechanisms* in 1959, played a pivotal role in advancing the comprehension of the CPH and its intricate interplay with the neural underpinnings of language. Penfield, a distinguished neurosurgeon and neuroscientist of international acclaim, is notably renowned for groundbreaking investigations into the functional cartography of the human brain. Pioneering research endeavors, conducted predominantly during the mid-20th century, encompassed the utilization of direct brain stimulation techniques in conscious patients undergoing surgical interventions for epilepsy. Employing scrupulous cortical mapping procedures, the research team led by Penfield and Roberts achieved a notable milestone by successfully delineating discrete cerebral regions responsible for a broad spectrum of sensory and motor functions (Penfield & Roberts, 1959; Penfield, 2013; Hyltenstam, 2020; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021).

In the domain of linguistic inquiry, the research conducted by Penfield has significantly illuminated the neural substrates that underlie the intricate process of language comprehension and production. His empirical findings substantiated the prevailing notion that linguistic functions are anatomically localized within specific cerebral regions, with a pronounced

emphasis on the left hemisphere facilitating language articulation and comprehension. This precise anatomical localization of language-related functions served as a foundational underpinning for subsequent investigations into the phenomenon of language acquisition, including inquiries that sought to discern its ramifications within the framework of the CPH. Lamar Roberts, an esteemed neuroscientist and a collaborator of Penfield, assumed a pivotal role in advancing scholarly comprehension of language and its underlying neural mechanisms. In tandem with Penfield, Roberts conducted an extensive array of investigations centered on the organization of language within cerebral architecture. Their seminal body of work delved deeply into the intricacies of language processing and its intricate nexus with the neurological substrate (Penfield & Roberts, 1959; Ramirez et al., 2016; Fujii et al., 2016; Weismer & Brown, 2021, pp. 30-31).

In the context of the CPH, the research conducted by Penfield and Roberts bore profound implications. While their primary investigative focus did not explicitly center on the CPH, their work nonetheless indirectly fortified the conceptual framework of a critical period governing language acquisition. By empirically demonstrating the localization of language-related functions within distinct cerebral regions, they provided substantive empirical validation for the notion that language acquisition may be most efficacious within circumscribed developmental windows. Their seminal work, encapsulated in the book *Speech and Brain Mechanisms*, is a landmark contribution to language and neuroscience research. This comprehensive scholarly work delved into the neural substrates underpinning language processes, advancing our comprehension of how the brain orchestrates the intricacies of speech and language. Although the CPH did not constitute the central theme of their inquiry, the profound insights gleaned from their investigations laid essential foundational groundwork for subsequent scholarly inquiries probing the intricate relationship between brain development

and language acquisition. The pioneering efforts undertaken by Penfield and Roberts in the domains of cerebral cartography and neurosurgery continue to wield a substantial impact on contemporary research about language development and the critical period delineating language acquisition (Penfield & Roberts, 1959; Vanhove, 2013; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Weismer & Brown, 2021, pp. 30-31; Han & Bao, 2023).

Another eminent and influential scholar who played a pivotal role in disseminating the CPH was Eric Lenneberg. Lenneberg, renowned as a polymath with notable proficiency in linguistics and neurology, embarked upon a comprehensive and interdisciplinary exploration to unravel the intricate interplay between age and the complex language acquisition process. Lenneberg's scholarly pursuits, characterized by their multifaceted nature, not only laid a foundational cornerstone but also exerted significant sway over subsequent research initiatives within linguistics and cognitive science. As a result, his work engendered the conceptual evolution and refinement of the CPH, making substantial contributions to the academic discourse (Lenneberg, 1967; Vanhove, 2013; Siahaan, 2022; Huang, 2022).

Lenneberg emerged as a distinguished scholar characterized by his unique amalgamation of expertise spanning the academic domains of linguistics, neurology, and developmental psychology. This multifaceted intellectual foundation endowed him with a distinctive perspective conducive to the comprehensive exploration of language acquisition, allowing for a nuanced and multifaceted analysis of this intricate phenomenon. Particularly noteworthy among Lenneberg's substantial contributions is his most prominent scholarly work titled *Biological Foundations of Language*, which was published in 1967. Within the pages of this groundbreaking work, Lenneberg advanced a theoretical framework positing an intrinsic connection between language acquisition and underlying biological substrates, all subject to the influence of maturation processes. Central to his theoretical construct was the proposition

of a discernible critical period circumscribing the realm of language acquisition, a biologically ordained temporal window characterized by individuals' heightened susceptibility to linguistic acquisition. Lenneberg postulated that this critical period concludes in close temporal proximity to the onset of puberty, a notion with far-reaching implications for scholarly understanding of the intricacies of language acquisition (Lenneberg, 1967; Vanhove, 2013; Siahaan, 2022; Huang, 2022).

Lenneberg's proposition regarding a critical period within language acquisition draws partly from the meticulous examination of individuals who experienced cerebral injuries affecting language-related brain regions during their formative years. Lenneberg's perceptive observations revealed a compelling pattern: children who endured such traumatic neurological incidents displayed a remarkable proclivity for linguistic recovery, characterized by their impressive resurgence in language proficiency. Conversely, adults with analogous injuries exhibited a notably more restricted capacity for linguistic recuperation. This pronounced contrast in the post-injury linguistic outcomes, contingent upon the age at which the neurological insult occurred, spurred Lenneberg's foray into the realm of conjecture. Lenneberg postulated the hypothesis that a temporally circumscribed window exists during which language development attains its pinnacle (Lenneberg, 1967; Vanhove, 2013; Siahaan, 2022; Huang, 2022).

Because of its multifaceted cognitive nature, Lenneberg posited that the language acquisition process exhibits a complex interplay with the neurobiological maturation of the human brain and its diverse linguistic functions. He proposed that the human brain undergoes discernible developmental phases, and language acquisition seamlessly aligns with the progression of these developmental epochs. It is precisely this alignment between the process of language acquisition and the sequential stages of brain development that forms the

foundation for the conceptualization of a critical period, a temporal interval distinguished by its synchrony with the evolving neurobiological landscape (Lenneberg, 1967; Vanhove, 2013; Siahaan, 2022; Huang, 2022).

Lenneberg's scholarly contributions were pivotal and transformative in effecting a paradigmatic shift in the discourse surrounding language acquisition. Lenneberg's groundbreaking contributions engendered a substantive reorientation firmly rooted in biological and maturational considerations. Although subject to scholarly critique, Lenneberg's intellectual propositions ignited a profound and sustained surge of scholarly curiosity and investigation, particularly concerning the intricate interplay between chronological age and the process of language acquisition. Lenneberg's pioneering multidisciplinary approach, characterized by the amalgamation of linguistics and neurology, served as an influential catalyst, poised at the forefront of subsequent inquiries into the neural substrates underpinning language acquisition dynamics and the intricate conceptualization of critical periods (Lenneberg, 1967; Vanhove, 2013; Siahaan, 2022; Huang, 2022).

Chomskyan Linguistics and Universal Grammar

Noam Chomsky, a distinguished figure in linguistics, embarked on a transformative intellectual journey during the 1950s that would profoundly reshape the landscape of linguistic inquiry. At the core of this scholarly expedition stood his central theory of transformational-generative grammar, which posed a formidable challenge to established paradigms of language acquisition but also ushered in a paradigm shift of its own. In a stark divergence from predominant behaviorist perspectives, this theoretical framework, which predominantly emphasized the influence of external stimuli and the reinforcing mechanisms of learning, Chomsky's conceptual architecture stood as a formidable citadel of internalism. Chomsky propounded that the human capacity for language is not a mere byproduct of environmental

conditioning but, instead, finds its foundation in innate cognitive structures and mechanisms (Kibbee, 2010, pp. 48-52; Hutaurok, 2015; Nor & Rashid, 2018; Broad, 2020; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, pp. 3-9, 15; Zhao, 2022).

Central to Noam Chomsky's theoretical framework was the foundational concept of *Universal Grammar* (UG). Amidst the intricate complexity of linguistics, UG emerged as a unifying and overarching force, postulating that beneath the diverse array of human languages lies a fundamental substrate of shared, underlying grammatical structures. Chomsky's assertion contended that the observable linguistic diversity across human languages merely represented a multifaceted reflection of how these universal principles are interwoven into the particular fabric of individual languages. Chomsky further argued that owing to their biological heritage, humans possess a language faculty that encompasses a set of grammatical principles transcending linguistic boundaries and spanning the spectrum of human languages (Kuhl, 2000; Dąbrowska, 2015; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 16; Zhao, 2022).

Within the concept of UG, the seeds of alignment with the CPH were sown. The hypothesis, premised on the idea that a universal and biologically determined grammar pervades the landscape of human language, inevitably led to the intriguing proposition that there might exist a specific temporal window during which the internalization of these grammatical principles is most readily accomplished. Following Chomskyan linguistics, the CPH ventured to posit that a distinct phase emerges within the course of human development, a phase during which the cognitive architecture of the human brain becomes particularly receptive to the assimilation of the principle's underpinning UG (Kuhl, 2000; Dąbrowska, 2015; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 16; Zhao, 2022).

Chomsky's theoretical framework espoused the proposition that language does not solely result from cultural or environmental influences but is a product of biological

endowment. This bold perspective represented a challenge to established paradigms that construed language as predominantly shaped by external factors. Within the purview of this transformative paradigm, the conceptualization of a critical period for language acquisition emerged as a guiding theoretical principle. If language is indeed biologically encoded, as posited by Chomsky, it logically follows that there may exist a time-constrained period during which this biological encoding reaches the peak of its effectiveness, thus giving rise to the critical period framework (Kuhl, 2000; Dąbrowska, 2015; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 16; Zhao, 2022).

The profound impact of Chomsky's influential ideas resonated throughout language acquisition research, ushering in a paradigmatic shift that propelled scholars to investigate innate linguistic structures within the context of child language development. This transformative shift in perspective precipitated a reorientation of scholarly discourse, marked by a newfound acknowledgment that children may indeed possess a distinct advantage in acquiring the grammatical framework of their native language, particularly during a critical period that aligns with the fundamental propositions of the CPH (Kuhl, 2000; Dąbrowska, 2015; Becker & Ud-Deen, 2020, p. 16; Zhao, 2022).

Steven Pinker

Steven Pinker, a preeminent figure in cognitive psychology and linguistics, has assumed a pivotal and influential role in molding the comprehension of language acquisition and conceptualizing critical periods within language development. Although Pinker's scholarly endeavors are not predominantly tethered to the CPH, his influential theories have made substantial and notable contributions to the ongoing discourse surrounding language acquisition and the temporal aspects inherent to linguistic development (Pinker, 1994; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Franks, 2018). This section explores Pinker's contributions to the

scholarly understanding of language acquisition and critical periods, drawing upon salient facets of his research and theoretical constructs.

Steven Pinker has made a pivotal contribution to language acquisition by staunchly advocating the concept of language as an inherent human faculty. This foundational tenet of Pinker's scholarly work, elucidated most notably in his prominent book *The Language Instinct*, directly contradicts the behaviorist paradigm positing that children acquire language exclusively through environmental exposure and input. Rather than endorsing this behaviorist stance, Pinker posits that human beings possess an innate proclivity for language acquisition, a predisposition rooted in the concept of UG. According to Pinker's postulation, UG represents a set of inherent linguistic principles shared universally across all human languages (Pinker, 1994; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Franks, 2018).

This perspective bears significant ramifications for conceptualizing critical periods within the domain of language acquisition. The proposition that language constitutes an innate faculty engenders the hypothesis that there exist biologically predetermined temporal windows during which language acquisition attains its zenith regarding efficiency and efficacy. Although Pinker refrains from explicit endorsement of the construct of critical periods, his emphasis on the biological underpinnings of language acquisition harmonizes with the proposition that there exist optimal developmental epochs in a child's maturation trajectory conducive to the acquisition of linguistic skills (Pinker, 1994; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Franks, 2018).

Pinker's scholarship also underscores the vital role of cognitive maturation in language development. His discourse contends that children traverse discrete stages of cognitive evolution, profoundly influencing their linguistic acquisition. An illustrative case in point involves Pinker's examination of the emergence of the theory of mind, a cognitive faculty

denoting the capacity to apprehend and attribute mental states to oneself and others. He posits this developmental milestone as a pivotal nexus in language acquisition. This scholarly perspective imparts pertinence to the notion of critical periods, as it intimates that the temporal sequencing of language attainment is intricately entwined with the broader panorama of cognitive maturation. Although Pinker refrains from explicitly supporting the CPH, his scholarly contributions highlight the symbiotic relationship between cognitive development and language acquisition. Consequently, it elucidates the underpinnings for the accelerated development of linguistic competencies during certain junctures of childhood (Pinker, 1994; Rose et al., 2009).

One of the nuances in Pinker's approach to language acquisition is his skepticism regarding the existence of an abrupt, fixed critical period beyond which language acquisition becomes exceptionally challenging or impossible. While he acknowledges that language learning may become more challenging with age, he does not endorse the idea that language acquisition has a sharp cut-off point. Pinker's stance aligns with the view that language acquisition is a gradual process influenced by various factors, including cognitive development, exposure to linguistic input, and individual differences. In this sense, his work contributes to a more nuanced understanding of critical periods, suggesting that the timing and extent of language acquisition may vary among individuals (Hartshorne et al., 2018; Chen & Hartshorne, 2021).

Early Language Development

A prominent hallmark that demarcates early language development as a captivating domain of scholarly inquiry resides in the remarkable celerity with which young children embark upon expanding their lexicon. Within the initial years of life, infants and toddlers embark upon a linguistic journey characterized by an acquisition rate of words that borders on

the remarkable. Empirical investigations have substantiated this phenomenon, revealing that young children, during certain junctures of their linguistic journey, may incorporate several novel lexical items into their repertoire within a single day. This increase in the growth of vocabulary attains its peak during the phase known as the *vocabulary spurt*. This intriguing developmental journey typically unfolds between the ages of 18 to 24 months, imparting further strata of intricacy to the overarching narrative (Rowe et al., 2012; van Druten-Frietman et al., 2015; Eshghi et al., 2019; Wasik & Hindman, 2020).

In addition to the notable emphasis on vocabulary expansion, early language development offers another captivating dimension, namely, the domain of grammatical development. As children navigate this linguistic landscape, they embark on a trajectory marked by the emergence of increasingly complex sentence structures. This journey signifies their gradual progression toward a deeper comprehension of the grammatical rules that underlie their linguistic environment. For instance, toddlers initiate their linguistic exploration of concepts such as plurals, verb tenses, and pronoun usage, signifying a discernible shift from the realm of isolated words to the art of constructing more intricate sentences (Beckman & Edwards, 2000; Swingley, 2009; Biliias-Lolis & Papadopoulos, 2024).

As elucidated through empirical investigations, the landscape of early language development features a notable aspect: the heightened acuity with which young children perceive and engage with the phonological patterns inherent to their native language(s). This innate sensitivity empowers them to discriminate and accurately reproduce the distinctive sounds and phonetic elements that characterize their linguistic surroundings. Empirical inquiry has unveiled the remarkable persistence of this phonological acumen, with research findings demonstrating that infants as young as six months of age can proficiently differentiate between phonetic units originating from diverse languages. Nevertheless, this cognitive capacity of

discernment gradually refines its focus, becoming increasingly attuned to the phonetic components indigenous to their specific native linguistic context as they progress along the continuum of linguistic development (Rowe et al., 2012; van Druten-Frietman et al., 2015; Eshghi et al., 2019; Wasik & Hindman, 2020; Oikonomou & Papadopoulos, 2024).

Within the intricate paradigm of language development in infants and toddlers, noteworthy milestones are prominent markers, symbolizing the profound metamorphosis that characterizes language acquisition during these formative years. These milestones represent narratives of linguistic evolution in their own right, commencing with the introductory phase of cooing and babbling in the early months of life. This initial stage is followed by the momentous emergence of a child's inaugural words, typically around the age of one, culminating in the development of two-word expressions commonly referred to as *telegraphic speech*, which commonly makes its debut by the age of two. These milestones function as tangible guideposts, illuminating the trajectory of linguistic advancement unfolding at a pace that can only be described as remarkably rapid (Gelman & Brandone, 2010; Ramirez et al., 2013; Hutaurok, 2015; Visser-Bochane et al., 2020; Baihaqi, 2020; Osaë & Papadopoulos, 2024).

The conceptual framework surrounding critical periods in the context of FLD Is, in part, an extension of empirical observations that highlight the remarkable speed at which children navigate these early language milestones, particularly during the initial years of life. Advocates of the CPH assert that this expeditious linguistic journey represents a manifestation of a biologically ordained temporal phase where language acquisition processes operate with unparalleled efficiency. They contend that as this temporal window gradually narrows, the language learning trajectory becomes progressively more challenging, marked by an increased array of obstacles and impediments (Papadopoulos, 2010; McCauley & Christiansen, 2019).

It is essential to emphasize that, in the realm of early language development, diversity is the prevailing norm. Each child embarks on their linguistic journey at a unique pace, resulting in diverse developmental timelines. This inherent variability has engendered scholarly discourse and ignited conversations regarding the precise nature and boundaries of the critical period itself. The central question concerns whether it constitutes an immutable, inflexible temporal framework or a more adaptable and nuanced developmental phase characterized by intricate subtleties warranting further investigation (Birdsong, 2018; Hernandez et al., 2021; Papadopoulos, 2024).

Empirical Studies in FLD and the CPH

Within the expansive domain of language acquisition, numerous empirical inquiries have embarked upon the challenging yet enlightening endeavor of disentangling the intricate web of age-related disparities that permeate this field of study. These rigorous investigations have sought to elucidate how children, situated at varying points along the age spectrum, navigate the intricate trajectory of language development. Their objective has been to discern identifiable patterns of linguistic acquisition that correspond to distinct age cohorts. It is often within the crucible of longitudinal research designs that these studies originate, meticulously tracking the evolving narrative of language development in children, commencing from the nascent phases of infancy and extending through the pivotal formative years of early childhood (Perkins et al., 2013; Weisleder & Fernald, 2013; Schwab & Lew-Williams, 2016; Blumenfeld et al., 2017; Pace et al., 2017; Attig & Weinert, 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2023).

Empirical investigations have consistently emphasized the remarkable expansion of vocabulary that typifies the initial stages of a child's linguistic journey. These studies have revealed the astonishing speed at which toddlers, akin to receptive lexical learners, absorb an ever-expanding lexicon. It is worth noting that these emerging linguists may acquire as many

as nine new words within a single day during specific developmental phases. This phenomenon illuminates the dynamic and constantly evolving nature that characterizes the domain of early language development (Perkins et al., 2013; Weisleder & Fernald, 2013; Schwab & Lew-Williams, 2016; Blumenfeld et al., 2017; Pace et al., 2017; Attig & Weinert, 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2023).

In addition to the predominant emphasis on vocabulary acquisition, empirical investigations have meticulously examined the developmental trajectory associated with grammar in children. Researchers have detailedly analyzed how children acquire the nuances of intricate sentence structures, verb tenses, plurals, and pronoun usage. Longitudinal investigations within this domain have revealed a gradual acquisition of these grammatical facets, with more complex linguistic structures gradually emerging as children advance along the chronological spectrum of growth and development (Perkins et al., 2013; Weisleder & Fernald, 2013; Schwab & Lew-Williams, 2016; Blumenfeld et al., 2017; Pace et al., 2017; Attig & Weinert, 2020; Ribeiro et al., 2023).

Furthermore, phonological development has become a significant focal point within FLD research. Researchers have explored children's inherent sensitivity to the nuanced intricacies of phonological patterns, seeking to unravel the complex fabric of their capacity to discern and accurately reproduce the intricate array of speech sounds. Empirical observations within this domain shed light on the presence of sensitive periods, specific epochs during which children demonstrate proficiency in perceiving and faithfully reproducing the phonetic elements inherent to their native language(s). This heightened sensitivity, analogous to a finely tuned instrument, undergoes a refinement process as children progress through the stages of maturation (Al Otaiba et al., 2009; Milankov et al., 2021; Skubic et al., 2021).

Case Studies

Remarkably, the domain of empirical research in FLA has been enriched by captivating narratives found within case studies of individuals who, by chance or circumstance, navigated the challenging terrain of language deprivation during their foundational years. Notable instances encompass the poignant story of Genie, a young girl who endured a distressing existence marked by isolation and neglect, and the enigmatic chronicles of feral children who grew up in utter isolation from human language. These poignant narratives are compelling testimonies to the profound notion of critical periods within FLA. Despite extensive intervention, Genie's profoundly limited language development resonates as a poignant reminder of the unequivocal significance of early language exposure in shaping typical linguistic development (Curtiss, 1977; Du, 2010).

Victor of Aveyron, another case akin to Genie's, exemplifies the concept of a feral child who grew up in seclusion without any exposure to language during the crucial formative years of development. Victor's discovery transpired in the sylvan regions of Aveyron, France, in 1800 when he was estimated to be between 10 and 12 years old. His enduring isolation and absence of meaningful linguistic experiences prompted inquiries into the potential repercussions of delayed language exposure on his cognitive and linguistic maturation. Victor's situation presented an exceptional opportunity for early scholars, most notably Jean-Marc-Gaspard Itard, to meticulously observe and chronicle his gradual acquisition of language and cognitive proficiencies (Itard, 1962; Goodey, 2017; Gabriel, 2019).

Victor's initial communicative abilities were notably constrained, primarily through rudimentary gestures and vocalizations. These rudimentary means of expression were emblematic of the protracted period of isolation that defined his early life. Itard's diligent and arduous efforts to facilitate Victor's acquisition of language and social skills served as a

testament to the formidable challenges of acquiring a primary language beyond the conventionally postulated critical period. While marked by its limitations, Victor's developmental journey offered significant insights into the potential plasticity of language acquisition beyond the presumed boundaries of the critical period. Although Victor did not attain a level of language proficiency characteristic of native speakers, his demonstrable linguistic progress underscores the notion that the critical period may be more adaptable than previously conceived. This underscores the necessity of reevaluating the precise parameters and potential variabilities of the CPH concerning language acquisition (Itard, 1962; Goodey, 2017; Gabriel, 2019).

A myriad of factors likely exerted influence on Victor's linguistic development. His age at the time of discovery, the duration of his prolonged isolation, and the crucial role played by caregivers, particularly Itard, all represent pivotal variables in this intricate equation of language acquisition. Firstly, Victor's age when found in the wilderness is of considerable importance. The CPH posits that there may be a specific window during which language acquisition is most effective. Therefore, the timing of Victor's rescue raises questions about how the developmental stage at which he was introduced to language impacted his linguistic progress. Secondly, the duration of Victor's isolation is a critical consideration. Prolonged isolation devoid of linguistic exposure might potentially accentuate the challenges associated with language acquisition. Evaluating the extent of this deprivation becomes essential in comprehending the boundaries and malleability of the critical period (Itard, 1962; Goodey, 2017; Gabriel, 2019).

Lastly, the presence of supportive caregivers, most notably Itard, introduces the nurturing aspect of Victor's linguistic journey. Itard's unwavering dedication to Victor's education and social integration offers insight into the potential compensatory mechanisms that

external interventions can provide without early linguistic exposure. This facet underscores the dynamic interplay between inherent cognitive capacities and the influence of environmental factors in shaping language development. Victor's case underscores the intricate interplay between multiple variables in language development, including age, duration of isolation, and external interventions. It prompts scholars to explore how these factors collectively shape linguistic outcomes and raises questions about the nuances of the CPH (Itard, 1962; Goodey, 2017; Gabriel, 2019).

The subsequent case pertains to Isabelle, a juvenile who was located in the United States in 1938. Her situation is framed within the context of the CPH. Isabelle's narrative presents a captivating avenue to investigate the influence of early exposure to language on the development of one's initial linguistic capabilities and its consequences for the scholarly comprehension of the CPH. Her experiences illuminate the importance of societal and environmental elements in acquiring language, thereby questioning the notion of an unalterable critical period. Isabelle's case offers a distinct perspective for scrutinizing the connection between early linguistic exposure and the progression of one's primary language skills (Brown, 1958, pp. 191-192; Gleitman & Liberman, 1995, pp. 11-15; Du, 2010; Clark, 2016, pp. 413-414; Saxton, 2017, p. 72).

Isabelle's narrative commenced in 1938 when she was discovered at around six years old. She resided in seclusion with her mother, who was deaf and mute, in the United States. During the initial phase of her life, she encountered a dearth of substantial linguistic engagement. Her situation not only prompts inquiries regarding the repercussions of delayed language exposure on cognitive and linguistic maturation but also provides valuable perspectives on the prospect of linguistic recuperation. Isabelle initially exhibited notably restricted communicative capabilities, primarily manifesting through gestures and primary

vocalizations. This pattern aligns with observations in other instances of extreme isolation. Nevertheless, under the tutelage of David and Myrtle Kaspar Hauser, who assumed roles as foster parents and educators, Isabelle demonstrated remarkable strides in acquiring language and social aptitudes. Her narrative serves as a compelling illustration of the substantial influence that early intervention and social engagement can exert on the development of language skills (Brown, 1958, pp. 191-192; Gleitman & Liberman, 1995, pp. 11-15; Du, 2010; Clark, 2016, pp. 413-414; Saxton, 2017, p. 72).

Isabelle's situation challenges the stringent interpretation of the CPH, which posits that the lack of linguistic exposure during the critical period results in unalterable language deficits. Her notable advancement in language acquisition highlights the possibility that the critical period might possess greater flexibility and adaptability than previously assumed. This, in turn, prompts a reassessment of the precise limits and variations of the critical period concerning language acquisition. Isabelle's experience underscores the significant importance of timely intervention and interpersonal engagement in ameliorating the consequences of early deprivation on language development. It accentuates the capacity for language recuperation and progression, even in individuals who have bypassed the customary timeframe for language acquisition, thus emphasizing the complex and dynamic interconnection between innate capabilities and external influences in the process of language development (Brown, 1958, pp. 191-192; Gleitman & Liberman, 1995, pp. 11-15; Du, 2010; Clark, 2016, pp. 413-414; Saxton, 2017, p. 72).

Isabelle's case is a noteworthy illustration of CPH and its consequences in the domain of initial language development. Her narrative contests the concept of an inflexible critical period and accentuates the impact of early exposure to language and social engagement on language acquisition. In reconsidering the limits and adaptability of the critical period,

Isabelle's account contributes to an enhanced comprehension of the intricate aspects of language acquisition and the potential for language reclamation in situations marked by severe deprivation. Ultimately, it serves as a reminder of the profound interplay between biological factors and environmental influences in the molding of human language development (Brown, 1958, pp. 191-192; Gleitman & Liberman, 1995, pp. 11-15; Du, 2010; Clark, 2016, pp. 413-414; Saxton, 2017, p. 72).

Empirical investigations have not only shone a spotlight upon general patterns of language acquisition but have also cast a discerning gaze upon the variegated landscape of individual differences that punctuate this developmental trajectory. In this intricate realm, the pace and sequence of language milestones manifest themselves in a variegated complexity, highlighting the intrinsic heterogeneity that characterizes the linguistic journeys of children. This rich tapestry of variability has, in turn, engendered scholarly discourse surrounding the malleability of the critical period concept, with fervent discussions questioning whether it should be viewed as an unyielding temporal framework or a more nuanced developmental phase, the boundaries of which remain imbued with elasticity (Vanhove, 2013; Friedmann & Rusou, 2015; Han & Bao, 2023).

Furthermore, within the annals of longitudinal studies, marked by their meticulous documentation of language development in children across a spectrum of ages, critical periods in FLD are imbued with a deeper layer of comprehension. These inquiries, marked by their granularity, have borne witness to the multifaceted interplay between age and linguistic attainment, furnishing evidence that tantalizingly suggests the potential existence of sensitive periods for specific linguistic features, such as phonological development, while simultaneously acknowledging the presence of gradual growth trajectories within other facets of language acquisition (Vanhove, 2013; Friedmann & Rusou, 2015; Han & Bao, 2023).

Age and SLA

The role of age assumes a significant and central position within the complex field of SLA. It has consistently been a prominent subject of investigation, prompting researchers to explore it extensively. This exploration aims to uncover the intricate relationship between the age at which individuals commence their journey towards mastering a second language and its consequences for their eventual linguistic proficiency and achievements. At the epicenter of this scholarly discourse stands the CPH, a theoretical construct that postulates the existence of a biologically ordained temporal window, a crucial period of linguistic efficiency and success. Within the context of SLA, the CPH advances the proposition that an optimal age for language acquisition may exist, beyond which the path to native-like proficiency becomes increasingly encumbered, characterized by more significant challenges and impediments (Vanhove, 2013; Hu, 2016; Sang, 2017; Birdsong, 2018; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Ozfidan & Burlbaw, 2019; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Azieb, 2021; Han & Bao, 2023; Papadopoulos & Bourogianni, 2024).

Empirical investigations, characterized by thorough examination and robust research methods, consistently provide evidence in support of the assertion that individuals in the earlier stages of SLA, notably children and adolescents, often surpass their adult counterparts. This trend is particularly evident in their ability to grasp subtle nuances of pronunciation and overcome the challenges of acquiring a native-like accent. Their linguistic development frequently culminates in the achievement of advanced levels of fluency, positioning them as role models within the field of language acquisition. Moreover, research enriches scholarly understanding by highlighting the heightened likelihood of younger learners achieving native-like pronunciation in a second language. This is prominently showcased through their enhanced phonological adaptability, a distinctive cognitive trait that enables them to accurately replicate

the speech sounds of native speakers with exceptional precision. Scholars have coined the term *accent advantage* to describe this phenomenon in younger learners, which manifests in their linguistic proficiency (Hu, 2016; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Suryantari, 2018; Ozfidan & Burlbaw, 2019; Papadopoulos & Hathaway, 2024).

Nonetheless, the theoretical concepts proposed by the CPH, which implies a strict window of time defining when SLA is achievable or challenging, continue to spark ongoing and vigorous discussions among scholars. Some researchers offer an alternative viewpoint, suggesting that the critical period for SLA might have some flexibility, thereby challenging the initial rigid notion. Empirical research has supported this argument, shedding light on adults' ability to reach high proficiency levels in a second language, even if they may retain certain accents or not achieve complete native-like fluency. Age-related effects in SLA, although undoubtedly influenced by time, become more complex when viewed through the lens of individual differences. In this context, motivation, aptitude, and prior language learning experiences emerge as significant determinants, each leaving its distinct mark on the landscape of language acquisition. Particularly motivated adult learners, for example, can serve as examples of linguistic excellence, demonstrating that starting language learning later in life does not necessarily pose an insurmountable obstacle to success (Redmond, 1992; Hakuta et al., 2003; Vanhove, 2013; Papadopoulos & Jansen, 2024).

The setting in which language learning occurs plays a crucial role in discussing age-related factors. Younger learners benefit significantly from immersion programs and naturalistic settings, resembling FLD's early language acquisition environment. On the other hand, adults tend to excel in formal classroom settings, where explicit instruction and heightened awareness of language rules take precedence. Nevertheless, it's essential to recognize the potential impact of multifaceted decline as individuals age, particularly in areas

such as working memory and processing speed. While these factors can indeed influence language learning, older adults often possess a reservoir of metacognitive skills that act as a compensatory mechanism, effectively mitigating the cognitive limitations that could potentially hinder their language acquisition abilities (Berggren et al., 2020; Nilsson et al., 2021; Ware et al., 2021; Nijmeijer et al., 2021; Papadopoulos & Papadopoulou, 2023; Schepens et al., 2023).

Another aspect of empirical investigation involves examining documented instances of successful SLA that have occurred beyond the suggested critical period. These real-life stories, well-documented in research, shed light on the significant role of individual differences and the adaptive learning strategies that guide the journey toward linguistic mastery. In doing so, they challenge the strict interpretation of the CPH (Vanhove, 2013; Friedmann & Rusou, 2015; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Han & Bao, 2023; Papadopoulos et al., 2024).

Empirical Studies in SLA and the CPH

Empirical investigations within the realm of SLA have consistently probed the intricate relationship between the age at which individuals commence their journey toward mastering a second language and the resultant impact on their initial language proficiency. This extensive body of research has unveiled nuanced patterns with younger learners, encompassing children and adolescents, often demonstrating accelerated progress in vocabulary acquisition and grammatical development. These observations evoke profound implications for pedagogy, as they underscore the multifaceted role of age in shaping the trajectories of linguistic attainment. A salient facet of the empirical discourse centers upon the comparative examination of second language phonology acquisition between younger learners and adults. These investigations have yielded compelling insights, suggesting that younger learners typically outperform their

adult counterparts in this domain. They exhibit a marked propensity for mimicking native-like pronunciation, encompassing the subtleties of speech sounds and accent variances. Termed the *accent advantage* of younger learners, this phenomenon underscores the pivotal role age plays in engendering linguistic authenticity, conferring upon it a dimension of pronounced significance in the broader landscape of SLA (Vanhove, 2013; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Aljumah, 2020).

Furthermore, empirical inquiries have been meticulously designed to test the predictions posited by the CPH, yielding a corpus of research outcomes that beckon thorough scrutiny. A subset of this research aligns harmoniously with the CPH, elucidating that language learners who embark upon their linguistic journey at a young age often ascend to higher proficiency levels, simultaneously experiencing fewer impediments in pronunciation compared to their late-starting counterparts. However, the narrative unfolding within longitudinal studies in SLA imparts a dimension of complexity to the interplay between age and ultimate proficiency. While younger learners consistently exhibit prowess in the nascent stages of language acquisition, the trajectory diverges as learners progress along the continuum. Some empirical investigations intimate that younger learners may ascend to higher fluency levels and native-like pronunciation. Nevertheless, this narrative does not obfuscate the fact that adults, armed with effective language learning strategies and intrinsic motivation to master the language, retain the capacity to attain advanced levels of linguistic proficiency, thereby challenging the notion of a rigid, irrevocable critical period (Schouten, 2009; Li, 2023).

Noteworthy among empirical investigations is the salient emphasis placed on the significance of the learning context within the expansive canvas of SLA. Naturalistic environments, typified by immersion programs or the immersive experience of residing in a foreign country, have become pivotal arenas for language learning. It is here that age-related

distinctions manifest in a nuanced fashion. Younger learners, particularly children, often reap heightened benefits from immersion settings, as these environments resonate closely with the language exposure encountered during FLA, thereby serving as a crucible for accelerated linguistic development. As discerned from empirical inquiries, age-related effects in SLA unfold against the backdrop of a tapestry richly interwoven with individual differences. These variances span the spectrum of aptitude, motivation, and prior language learning experiences. The role of motivation, in particular, emerges as a potent determinant, with highly motivated adult learners proving their mettle in the realm of SLA. Additionally, the influence of cognitive factors, such as working memory and processing speed, figures prominently in this narrative. While older adults may grapple with certain cognitive limitations, empirical studies have unveiled their ability to compensate through well-honed metacognitive skills and the strategic deployment of effective learning methodologies, thereby carving a path to surmount the challenges inherent in the language learning process (Rahman et al., 2017; Ozfidan & Burlbaw, 2019; Zhai, 2020; Yi Wu, 2022; Xu, 2023).

Pedagogical Considerations

The CPH has exerted a profound and enduring influence on language education policies and practices, fundamentally reshaping pedagogical strategies employed in language acquisition. This theoretical framework has galvanized educators and policymakers alike, compelling them to reevaluate the pivotal role of age in the intricate process of language learning and formulate strategies and curricular paradigms in consonance with this reevaluation. One of the foremost pedagogical ramifications of the CPH is the resolute advocacy for early language learning initiatives. Many educational systems across diverse regions have implemented language programs specifically tailored for young children, with commencement as early as the preschool or kindergarten stage. This pedagogical paradigm

rests upon the foundational belief that younger learners exhibit heightened receptivity to language acquisition and may indeed possess a distinctive advantage in achieving the elusive goal of native-like pronunciation and linguistic fluency (Schouten, 2009; Vanhove, 2013; Papadopoulos & Savić, 2020; Azieb, 2021; Fitriyah et al., 2023; Han & Bao, 2023).

Furthermore, the CPH has significantly impacted the configuration of bilingual and multilingual education programs. These programs are meticulously designed to immerse students in a second language from an early age, thereby fostering an environment conducive to naturalistic language exposure. The overarching objective of these pedagogical endeavors is to replicate the immersive conditions akin to FLA. Proponents of the CPH argue that such an immersive approach provides an optimal conduit for language learning, aligning with the theoretical underpinnings of the CPH. Notably, certain educational jurisdictions have integrated the concept of a critical period into the fabric of their language curricula. These policies may accentuate the pivotal importance of language acquisition within specific age brackets. Typically, these policies are designed to encourage the initiation of language learning during the primary and secondary education phases, underpinned by the supposition that the challenges associated with language acquisition burgeon exponentially as students transition into adulthood (Vanhove, 2013; Azieb, 2021; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021; Han & Bao, 2023).

However, it is imperative to recognize that while the impetus for early language learning initiatives resonates with the tenets of the CPH, formidable challenges exist. Not all regions possess the requisite resources or infrastructure to institute comprehensive language programs tailored to young learners. Additionally, educators are tasked with the intricate evaluation of instructional quality and the age-appropriateness of language learning materials, necessitating meticulous consideration. Despite the undeniable influence of the CPH on

language education, a salient recognition persists that age constitutes but one facet among myriad determinants shaping language learning outcomes. The interplay of individual motivation, strategic learning methodologies, and the quality of instruction exerts an indelible imprint on the linguistic trajectory of learners. Accordingly, specific language education programs have embarked upon a more flexible and inclusive approach, one that acknowledges the remarkable capacity of adults to attain elevated levels of proficiency in a second language through the facilitative agency of effective pedagogical techniques and immersive experiential modalities (Snow & Hoefnagel-Höhle, 1978; Hakuta et al., 2003; Du, 2010; Vanhove, 2013; Ozfidan & Burlbaw, 2019; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021).

Concomitantly, the relentless march of technological progress has inexorably permeated early language education. The proliferation of online language courses, interactive language learning applications, and virtual immersion experiences has dramatically expanded the accessibility of language learning to individuals spanning the age spectrum. These technological tools have manifested their capacity to adapt to learners' diverse needs and proclivities at different life stages, thus serving as a formidable resource in the contemporary pedagogical arsenal. It is pertinent to underscore that the reach of the CPH's influence extends beyond the boundaries of early language education, reverberating within the domain of adult learners. Lifelong learning initiatives have assumed a prominent position, extolling the virtues of continuous language acquisition endeavors among adults and underscoring the indomitable proposition that it is never too late to embark upon the exhilarating journey of acquiring a new language. Adult education programs and community-based language courses stand as stalwart embodiments of this ethos, catering assiduously to individuals who harbor the aspiration to master a second language, transcending the traditional confines of the scholastic years (Vanhove, 2013; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Hassan, 2020).

Contemporary Challenges

The contemporary challenge in applying the CPH revolves around acknowledging the remarkable diversity characterizing language learners in the modern social environment. It is a recognition that individuals embark on their linguistic journeys from an extensive array of linguistic backgrounds and cultural environments, encompassing a broad spectrum of age groups. This intricate mosaic of linguistic diversity underscores the formidable task of devising a universally applicable pedagogical approach. Within this diverse landscape, the endeavor to discern a singular, standardized method becomes increasingly complex, necessitating an acknowledgment of the nuanced differences that influence language learning trajectories. In our globally interconnected world, multilingualism has become an omnipresent facet of human existence. A considerable segment of individuals grows within multifaceted, multilingual environments, where the symphony of diverse languages becomes an integral part of their auditory landscape from a tender age. This vibrant linguistic tapestry engenders a host of profound questions regarding language contact, bilingualism, and the potential influence of these factors on the paradigm of the critical period. As such, the prevailing notions of language acquisition, particularly those aligned with the CPH, face the challenging task of accommodating the complexities wrought by linguistic diversity and the interactions among multiple languages in contemporary societies (Hakuta et al., 2003; Zurlinden, 2004; Singleton, 2005; Vanhove, 2013; Singleton, 2017; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Darquennes et al., 2020; Singleton & Leśniewska, 2021).

Non-Linear Language Development. Language development does not invariably adhere to a linear progression in its complex and often unpredictable trajectory. Instead, it unfolds in a manner characterized by sporadic bursts of rapid growth interspersed with periods of apparent stagnation or plateaus in language proficiency. These non-linear patterns of

linguistic evolution challenge the conventional understanding of a rigid, chronologically bound critical period. This intricate ebb and flow in language learning suggests that age and linguistic competence are more intricate than initially envisioned, calling for a more nuanced examination of language acquisition over time. Advancements in the realm of neuroscience have illuminated the enduring plasticity of the human brain. This newfound understanding implies that the capacity for neural adaptation and learning persists to some degree throughout an individual's lifespan. Such discoveries effectively challenge the notion that neural plasticity experiences a sharp and immutable decline after a particular chronological juncture, a concept previously intimated by the CPH.

Consequently, it beckons a reconsideration of the maturational constraints postulated by the CPH in light of this complex and dynamic neurobiological landscape. Research endeavors have yielded documentation of late bilingualism instances wherein individuals proficiently acquire a second language well beyond the theoretically posited critical period. These exemplars of late bilingualism markedly contest the rigid orthodoxy of the CPH and further underscore the necessity for a comprehensive examination of the multifaceted factors influencing language acquisition across the lifespan (Kuhl, 2010; Kuhl, 2011; Hiver & Al-Hoorie, 2020; Li & Jeong, 2020; Ardila & Rosselli, 2022).

Language Learning Context and Motivation. The effectiveness of language learning can exhibit substantial variation contingent upon the context in which it occurs. While immersion programs and naturalistic environments have demonstrated their efficacy in fostering linguistic acumen among young language learners, adults often thrive in structured classroom settings with explicit pedagogical instruction. This divergence in learning contexts highlights the need for tailored approaches that accommodate language learners' varying needs and proclivities across age groups. The role of motivation and the strategic deployment of

effective learning techniques assume a paramount significance in determining the outcomes of language acquisition endeavors. Highly motivated individuals frequently transcend the obstacles posed by age-related factors in language learning. Therefore, acknowledging and harnessing the motivational facets of language learners, regardless of their age, can significantly enhance the overall effectiveness of language acquisition initiatives. Evaluating native-like proficiency in a second language represents a multifaceted and intricate undertaking. Even among adult learners who ascend to the pinnacles of fluency, subtle vestiges of non-native features may endure, particularly in areas encompassing pronunciation and grammatical facets. This nuanced realm of language assessment underscores the importance of adopting comprehensive and context-sensitive evaluation methodologies that accommodate the variances inherent in language learning trajectories across age groups (Ahmed et al., 2015; Fandiño et al., 2019; Seven, 2020; Yue et al., 2022; Teravainen-Goff, 2022; Liu, 2022).

Cultural Sensitivity and Intersectionality. Cultural sensitivity emerges as an indispensable facet within the purview of language education. Educators must conscientiously navigate the cultural contexts enveloping language learners, eschewing the imposition of normative language conventions or stereotypes. Recognizing cultural diversity within language learning cohorts necessitates an approach that respects and celebrates the rich tapestry of cultural backgrounds that learners bring to the educational setting. Appreciating this cultural diversity can engender a more inclusive and effective language education environment that nurtures linguistic and cultural competence. The intersection of myriad factors, comprising age, gender, socioeconomic status, and identity, constitutes a complex terrain that profoundly modulates the contours of language learning experiences. This mosaic of intersecting factors necessitates adopting a holistic approach in language education that rigorously contemplates and accommodates the confluence of these multifarious elements. A comprehensive

understanding of how these factors interplay in language acquisition is paramount, as it empowers educators to devise pedagogical strategies that address the specific needs and challenges faced by learners from various intersectional groups (Pradhan, 2021).

Future Directions and Conclusion

The CPH posits that there is an ideal time window of brain development to acquire language in a linguistically rich environment, after which further language acquisition becomes much more complex and effortful. While the CPHs have been widely studied concerning FLD, much is still to be learned about how it applies to SLA acquisition in young children. Specifically, more research is needed to determine the extent to which the ability to acquire a second language is biologically linked to age and whether there is a critical period for SLA similar to the critical period for FLA. Additionally, further research is needed to determine the optimal age range for SLA and whether there are individual differences in the timing and duration of the critical period for SLA. By addressing these questions, researchers can better understand the factors influencing language acquisition in young children and develop more effective strategies for promoting language learning in diverse populations (Vanhove, 2013; Han & Bao, 2023).

Second Language Acquisition Theories

Introduction

The acquisition of a second language holds a significant and multifaceted position within linguistics, education, and cognitive science. This intricate phenomenon has not merely sparked curiosity but has sustained the deep interest and investigation of scholars and practitioners over a considerable period, spanning decades of dedicated exploration. At its core, SLA embodies a captivating and often challenging journey individuals embark on as they navigate unexplored linguistic territory, aiming to achieve proficiency in languages distinct

from their native tongue. This complex journey unfolds through various elements, including cognitive functions, socio-linguistic dynamics, and psychological factors, with each contributing threads to the intricate complexity of language development. It is a process that not only molds the linguistic skills of individuals but also intricately connects with their cognitive and social dimensions, carrying significant implications for both cognitive and educational theories (AlHammadi, 2016; Wilson, 2018; Alduais et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023).

The following section explores the multifaceted nature of SLA, guided by the influential insights of renowned scholars and their respective theoretical frameworks. This exploration delves into the intricate mechanisms that underlie language acquisition, revealing the nuances of how humans achieve expertise in additional languages. Among the distinguished figures whose scholarly work informs this intellectual endeavor, we encounter Stephen Krashen and his *Input Hypothesis*, Lev Vygotsky and Michael Long, proponents of the *Interactionist Theory*, Jean Piaget's groundbreaking contributions to *Cognitive Development Theory*, and Ellen Bialystok, whose *Dual Language Systems Theory* transforms our understanding of language processing in bilingual contexts. These eminent scholars serve as foundational pillars of comprehension in the intricate domain of SLA, providing valuable guidance for researchers and educators (Lichtman & VanPatten, 2021).

This section's core lies in Stephen Krashen's enduring *Input Hypothesis*, a theory that has profoundly influenced our comprehension of SLA. Krashen's hypothesis suggests that language learners achieve their best progress when they encounter comprehensible language input, slightly exceeding their current proficiency level. This fundamental idea emphasizes the crucial role of meaningful language exposure in facilitating the development of language skills (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

In socio-linguistic theories, attention is directed to the *Interactionist Theory*, an intellectual framework cultivated by Lev Vygotsky and further developed by Michael Long. Vygotsky's socio-cultural theory emphasizes the profound impact of social interaction and collaborative efforts on language development. The theory proposes that language does not exist as an isolated cognitive process but emerges and evolves through dynamic interactions with others. Michael Long's contributions to the interactionist theory delve deeper into the intricate web of cognitive processes and social engagement, elucidating the pivotal role of negotiating meaning within language learning contexts in language acquisition. Consequently, the *Interactionist Theory* unveils the intricate dynamics of language development, underscoring the significance of social engagement and collaborative discourse as catalysts for linguistic growth. This deepens our understanding of the sociocultural dimensions of SLA (Vygotsky, 1978; Mahn & John-Steiner, 2013, pp. 117-146; Bodrova & Leong, 2015).

Jean Piaget's *Cognitive Development Theory*, designed initially to elucidate children's cognitive growth, bears significant implications for SLA. By outlining distinct stages of cognitive development, including assimilation and accommodation, Piaget's theory offers valuable insights into how language learners incorporate new linguistic structures and concepts into their existing cognitive frameworks. This cognitive perspective provides essential viewpoints on the intricate processes that underpin language acquisition, enabling us to comprehend the evolving mental structure of language learners. It underscores how learners construct their language understanding through a dynamic interplay of cognitive processes, illuminating the complex journey toward linguistic competence. Jean Piaget's theoretical contributions, as a result, furnish a cognitive framework that resonates within the realm of SLA research, enhancing the scholarly understanding of the intricate cognitive aspects involved in

language acquisition (Piaget, 1972; Ahmad et al., 2016; Marwaha et al., 2017; Oogarah-Pratap et al., 2020, pp. 133-148).

Ellen Bialystok's *Dual Language Systems Theory* represents a groundbreaking shift in our understanding of how languages are represented and processed. This theory challenges conventional ideas by suggesting that bilingual individuals possess two distinct linguistic systems that are intricately interwoven and mutually influence each other. Bialystok's work sheds light on how the bilingual mind manages the complexities of multiple languages and, notably, how this deep linguistic duality impacts the acquisition of a second language. Her pioneering research expands the scholarly literature on how language is processed and represented, emphasizing the intricate nature of cognitive architecture in bilingual individuals and its extensive implications for SLA. By acknowledging the interplay between these two linguistic systems, her theory enhances our understanding of the cognitive aspects involved in SLA, offering fresh insights into the linguistic complexities faced by learners in bilingual and multilingual contexts (Bialystok, 2001; Bialystok et al., 2009; Bialystok et al., 2012; Barac et al., 2014; Bialystok, 2017).

Input Hypothesis

SLA represents a complex and intriguing area of inquiry, shedding light on the multifaceted journey individuals undertake when acquiring proficiency in languages other than their native ones. Within the expansive realm of SLA theories, the *Input Hypothesis*, introduced by Stephen Krashen in the late 1970s, occupies a prominent position. This hypothesis has attracted researchers' interest and exerted a substantial influence on language teaching practices and pedagogical strategies worldwide. At its core, the *Input Hypothesis* presents a straightforward yet elegant premise: language learners make optimal progress when they encounter language input that is both comprehensible and slightly above their current

proficiency level. This concept is encapsulated by the formula $i+1$, where I symbolize the learner's existing level of language competence. Krashen posits that exposure to this $i+1$ input creates a linguistic optimal juncture, a zone where learners are challenged without feeling overwhelmed. New linguistic structures and vocabulary are introduced to foster meaningful engagement and gradual assimilation (Krashen, 1985; White, 1987; Er, 2001; Zhang, 2009; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

The *Input Hypothesis* draws its theoretical foundations from an amalgamation of ideas from linguistics, cognitive psychology, and educational psychology. It opposes traditional language learning theories, emphasizing explicit instruction, grammar drills, and rote memorization. Instead, Krashen's theory champions a naturalistic approach, echoing the subconscious and implicit processes that underlie FLA. Beyond its conceptual elegance, this theory holds profound implications for language teaching contexts. The *Input Hypothesis* advocates for creating language-rich environments that provide learners abundant opportunities to engage with comprehensible input. It emphasizes developing listening and reading skills, highlighting their pivotal roles in language acquisition. Moreover, it encourages a shift of focus from the mechanics of language form to the meaning conveyed within authentic contexts. This shift promotes authentic communication and encourages learners to derive meaning from the context in which language is used (Krashen, 1985; Tricomi, 1986; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

The enduring impact of the *Input Hypothesis* on language instruction and pedagogy is profound. It has played a pivotal role in shaping pedagogical approaches such as communicative language teaching and integrating authentic materials into the classroom. The theory has instigated a shift away from explicitly teaching grammar rules toward cultivating communicative competence and language proficiency. In this section, a comprehensive

exploration of the *Input Hypothesis* unfolds. It aims to elucidate the theory's foundational principles and conceptual framework and delve into the extensive empirical research seeking to validate or challenge its claims. This section will also investigate the practical implications of the *Input Hypothesis* for language instruction across various educational contexts. Furthermore, this section will engage in a critical discussion of the ongoing debates and criticisms surrounding the hypothesis, thereby providing a nuanced understanding of its applicability and relevance in contemporary SLA research (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Er, 2001; Namaziandost et al., 2019; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Fei, 2023).

As articulated by Krashen, the Input Hypothesis maintains an enduring status as a foundational element in the structure of SLA theory. This hypothesis challenges traditional paradigms of language instruction, advocating for a pedagogical transformation that prioritizes immersion, authentic communication, and meaningful language experiences. This section undertakes a comprehensive exploration of the theory and its implications, offering valuable insights into the intricate processes of language acquisition and the evolving landscape of language education within an interconnected global context (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; White, 1987; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Gong, 2023).

Theoretical Foundations. The Input Hypothesis, formulated by Stephen Krashen in the late 1970s, is grounded in synthesizing ideas drawn from various fields, including linguistics, cognitive psychology, and educational psychology. Its theoretical foundations provide a robust framework for understanding the core principles underpinning this influential SLA theory. At the core of the *Input Hypothesis* lies the idea that language acquisition is most effective when it mirrors the naturalistic processes by which individuals acquire their first language. This foundational aspect of the theory parallels second language learning and how children acquire their native language. Understanding the concept of naturalistic language

acquisition is crucial for comprehending the *Input Hypothesis* and its implications for language instruction (Krashen, 1985; Er, 2001; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Li, 2023).

Naturalistic language acquisition is rooted in the observation that young children acquire their first language with remarkable proficiency and fluency without formal instruction or explicit grammar lessons. This phenomenon has long intrigued linguists and cognitive scientists, leading to the development of theories like the *Innateness Hypothesis* proposed by Noam Chomsky. Chomsky's theory suggests that humans are biologically predisposed to acquire language and language structure rules are hardwired into the human brain. From this perspective, children naturally develop linguistic competence when exposed to their native language. Krashen's *Input Hypothesis* extends this idea to SLA, positing that a similar naturalistic process can be harnessed by learners when they are immersed in a linguistic environment rich in comprehensible input (Krashen, 1985; Er, 2001; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Li, 2023).

As FLD exemplifies, naturalistic language acquisition is characterized by subconscious and implicit learning processes. Children acquire their first language without a conscious awareness of linguistic rules and structures. They learn through exposure, interaction, and meaningful communication with caregivers and their linguistic environment. The *Input Hypothesis* applies this principle to SLA, suggesting that learners benefit from an approach that minimizes explicit grammar instruction and rote memorization. Instead, it advocates for creating environments where learners can engage with language input predominantly subconsciously and implicitly. This aligns with contemporary cognitive theories that emphasize the role of implicit learning processes in language acquisition (Krashen, 1985; Er, 2001; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Li, 2023).

In practice, naturalistic language acquisition implies that language learners should immerse themselves in language-rich environments where they can interact with authentic language input. This immersion may take the form of living in a country where the target language is spoken, participating in language exchange programs, or engaging with authentic materials such as books, films, and conversations with native speakers. The key is to replicate, as closely as possible, the naturalistic language exposure children experience when acquiring their first language. By doing so, learners are exposed to the rhythms, nuances, and contextual uses of the language, which are vital for achieving native-like proficiency and communicative competence (Krashen, 1985; Er, 2001; Stein et al., 2014; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Li, 2023).

In language instruction, the concept of naturalistic language acquisition has prompted a shift away from pedagogical practices that rely heavily on explicit grammar instruction and artificial language contexts. Instead, it has led educators to prioritize immersive and communicative approaches that provide learners with authentic language experiences. Language teachers are encouraged to create classroom environments that foster interaction, communication, and exposure to real-world language use. This may involve group discussions, role-play, storytelling, and projects that allow learners to engage with language in meaningful contexts (Krashen & Terrel, 1998; Peterson, 2004).

Comprehensible Input. *Comprehensible Input*, a fundamental concept within Stephen Krashen's *Input Hypothesis*, is a cornerstone of effective language learning. It represents language input that learners can understand, even if it contains elements slightly beyond their current level of language proficiency. The significance of comprehensible input lies in its ability to create an optimal learning environment. Within this zone of $i+1$, where input is meaningful and slightly challenging, language learners make the most progress. Several key features characterize *Comprehensible Input*. It is embedded in a meaningful context, providing

language learners real-life situations and scenarios. This contextual richness enhances comprehension and engagement. Moreover, it offers a slight linguistic challenge by introducing new vocabulary, complex sentence structures, or nuanced language use, encouraging learners to stretch their language abilities while still grasping the overall message. Importantly, *comprehensible Input* provides contextual clues that assist learners in understanding unfamiliar elements, be it through gestures, visuals, or prior knowledge. It is designed to be engaging and relevant, reflecting learners' interests and goals and increasing their motivation to engage with the language content (Patrick, 2019; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

In terms of language instruction, *Comprehensible Input* has profound implications. Educators should carefully select or adapt instructional materials that align with learners' proficiency levels, incorporating graded readers, simplified texts, or audio materials that provide appropriate challenges while remaining comprehensible. Language instruction should prioritize contextual learning experiences, emphasizing that language is a tool for conveying meaning and fulfilling fundamental communication purposes. Activities like role-playing, simulations, and problem-solving exercises create opportunities for learners to engage with *Comprehensible Input* in authentic contexts. Furthermore, educators can implement scaffolded instruction, gradually introducing more complex language elements as learners progress, thus helping them build on their language competence. Interactive learning environments that encourage meaningful communication are crucial, promoting group discussions, peer collaboration, and language exchanges to facilitate exposure to diverse language forms. Lastly, constructive feedback and formative assessment mechanisms can guide learners toward linguistic growth with *Comprehensible Input* (Rodrigo et al., 2004; Patrick, 2019; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Focus on Receptive Skills. One of the fundamental principles of the *Input Hypothesis* is the emphasis on developing receptive language skills, with a particular focus on listening and reading comprehension. Understanding the rationale behind this focus and its implications for language acquisition and instruction is crucial to comprehending the theory's significance. Krashen's *Input Hypothesis* posits that language learners progress most effectively when they prioritize the development of receptive language skills before moving on to productive skills like speaking and writing. This assertion aligns with the notion that language acquisition follows a developmental sequence, with comprehension typically preceding production. Listening comprehension is a cornerstone of language acquisition. For learners to engage in meaningful communication, they must first understand spoken language. Developing strong listening skills allows learners to grasp pronunciation, intonation, and the rhythm of a language, facilitating their ability to engage in oral communication. Listening comprehension is often approached through various activities, such as listening to authentic audio materials, participating in dialogues, or engaging in group discussions. These activities expose learners to the natural flow of spoken language, enhancing their ability to comprehend and respond appropriately. Reading comprehension is equally essential as it provides learners access to a vast range of written materials in the target language. Proficiency in reading allows learners to explore literature, news articles, academic texts, and other valuable resources. Additionally, reading enhances vocabulary acquisition and exposes learners to different writing styles and cultural contexts. Effective reading comprehension strategies include reading authentic texts, summarizing content, and engaging in discussions or writing activities related to the material. This reinforces comprehension and encourages learners to apply their understanding in productive tasks (Er, 2001; Abukhattala, 2013).

The focus on receptive skills in the *Input Hypothesis* has several implications for language instruction. Language educators should aim for a balanced approach integrating listening and reading comprehension into their teaching methods. This includes selecting appropriate listening materials, such as audio recordings, podcasts, or dialogues, and engaging learners in reading activities that match their proficiency levels. Incorporating authentic materials like podcasts, news articles, literature, and multimedia resources exposes learners to real-world language use. Authentic materials allow one to encounter various accents, idiomatic expressions, and cultural nuances, contributing to a well-rounded language education. Listening and reading activities naturally expand learners' vocabulary. Exposure to new words and phrases within context helps learners understand their meaning and usage, making vocabulary acquisition more effective and memorable. Creating an immersive language environment where learners are consistently exposed to spoken and written language reinforces the principles of the *Input Hypothesis*. Language instruction should aim to simulate real-life language use as closely as possible. Integrating receptive and productive skills (speaking and writing) in language instruction helps learners apply their comprehension abilities to real communication. For example, learners can engage in discussions or debates based on content they have listened to or read. Language educators should employ assessment tools that evaluate listening and reading comprehension. Providing constructive feedback on these skills guides learners' progress and helps them identify areas for improvement (Dewi, 2018; Polat & Erişti, 2019).

Implicit Learning and Reduction of Affective Filter. In the context of the *Input Hypothesis*, one of the underlying principles is the promotion of *Implicit Learning*, which is closely tied to reducing the *Affective Filter*. *Implicit Learning* refers to acquiring language knowledge and skills without conscious awareness of grammatical rules or explicit instruction.

Krashen's theory posits that language acquisition occurs most effectively when learners are relaxed and stress-free, and this state is often described as one with a reduced *Affective Filter*. The *Affective Filter* represents the emotional and psychological barriers that can hinder language acquisition. When learners are anxious, stressed, or apprehensive, their *Affective Filter* is high, impeding their ability to process and internalize language input. In contrast, when learners are in a positive, comfortable, and low-stress learning environment, their *Affective Filter* is lowered, allowing them to engage in the language input more readily and, consequently, facilitate *Implicit Learning* (Krashen, 1985; Krashen, 1994, pp. 45-78; Andringa & Rebuschat, 2015; Nath et al., 2017; Khayr et al., 2023).

Implicit Learning and reducing the *Affective Filter* have significant implications for language instruction. Language educators should strive to create a supportive and encouraging classroom atmosphere that minimizes stress and anxiety. This can be achieved through various means, such as using engaging and non-threatening materials, promoting a growth mindset, and fostering a sense of community among learners. Additionally, providing opportunities for learners to practice the language in low-pressure settings, such as group activities, can further reduce the *Affective Filter* and promote implicit learning. Ultimately, understanding the relationship between *Implicit Learning* and the *Affective Filter* is pivotal for designing effective language instruction that nurtures a positive and conducive learning environment, enabling learners to progress optimally in their language acquisition journey (Krashen, 1985; Krashen, 1994, pp. 45-78; Andringa & Rebuschat, 2015; Nath et al., 2017; Khayr et al., 2023).

Empirical Studies. The *Input Hypothesis*, proposed by Stephen Krashen, has been the subject of extensive empirical investigation since its inception. Researchers in the field of SLA have conducted numerous studies to explore the validity and applicability of the hypothesis. These studies have provided valuable insights into the relationship between comprehensible

input and language learning outcomes, input modifications' impact, and individual differences' role in language acquisition.

Comprehensible Input and Language Proficiency. The relationship between *Comprehensible Input* and language proficiency is a central focus in research on the *Input Hypothesis*. Researchers seek to understand how exposure to language input slightly beyond learners' current proficiency levels impacts their language development and eventual proficiency. This relationship is often described as the $i+1$ principle, where i represents the learner's existing level of language competence, and 1 signifies language input that is slightly more complex or challenging. Numerous studies have demonstrated that when language learners are exposed to comprehensible input, their language proficiency improves faster than exposure to input that is too far beyond their current level. *Comprehensible Input* provides the necessary cognitive challenge without overwhelming learners. It allows them to engage with language content meaningfully, extracting new vocabulary, grammatical structures, and cultural nuances within context. Researchers employ assessment tools, including language proficiency tests, oral and written tasks, and comprehension exercises, to measure learners' progress. These assessments often reveal that learners exposed to *Comprehensible Input* perform better in vocabulary acquisition, grammatical accuracy, and overall language competence (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Gong, 2023).

Furthermore, the relationship between *Comprehensible Input* and language proficiency extends to receptive skills such as listening and reading comprehension. Language learners who have encountered a steady diet of *Comprehensible Input* tend to exhibit stronger listening and reading skills, which are foundational for effective communication and language use. The research consistently supports the *Input Hypothesis*'s claim that exposure to *Comprehensible Input* is crucial in enhancing language proficiency. This relationship underscores the

importance of creating language-rich environments that allow learners to engage with meaningful and slightly challenging input. By doing so, educators can foster language development that aligns with the principles of the *Input Hypothesis*, ultimately leading to more proficient and competent language learners (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Gong, 2023).

Impact of Input Modifications. Input modifications, such as simplifying language or adjusting content to make it more comprehensible, play a pivotal role in applying the *Input Hypothesis*. Researchers and educators have explored how these modifications can influence language acquisition and proficiency, shedding light on the practical implications of Krashen's theory. One common input modification involves simplifying vocabulary and sentence structures to match learners' proficiency levels. For example, when teaching a new concept, instructors may use simpler words and shorter sentences to ensure learners can grasp the meaning without feeling overwhelmed. Studies have shown that such modifications effectively enhance comprehension, allowing learners to engage with the content more meaningfully. Another aspect of input modification pertains to contextual clues and redundancy. By providing learners with ample contextual information and repeating essential vocabulary and structures, educators can create a language-rich environment that aids comprehension. This approach aligns with the *Input Hypothesis*'s emphasis on *Comprehensible Input* being content that learners can understand, even if it contains elements that are slightly above their current proficiency level (Loschky, 1994; Zhang, 2009; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Liu, 2022).

Moreover, researchers have investigated the impact of input modification on reducing the *Affective Filter*, a concept integral to the *Input Hypothesis*. When learners encounter language input tailored to their level and presented in an engaging and supportive manner, their anxiety and apprehension diminish, leading to a more conducive learning environment. This,

in turn, fosters a positive affective state that facilitates language acquisition, which aligns with Krashen's theory. The implications of input modifications are significant for language instruction. Educators can adapt teaching materials and methods to provide learners with *Comprehensible Input* while maintaining a level of linguistic challenge. Strategies may include using graded readers, simplifying texts, incorporating visuals, and employing context-rich activities. These modifications enhance comprehension and provide a more enjoyable and practical learning experience (Loschky, 1994; Zhang, 2009; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Liu, 2022).

Individual Differences. The *Input Hypothesis* acknowledges that language learners are not homogeneous; they possess varying backgrounds, motivations, and cognitive abilities that influence their language acquisition journey. The study of individual differences in language acquisition within the context of the *Input Hypothesis* seeks to understand how these factors impact the effectiveness of *Comprehensible Input* in promoting language proficiency. One critical dimension of individual differences is learners' prior language experience. Those with previous exposure to a related language or who have learned multiple languages may exhibit a faster acquisition rate due to the transfer of linguistic knowledge and cognitive skills. In contrast, learners starting from scratch or with little exposure to the target language might require more extensive exposure to *Comprehensible Input* to achieve the same level of proficiency (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Er, 2001; Fey et al., 2017; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Motivation and attitudes toward language learning also significantly influence individual differences. Intrinsically motivated learners with a genuine interest in the language and culture tend to engage more deeply with *Comprehensible Input*, making faster progress. Conversely, extrinsically motivated learners may need additional incentives to engage with language input. Understanding these motivational differences can guide educators in tailoring

instruction to meet learners' needs. Cognitive factors, including working memory capacity and learning strategies, are crucial determinants of individual differences in language acquisition. Learners with greater working memory capacity may process and retain *Comprehensible Input* more effectively, while those who employ effective learning strategies, such as active engagement with the material or mnemonic techniques, can optimize their language learning experience (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Er, 2001; Fey et al., 2017; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Furthermore, individual differences can manifest in the form of language learning aptitude. Some learners possess an innate talent or aptitude for learning languages, enabling them to progress more rapidly. However, aptitude is one factor among many, and it should not be viewed as the sole predictor of language proficiency. Considering individual differences in language acquisition is paramount for educators and researchers applying the *Input Hypothesis*. Tailoring instruction to accommodate diverse learner profiles by providing varied types and levels of *Comprehensible Input* can enhance the effectiveness of language instruction. Additionally, understanding the role of individual differences in language acquisition helps educators set realistic expectations and provide appropriate support to facilitate each learner's unique language learning journey (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Er, 2001; Fey et al., 2017; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Implications for Language Instruction. The *Input Hypothesis* has had profound implications for language instruction, reshaping pedagogical practices and challenging traditional approaches. Its core principles emphasize the importance of *Comprehensible Input*, receptive language skills, and the creation of language-rich environments. This section delves deeply into how these principles have influenced language teaching and pedagogy. As mentioned, one of the foundational principles of the *Input Hypothesis* is the emphasis on *Comprehensible Input* as a catalyst for language acquisition. The significance of this emphasis

lies in the recognition that language acquisition thrives in an environment where learners are challenged but not overwhelmed by the linguistic material they encounter. *Comprehensible Input* is at the core of the theory's pedagogical implications. It underscores the importance of creating language-rich environments that offer learners opportunities to engage with language content that is both accessible and intellectually stimulating. For educators, this principle implies the need to select and design materials that align with learners' proficiency levels, ensuring that they can understand the content while being exposed to linguistic elements that expand their linguistic repertoire (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Er, 2001; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Fei, 2023).

Moreover, the emphasis on *Comprehensible Input* extends to cultivating receptive skills, namely listening and reading comprehension. Language learners are encouraged to engage in activities that promote active listening and reading, allowing them to extract meaning from context and gradually assimilate new vocabulary, grammatical structures, and cultural insights. This approach fosters language development that mirrors the natural processes by which individuals acquire their first language, as it occurs predominantly through receptive skills before productive skills. *Comprehensible Input* is a cornerstone for pedagogical approaches such as communicative language teaching and task-based language learning, prioritizing meaningful communication and interaction. It also aligns with authentic materials, including podcasts, literature, news articles, and multimedia resources, which expose learners to real-world language use and cultural nuances. Ultimately, the emphasis on *Comprehensible Input* underscores the pivotal role of linguistic exposure in language acquisition and guides educators in creating environments that support learners in their journey toward linguistic competence and communicative proficiency (Krashen, 1985; Higgs, 1985; Er, 2001; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Fei, 2023).

Prioritization of Receptive Skills. A fundamental implication of the *Input Hypothesis* is prioritizing receptive skills, emphasizing the pivotal role of listening and reading comprehension in language acquisition. This principle aligns with the theory's overarching belief that language learners benefit most from exposure to *Comprehensible Input*. Listening and reading are the primary means learners access and engage with this input. Listening comprehension is often the initial gateway to language proficiency for language learners. It enables them to understand spoken language, including pronunciation nuances, intonation patterns, and natural language rhythm. Listening skills are foundational for effective communication and are essential for engaging in conversations, participating in oral interactions, and comprehending spoken discourse. As such, the *Input Hypothesis* underscores the importance of fostering active listening skills as a precursor to productive language use.

Similarly, reading comprehension plays a central role in language development. Proficient readers have access to a vast repository of written resources and the ability to navigate and understand complex texts. Reading facilitates vocabulary acquisition, exposes learners to diverse writing styles, and enhances cultural awareness. By prioritizing reading comprehension, the theory encourages language learners to explore a wide range of materials, from books and articles to online content, to deepen their language proficiency (Er, 2001; Xu, 2011; Chao, 2013; Xu, 2016; Mushait & Mohsen, 2019; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

The prioritization of receptive skills is underscored by research demonstrating that language learners who excel in listening and reading comprehension tend to exhibit more robust overall language proficiency. Proficient comprehension skills provide learners with a solid foundation to build their speaking and writing abilities. Moreover, these skills empower learners to engage with authentic language input in real-world contexts, ultimately leading to more effective communication and language use. In practical terms, educators and language

instructors are guided by the *Input Hypothesis* to design activities and materials that promote listening and reading comprehension. This may involve invoking audio resources, podcasts, dialogues, and authentic texts that align with learners' proficiency levels. By nurturing these receptive skills, educators provide learners with the tools to access, process, and internalize *Comprehensible Input*, enabling them to embark on a journey toward linguistic competence and communicative proficiency (Er, 2001; Xu, 2011; Chao, 2013; Xu, 2016; Mushait & Mohsen, 2019; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Authentic Language. Another fundamental principle of the *Input Hypothesis* is promoting *Authentic Language* use and contextual learning. This principle recognizes that language acquisition is most effective when learners engage with language in its natural, real-world context. *Authentic Language* materials and interactions mirror how language is used in everyday life, offering learners exposure to genuine language forms, cultural nuances, and communicative functions. *Authentic Language* materials encompass many resources, including literature, newspapers, films, podcasts, and social media. These materials allow learners to encounter language as it is spoken and written by native speakers. Authenticity in language content exposes learners to diverse vocabulary and expressions and offers insights into cultural norms, idiomatic expressions, and pragmatic language use. The *Input Hypothesis* encourages language instructors to incorporate authentic materials into their teaching practices. This approach helps learners bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world language use. Engaging with authentic content positively challenges learners, encouraging them to extract meaning from context, infer grammar rules, and adapt to different registers of language (Bahrani et al., 2014; Beresova, 2015; Babu & Rao, 2019; Al-Shammari, 2021).

Furthermore, contextual learning is integral to the *Input Hypothesis's* emphasis on meaningful language exposure. Language learners benefit when they place newly acquired

language elements within a relevant context. Contextual learning encourages integrating language skills, such as listening, reading, speaking, and writing, within real communicative situations. For instance, language learners may engage in role-playing activities or group discussions based on authentic scenarios. These activities promote language use beyond rote memorization or isolated language drills, allowing learners to apply their skills in context. This approach aligns with the theory's assertion that language is best acquired when it serves a communicative purpose and is rooted in real-world interactions. Ultimately, promoting authentic language use and contextual learning enriches the language-learning experience by making it more engaging and relevant. It encourages learners to interact with language materials that reflect the complexity and diversity of real communication. By incorporating authentic resources and contextual learning into language instruction, educators enable learners to develop not only linguistic competence but also cultural awareness and communicative proficiency, aligning with the principles of the *Input Hypothesis* (Bahrani et al., 2014; Beresova, 2015; Babu & Rao, 2019; Al-Shammari, 2021).

Role of Technology. The advent of technology has significantly transformed language learning, offering new opportunities and avenues for learners to engage with *Comprehensible Input*. Within the framework of the *Input Hypothesis*, technology and multimedia play a crucial role in providing learners with diverse, interactive, and authentic language experiences. Technology-mediated language resources, such as language learning apps, online courses, video platforms, and language exchange platforms, have become integral tools for language learners. These resources offer many multimedia materials, including video lectures, interactive exercises, podcasts, and online communities where learners can use authentic language input. Video content, in particular, aligns with the *Input Hypothesis*'s emphasis on *Comprehensible Input* and authentic language use. Platforms like YouTube and language

learning apps feature videos that cover a wide range of topics and language levels. Language learners can access content that matches their proficiency level and interests, enabling them to engage with language input that is both comprehensible and engaging. Podcasts and audio resources also contribute to developing listening comprehension skills, a vital component of the *Input Hypothesis*. Learners can tune in to podcasts in their target language, often featuring native speakers discussing various topics. These audio resources expose learners to natural speech patterns, intonation, and pronunciation, fostering the development of practical listening skills (Salaberry, 2001; Chapelle, 2007; Chang et al., 2012; Cueto et al., 2017; Shadiev & Wang, 2022; Li & Li, 2023).

Furthermore, technology allows for interactivity and learner engagement. Language learning apps and online platforms often incorporate interactive exercises, quizzes, and language games that promote active engagement with language content. This interactivity mirrors the *Input Hypothesis*'s encouragement of learners to interact with language input actively. Additionally, multimedia materials often include visual elements, such as images, subtitles, and graphics, which provide valuable contextual cues. These cues aid in the comprehension of language input, aligning with the theory's emphasis on making input comprehensible through various means. While technology and multimedia offer immense benefits for language learners, their effectiveness in language acquisition depends on how they are integrated into instruction. Educators play a crucial role in guiding learners to select appropriate resources, set learning goals, and create structured learning experiences that align with the principles of the *Input Hypothesis*. Overall, the role of technology and multimedia in language learning is instrumental in facilitating access to *Comprehensible Input*, *Authentic Language* use, and interactive language experiences. These resources provide language learners with versatile tools to engage with language content that aligns with the principles of

the *Input Hypothesis*, ultimately enhancing their language proficiency and communicative competence (Salaberry, 2001; Chapelle, 2007; Chang et al., 2012; Cueto et al., 2017; Shadiev & Wang, 2022; Li & Li, 2023).

Pedagogical Approaches. The *Input Hypothesis* has profoundly impacted pedagogical approaches in language education, guiding the design of language instruction methods and curricula. Several pedagogical approaches align with the theory's principles, emphasizing the importance of *Comprehensible Input*, *Authentic Language* use, and meaningful communication. One prominent pedagogical approach influenced by the *Input Hypothesis* is *Communicative Language Teaching* (CLT). CLT prioritizes the development of learners' communicative competence by fostering meaningful interactions in the target language. This approach encourages students to use real-world language, such as role-playing, discussions, and problem-solving activities. CLT emphasizes that language learners should be exposed to language input that mirrors authentic communicative situations, allowing them to apply their linguistic knowledge in context. *Task-Based Language Teaching* (TBLT) is another pedagogical approach that resonates with the *Input Hypothesis*. TBLT centers on completing meaningful tasks as a means of language acquisition. Learners are presented with tasks that require them to use the target language for practical purposes, encouraging them to focus on meaning rather than form. This approach aligns with the *Input Hypothesis*'s emphasis on meaningful language exposure and contextual learning. Incorporating authentic materials and resources into language instruction is a hallmark of pedagogical approaches inspired by the *Input Hypothesis*. Graded readers, podcasts, news articles, and multimedia content are integrated into curricula to expose learners to authentic language use. This approach exposes learners to diverse language forms and fosters cultural awareness and engagement with authentic language contexts (Er, 2001; Hong, 2008; Hussain, 2019; Abdullaev, 2021).

Moreover, pedagogical approaches influenced by the *Input Hypothesis* often advocate for a learner-centered and discovery-based approach to language learning. Learners are encouraged to actively engage with language content, draw inferences, and make connections between what they encounter and their existing linguistic knowledge. This learner autonomy aligns with the *Input Hypothesis's* premise that language learners thrive when exposed to *Comprehensible Input* that matches their proficiency levels (Er, 2001; Hong, 2008; Hussain, 2019; Abdullaev, 2021).

Criticisms. While a prominent theory in SLA and language education, the *Input Hypothesis* has not escaped criticism and debate. One common critique revolves around the challenge of defining and measuring *comprehensibility*. Critics argue that the notion of *Comprehensible Input* can be subjective, varying from learner to learner, making it difficult to establish a universally applicable measure. This subjectivity raises concerns about the practicality of consistently applying the theory across diverse language learning contexts. Another criticism centers on the *Input Hypothesis's* predominant focus on receptive language skills, such as listening and reading comprehension, often excluding productive skills like speaking and writing. Critics contend that this emphasis may not fully address the holistic development of language proficiency, with some arguing that output and production skills should receive more attention in SLA research. The theory's inclination toward implicit learning through exposure to *Comprehensible Input* has led to debates about the role of explicit instruction and structured language practice. While Krashen has argued that comprehension precedes production, critics believe that explicit instruction, especially for complex grammatical rules and forms, holds value in language learning (Er, 2001; Vanhove, 2013; Liu, 2015; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Gong, 2023).

Furthermore, the *Input Hypothesis's* one-size-fits-all approach has faced criticism for not adequately accounting for individual differences among language learners. Factors such as learner aptitude, motivation, and age can significantly influence the effectiveness of input-based learning, leading some critics to argue for a more nuanced approach that accommodates these variations. Sociocultural dimensions of language learning have also been somewhat neglected within the theory. Critics argue that language acquisition is not only a cognitive and linguistic process but is also profoundly shaped by social interaction, cultural context, and learner identity. Therefore, a comprehensive theory of SLA should consider these sociocultural aspects. Empirical inconsistencies have also been noted, with not all research findings aligning with the *Input Hypothesis's* predictions. Some studies have failed to consistently demonstrate the expected relationship between input complexity and language development, raising questions about the theory's universal applicability across diverse languages and learner populations (Er, 2001; Vanhove, 2013; Liu, 2015; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Gong, 2023).

Lastly, the rapid evolution of technology and the availability of digital resources have transformed the nature of language input since the theory's formulation. Critics argue that the *Input Hypothesis* may need adaptation to account for technology-mediated input and its potential impact on language acquisition (Er, 2001; Vanhove, 2013; Liu, 2015; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Bailey & Fahad, 2021; Gong, 2023).

Challenges and Limitations. While the *Input Hypothesis* offers valuable insights into language acquisition and instruction, it has challenges and limitations. Researchers and educators must grapple with these issues to refine the theory's application and understand its boundaries. One significant challenge is the measurement of *Comprehensible Input*. Defining what constitutes *comprehensible* can be subjective and context-dependent. What is

comprehensible for one learner may not be for another, and factors like prior knowledge, cultural familiarity, and individual differences can influence comprehension. Researchers face the challenge of developing standardized measures for comprehensibility and ensuring that the input used in studies aligns with the theory's principles. Additionally, while numerous studies support the *Input Hypothesis*, various factors can influence research outcomes, such as the chosen assessment methods, sample sizes, and the specificity of instructional practices. Variations in study designs and contexts can lead to inconsistencies in results, making it challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the theory's universal applicability (White, 1987; Oller, 1988; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Another limitation lies in the practical implementation of the Input Hypothesis in educational settings. While the theory emphasizes the importance of comprehensible input, implementing this principle effectively can be challenging. Educators often face constraints regarding available resources, class sizes, and curriculum requirements. Balancing the need for comprehensible input with these practical considerations can be complex. Furthermore, the Input Hypothesis primarily addresses receptive skills, focusing on listening and reading comprehension. While these skills are foundational, they do not encompass the entirety of language proficiency. The theory does not explicitly guide developing productive skills such as speaking and writing, leaving educators to integrate these aspects into their teaching practices. Finally, the Input Hypothesis assumes that language acquisition is primarily a subconscious process that occurs naturally. This assumption has been challenged by research in explicit language instruction and deliberate language practice, which suggests that some aspects of language learning may benefit from explicit instruction and conscious effort (White, 1987; Oller, 1988; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Ongoing Research and Debates. The *Input Hypothesis* has sparked a wealth of ongoing research and scholarly debates within SLA. Researchers continue to explore, refine, and challenge its principles, shedding light on its applicability and relevance in contemporary language learning contexts. One prominent area of ongoing research centers on the role of technology in delivering *Comprehensible Input*. With digital resources, language learners can access many multimedia materials, online courses, and language learning apps. Researchers are examining how technology-mediated input, including video content, podcasts, and interactive language platforms, can enhance language acquisition. This research explores the extent to which digital resources align with the principles of the *Input Hypothesis* and their effectiveness in facilitating language proficiency. Debates regarding the ideal balance between *Comprehensible Input* and explicit instruction in language classrooms also persist. While the *Input Hypothesis* advocates for a primarily naturalistic approach, some educators argue for the value of explicitly teaching grammar rules and structured language practice. Research in this area seeks to elucidate the circumstances under which explicit instruction may complement *Comprehensible Input* and the potential benefits of a balanced approach that incorporates both. As mentioned previously, another intriguing area of ongoing research relates to individual differences in language acquisition. Researchers are delving deeper into the intricate interplay of factors such as cognitive aptitude, motivation, and learner profiles in shaping the effectiveness of *Comprehensible Input*. Understanding how these individual differences interact with the principles of the *Input Hypothesis* contributes to more tailored and effective language instruction (White, 1987; Oller, 1988; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Furthermore, ongoing research explores the universality of the *Input Hypothesis* across different languages and learner populations. While the theory has been predominantly studied in English as a second language, researchers are investigating its applicability to a broader

range of languages. They also examine how the theory's principles manifest in diverse educational settings, including bilingual education and heritage language programs. Regarding debates, some scholars challenge the *Input Hypothesis*'s assertion that language acquisition is primarily subconscious and automatic. They argue that conscious, deliberate learning and explicit instruction can be meaningful in language development, especially in certain linguistic aspects. These debates center on finding a balanced perspective that acknowledges the significance of both implicit and explicit language learning processes (White, 1987; Oller, 1988; Liu, 2015; Bailey & Fahad, 2021).

Conclusion. In the landscape of SLA, the *Input Hypothesis*, pioneered by Stephen Krashen, stands as a compelling and enduring theory that has significantly shaped the scholarly understanding of language learning and pedagogy. This hypothesis posits that language learners make optimal progress when exposed to *Comprehensible Input* slightly beyond their current proficiency level. As we have explored throughout this paper, the *Input Hypothesis*'s influence extends across various dimensions of SLA, including theoretical foundations, empirical studies, implications for language instruction, and ongoing research and debates. At its core, the *Input Hypothesis* emphasizes the importance of creating language-rich environments that offer learners opportunities to engage with authentic language content. It underscores the value of listening and reading comprehension as foundational skills that support overall language proficiency. Additionally, the theory promotes using technology and multimedia resources to provide diverse and engaging language input. Pedagogical approaches inspired by the *Input Hypothesis*, such as CLT and TBLT, prioritize meaningful communication and contextual learning. Despite its enduring influence, the *Input Hypothesis* is not without challenges and limitations. Researchers grapple with issues related to the measurement of *Comprehensible Input* and variations in study designs. Debates persist

regarding the ideal balance between *Comprehensible Input* and explicit instruction and the role of individual differences in language acquisition. These challenges underscore the ongoing nature of research in the field of SLA.

Furthermore, the theory has evolved to accommodate the role of technology and multimedia in language learning and to address the complexities of individual differences in language acquisition. Ongoing research explores the impact of technology-mediated input, the interplay of motivation and aptitude, and the universality of the theory across languages and learner populations. Debates continue to examine the balance between implicit and explicit learning processes.

Interactionist Theory

Language is intrinsically social and dynamic, firmly entrenched within human interaction. The complex process entailed in individuals acquiring a second language (L2) has been the subject of myriad research initiatives, elucidating SLA's multifaceted and intricate nature. Given the global ascendancy of bilingualism and the concomitant need for efficacious language education methodologies in an increasingly interconnected world, there is an imperative for a more nuanced understanding of SLA. The *Interactionist Theory* furnishes a perspicuous lens through which one may observe and analyze the nuanced interplay between social interaction and cognitive processes pivotal to SLA. Situated at the nexus of social and cognitive factors, this theory accentuates the indispensable role of interactive experiences in engendering the acquisition of an L2. For educators, researchers, and language policy planners, acquiring a profound understanding of the principles that undergird the *Interactionist Theory* is imperative (Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Hall et al., 2006; Rudd & Lambert, 2011, pp. 830-831; Gass et al., 2020, pp. 430-445; Alharbi, 2023).

This scholarly endeavor contributes to the academic discourse pertinent to SLA by presenting a nuanced exploration of the *Interactionist Theory*, critically examining its foundational concepts, practical applications, and inherent limitations within the context of L2 learning. Engagement with the *Interactionist* perspective is conducive to identifying efficacious instructional strategies that harness the potency of social interaction to facilitate L2 development. Furthermore, employing this theoretical perspective is instrumental in navigating the challenges and opportunities inherent in the diverse and continually evolving terrain of language education within globalized contexts (Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Hall et al., 2006; Rudd & Lambert, 2011, pp. 830-831; Gass et al., 2020, pp. 430-445; Alharbi, 2023).

The overarching objective of this section is to undertake a critical analysis of the *Interactionist Theory* concerning SLA. Through an exhaustive review of extant literature, this analysis endeavors to elucidate the fundamental principles embedded within the theory, demarcate its practical implications within the realm of language education, and spotlight areas where the theory may exhibit deficiencies. In doing so, this section aspires to proffer invaluable insights pertinent to theoretical consideration and practical application in SLA. Following this introductory segment, the section is organized into several sub-sections, each designed to facilitate a coherent and systematic exploration of the *Interactionist Theory* within the ambit of SLA. Initially, the historical context underpinning the *Interactionist Theory* will be delineated.

Subsequently, an overview of the theory will be elucidated, encapsulating its principal components and foundational principles. The ensuing sub-section will probe into the practical implications of the *Interactionist Theory*, furnishing a discourse on the operationalization of the theory within educational settings dedicated to language instruction. The penultimate sub-

section will pinpoint and scrutinize the limitations and challenges of applying the *Interactionist* framework within SLA research and practice. The section will culminate with a summation of the critical findings and proffer suggestions for future avenues of research within this academic field.

Background. The *Interactionist Theory* within the field of SLA peaked in the mid-to-late twentieth century, representing a paradigmatic departure from the predominant behaviorist theories of the time under the intellectual aegis of B.F. Skinner, language learning was initially conceptualized as a process of habituation entrenched in stimulus-response dynamics, with scant regard afforded to the learner's internal cognitive machinations. Nonetheless, this behaviorist paradigm began to wane with Noam Chomsky's innovative *Theory of Universal Grammar* in the 1950s and 1960s, a theoretical framework foregrounding the learner's cognitive capacities and intrinsic processes pivotal to language acquisition. In the ensuing decades, specifically the 1970s and 1980s, the *Interactionist Theory* garnered increasing academic traction, significantly influenced by Lev Vygotsky's seminal *Sociocultural Theory*. Vygotsky advanced the proposition that cognitive development, inclusive of language acquisition, is inextricably intertwined with social interactions and the broader cultural milieu, thereby facilitating a doctrinal shift towards acknowledging the social facet of learning (Skinner, 2020; Chomsky, 1957; Chomsky, 1965; Vygotsky, 1978; Gass, 2010, pp. 217-231; Muho & Kurani, 2011; Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Sarem & Shirzadi, 2014; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206).

During the initial years of the 1980s, Stephen Krashen's *Input Hypothesis* made a substantive contribution to the burgeoning body of *Interactionist* perspectives. Krashen underscored the imperative of exposure to *Comprehensible Input*, language moderately above the learner's extant proficiency level, to engender efficacious language acquisition. This

conceptualization accentuated the significance of providing learners with language input that is simultaneously challenging and intelligible, thereby fostering learner engagement and facilitation of the acquisition process. In the subsequent decade, Merrill Swain introduced the *Output Hypothesis*, postulating the importance of language production, or *Output*, within the learning trajectory. According to Swain, the active production of language engenders deep processing and internalization of linguistic structures, thereby highlighting the active utilization of language as an indispensable element of acquisition. A milestone of paramount significance in the annals of *Interactionism* within SLA was the enunciation of Michael Long's *Interaction Hypothesis* in the 1980s and 1990s. Long's hypothesis posited that interactive communication, epitomized by the negotiation of meaning, is fundamental to language acquisition. Such interaction, he argued, concurrently directs attention to linguistic form and content, playing a crucial role in the acquisition process (Krashen, 1982; Long, 1983; Swain, 1985, pp. 235-253; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Thorne, 2003; Gass, 2010, pp. 217-231; Muho & Kurani, 2011; Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Sarem & Shirzadi, 2014; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206).

In contemporary scholarship, with the advent of technological innovations and the inexorable process of globalization, the *Interactionist Theory* undergoes continuous evolution and refinement. Contemporary research endeavors within the field meticulously explore interaction within the confines of virtual and multicultural environments, scrutinizing the dynamics and implications embedded within these unique settings for SLA. Consequently, the *Interactionist Theory* as it stands today mirrors the diversity and complexity inherent within the global language learning community, offering a robust, comprehensive, and dynamic theoretical framework that facilitates understanding and navigating the labyrinthine process of SLA (Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Thorne, 2003; Gass, 2010, pp. 217-231; Muho & Kurani, 2011;

Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Sarem & Shirzadi, 2014; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206).

Theoretical Paradigm. The *Interactionist Theory* proffers a comprehensive framework elucidating the mechanics of SLA, accentuating the non-negotiable role that social interaction plays in procuring a new language. Central to this theory is the elucidation of the intricate equilibrium and interplay between social and cognitive factors, both of which are foundational to the phenomenon of language acquisition. In endeavoring to fathom the depth and breadth of the *Interactionist Theory*, it is imperative to meticulously unpack its foundational principles. These principles act as the cornerstone, providing invaluable insights into the modus operandi through which interaction is a facilitative language learning mechanism. Moreover, it is incumbent upon scholars and practitioners alike to examine and scrutinize the *negotiation of meaning* within the framework of the theory. This component is pivotal as it embodies a dynamic process inherent within interactive settings, substantially contributing to facilitating language acquisition. When taken together, these constituent elements furnish a detailed panorama, offering a granular understanding of the foundational concepts underpinning the *Interactionist Theory* and delineating their practical implications in the broader canvas of SLA. Engaging with these elements is not just academically fruitful but also pragmatically insightful, providing a roadmap for the practical application of the *Interactionist Theory* in the diverse and multifaceted realm of SLA (Long, 1983; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Pica et al., 1987; Gass & Varonis, 1994; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis et al., 2001; Gass et al., 2020, pp. 430-445).

Foundational Principles. The foundational principles inherent within the *Interactionist Theory* are indispensable for comprehending its practical applications within the domain of SLA. Foremost among these principles is the centrality of social interaction, which

underscores the quintessential social character of language. Engagements and exchanges that transpire between individuals establish a crucial platform conducive to language acquisition and offer learners invaluable exposure to, practice with, and opportunity for the production of the target language. This dynamic subsequently fosters a meticulous internalization of the language's structural intricacies and subtle nuances. Integral to the scaffolding of the *Interactionist Theory* are the *Input* and *Output Hypotheses*. The *Input Hypothesis*, delineated by Stephen Krashen, articulates the imperative necessity for exposure to *Comprehensible Input*. This salient principle advocates for learner engagement with language elements that, while slightly surpassing their current proficiency threshold, remain within their cognitive grasp. Concurrently, the *Output Hypothesis*, as posited by Merrill Swain, accentuates the paramount significance of language production, or *Output*, as a mechanism facilitating the deep processing and subsequent internalization of linguistic structures and elements (Wood et al., 1976; Krashen, 1982; Long, 1983; Swain, 1985; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Pica, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Gass, 1997; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis et al., 2006; Lantolf et al., 2015, pp. 207-226).

Furthermore, within the theoretical confines of the *Interactionist Theory*, the principle of the negotiation of meaning is conspicuously pivotal. Throughout the iterative process of interactive engagements, participants are observed to collaboratively navigate through linguistic misunderstandings and inherent ambiguities. This intricate process necessitates the clarification and subtle adjustment of linguistic expressions, thereby engendering mutual comprehension amongst the interlocutors engaged in the communicative act. This dynamic negotiation process is not merely instrumental in fostering efficacious communication; it concurrently serves as a potent mechanism for facilitated learning. Through its active engagement, the process meticulously directs the cognitive attention of the learners toward

indispensable linguistic elements and structural nuances. In doing so, it acts as a facilitative aid, assisting learners in acquiring, understanding, and comprehending the nuanced structural and functional aspects of language. Such a process is imperative in the learners' journey toward linguistic proficiency, as evidenced by the scholarly contributions in the field (Wood et al., 1976; Krashen, 1982; Long, 1983; Swain, 1985; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Pica, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Gass, 1997; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis et al., 2006; Lantolf et al., 2015, pp. 207-226).

Feedback mechanisms embedded within interactive dialogues indisputably occupy a pivotal role within the domain of SLA under the auspices of the *Interactionist Theory*. Within the scaffolding of linguistic interactions, learners inexorably commit errors. Consequently, whether administered in explicit or implicit modalities, feedback operates as a navigational beacon, illuminating the pathway for learners to acknowledge, comprehend, and rectify their linguistic inaccuracies. This iterative process of correction engenders a gradual but consistent trajectory toward the acquisition of language use that is both accurate and fluent. Moreover, the construct of *Scaffolding*, conceptually derivative of Vygotsky's seminal *Sociocultural Theory*, warrants acknowledgment for its integral function within the learning process (Wood et al., 1976; Vygotsky, 1978; Krashen, 1982; Long, 1983; Swain, 1985; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Pica, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Gass, 1997; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis et al., 2006; Lantolf et al., 2015, pp. 207-226).

The scaffolding process is characterized by providing instrumental assistance and requisite support to learners during the incipient phases of language acquisition. This support is meticulously calibrated, providing a structured framework in the early stages, and is subsequently attenuated as learners exhibit advancements in proficiency and evince confidence in language use. The ultimate objective of this strategically tiered support system is to facilitate

and nurture independent language use, comprehension, and dexterity. Cumulatively, these foundational principles, entrenched within the theoretical architecture of the *Interactionist Theory*, offer a coherent and robust framework. This framework serves not only as a theoretical lens for understanding but also as a practical toolkit for effectively applying the Interactionist Theory's tenets within the SLA field. The aforementioned principles, therefore, are indispensable for scholars, educators, and practitioners who seek to navigate the complex landscape of SLA, providing a roadmap for both theoretical contemplation and practical application (Wood et al., 1976; Vygotsky, 1978; Krashen, 1982; Long, 1983; Swain, 1985; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Pica, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Gass, 1997; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis et al., 2006; Lantolf et al., 2015, pp. 207-226).

Negotiation of Meaning. The concept of the *Negotiation of Meaning* within the *Interactionist Theory* serves as a crucial component, underscoring the collaborative endeavor between individuals acquiring an L2 and their respective interlocutors in pursuing a consensus of understanding. This intricate process, which is paramount in the context of SLA, necessitates that participants make meticulous adjustments and clarify their linguistic expressions. Such modifications are imperative for bridging the chasms of comprehension that might occur during the interaction, thereby underpinning the facilitation of a profound understanding and internalization of the target linguistic system. This complex and dynamic interactive process gains particular significance in light of its ability to engender a phenomenon aptly termed *Noticing*. Through engaging in the active process of meaning negotiation, L2 learners are precipitated into a state of heightened awareness, wherein they cognize the discrepancies between their emergent interlanguage and the established norms of the target language. This newfound awareness acts as a pivotal catalyst, instigating learners to embark upon a proactive restructuring of their existing interlanguage framework. Such restructuring is indispensable for

the progression of language acquisition, as it furnishes learners with the requisite cognitive organization and assimilatory capacity necessary for the integration of novel linguistic input (Long, 1983; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Long & Porter, 1985; Schmid & Frota, 1986, pp. 237-326; Pica et al., 1989; Schmidt, 1990; Brooks & Donato, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Ellis, 2003; Foster & Ohta, 2005; Lyster & Mori, 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206).

The *Negotiation of Meaning* within the *Interactionist Theory* is a dynamic instrument of invaluable utility for learners and educational practitioners engaged in language instruction. This ongoing process furnishes educators with perceptive insights into the learners' cognitive and linguistic evolution, thereby facilitating the cultivation of instructional approaches meticulously tailored to accommodate individualized learning requisites and preferences. For the constituents of the learner demographic, active and deliberate participation in the negotiation processes substantially augments their communicative competence, concurrently instilling a heightened sense of confidence in the deployment of the acquired language. However, it is imperative to acknowledge that the efficacious deployment of meaning negotiation as a pedagogical tool is not devoid of inherent challenges. There exists the plausible tendency amongst learners to revert to the linguistic structures and vocabulary of their native language, or experience encumbrances in effectively navigating the negotiation process, attributed to the confinement within limited linguistic resources (Long, 1983; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Long & Porter, 1985; Schmid & Frota, 1986, pp. 237-326; Pica et al., 1989; Schmidt, 1990; Brooks & Donato, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Ellis, 2003; Foster & Ohta, 2005; Lyster & Mori, 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206).

Therefore, Educational practitioners must exhibit acute awareness and sensitivity towards these prevalent challenges, fostering a conducive learning milieu characterized by robust support structures for efficacious negotiation practices. Such supportive interventions

might encapsulate the instruction of specific phrases streamlined for clarification purposes or the advocacy for employing gestures and synonyms as facilitative tools in the communication process. Fundamentally, the negotiation of meaning constitutes a cornerstone in the edifice of the *Interactionist Theory*, proffering both a practical and theoretical scaffold upon which learners can actively explore, navigate, and internalize the intricate complexities inherent to a second language. A nuanced engagement with and comprehension of this pivotal process is indispensable for educators orchestrating responsive and dynamically adaptive language learning environments. Such environments are meticulously designed to endorse and champion the learner's quest not merely for the acquisition of linguistic knowledge but also the development and refinement of quintessential communicative skills imperative for efficacious language utilization within real-life contexts (Long, 1983; Varonis & Gass, 1985; Long & Porter, 1985; Schmid & Frota, 1986, pp. 237-326; Pica et al., 1989; Schmidt, 1990; Brooks & Donato, 1994; Swain, 1995, pp. 125-144; Ellis, 2003; Foster & Ohta, 2005; Lyster & Mori, 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206).

Practical Implications. The *Interactionist Theory*, underscored by its foundational tenets emphasizing the indissoluble linkage between social interaction and cognitive development within the context of language acquisition, begets many practical implications of paramount importance to the discourse and practice within language education. For an in-depth comprehension of these implications, an exploration into the manifold facets through which this theory exerts a tangible and augmentative influence on the process of SLA is imperative. Subsequent sections of this discourse are devoted to meticulously unpacking these practical aspects, shedding light upon the significance embodied in *Collaborative Learning*, elucidating the inherent value and methodologies underpinning TBLT, delineating the pivotal roles and stratagems associated with *Feedback*, and demystifying the transformative impact wrought by

Interactive Technology within the auspices of language learning environments (Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Lantolf, 2000, pp. 1-26; Warschauer, 2000, pp. 303-318; Storch, 2002; Ellis et al., 2006).

Each component delineated herein, deeply entrenched in the *Interactionist* framework, furnishes insightful directives and guidelines instrumental for educators, policy architects, and curriculum designers who are steadfastly committed to nurturing and fostering enriched and efficacious language learning experiences for the student demographic. It is within this tapestry of integrated practices and theoretical underpinnings that the *Interactionist Theory* finds its application, serving as a beacon illuminating the path toward enhanced understanding and practice in the field of SLA and education (Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Lantolf, 2000, pp. 1-26; Warschauer, 2000, pp. 303-318; Storch, 2002; Ellis et al., 2006).

Collaborative Learning. Situated centrally within the theoretical framework of the *Interactionist Theory* is the paradigm of *Collaborative Learning*, which is inextricably anchored in the conviction that social interaction constitutes a linchpin for cognitive and linguistic development. As an instructional paradigm, collaborative learning advocates for and necessitates students' engagement in collective and coordinated educational activities. This approach engenders a dynamic and fluid learning milieu wherein language is not merely absorbed passively but actively deployed, scrutinized, and contextualized within a myriad of communicative scenarios and environments. Within this dynamic, learners are compelled to actively immerse themselves in dialogical exchanges and engaged negotiations of meaning, with each participant contributing discernibly to a reservoir of shared knowledge. This collective endeavor facilitates and fosters a more profound and nuanced understanding and mastery of the target second language. The efficacy and potency of *Collaborative Learning*

can be attributed to its intrinsic characteristics and features, which inherently promote a sense of shared responsibility and active participation among the cohort of learners (Vygotsky, 1978; Long & Porter, 1985; Donato, 1994, pp. 33-56; Cohen, 1994; Ohta, 1995; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Dillenbourg, 1999, pp. 1-19; Johnson & Johnson, 1999; Lantolf, 2000, pp. 1-26; Storch, 2002; Gillies, 2003).

This collaborative atmosphere not solely functions as a conduit for the fluid exchange of information but assumes a pivotal role as a cognitive instrument of considerable importance, facilitating the processing, refinement, and honing of language skills amongst learners. Furthermore, the milieu engendered by *Collaborative Learning* augments the propensity of learners to engage in calculated linguistic risks, experiment with novel linguistic structures, and obtain immediate and constructive feedback from peers. Such a dynamic and interactive environment is indispensable for the cultivation and development of both fluency and accuracy within the realm of SLA and use (Vygotsky, 1978; Long & Porter, 1985; Donato, 1994, pp. 33-56; Cohen, 1994; Ohta, 1995; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Dillenbourg, 1999, pp. 1-19; Johnson & Johnson, 1999; Lantolf, 2000, pp. 1-26; Storch, 2002; Gillies, 2003).

Nevertheless, the efficacious deployment of *Collaborative Learning* within instructional environments necessitates the engagement in meticulous planning and the adoption of strategic foresight on the part of educators. Tasks ought to be conscientiously crafted to engender and facilitate naturalistic and spontaneous communication and cooperation among students. During task design, the dynamics inherent to the group of learners, including variables such as proficiency levels, individual learning preferences, and personality traits, should be considered carefully. Clear and explicit objectives and expectations must be delineated, accompanied by well-defined and transparent assessment criteria pertinent to each collaborative task. This approach provides necessary guidance for learners, shepherding them

through the *Collaborative Learning* process with defined goals and benchmarks. Despite the myriad advantages associated with *Collaborative Learning*, educators must be cognizant of potential challenges and obstacles that may surface during its implementation. These challenges may encompass unequal participation amongst group members, engagement in off-task behavior, and the emergence of conflicts within groups (Vygotsky, 1978; Long & Porter, 1985; Donato, 1994, pp. 33-56; Cohen, 1994; Ohta, 1995; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Dillenbourg, 1999, pp. 1-19; Johnson & Johnson, 1999; Lantolf, 2000, pp. 1-26; Storch, 2002; Gillies, 2003).

Navigating these challenges efficaciously requires educators to actively monitor group dynamics attentively, intervene when necessary, and cultivate a supportive and conducive environment within which students can develop and refine their collaboration and communication skills. Integrating reflective practices and self-assessment strategies can significantly augment the learning experience, empowering students to assume responsibility for and ownership of their individual and collective learning trajectories. Consequently, *Collaborative Learning* can be interpreted as a tangible and practical manifestation of the *Interactionist Theory*, functioning as a vehicle through which social interaction and cognitive development synergistically coalesce to underpin the process of SLA. When meticulously and thoughtfully implemented, *Collaborative Learning* not only catalyzes and facilitates the acquisition of linguistic competence but concurrently nurtures and develops essential life skills. This duality of function prepares students adeptly for navigation within an increasingly globalized and multilingual global landscape (Vygotsky, 1978; Long & Porter, 1985; Donato, 1994, pp. 33-56; Cohen, 1994; Ohta, 1995; Swain & Lapkin, 1998; Dillenbourg, 1999, pp. 1-19; Johnson & Johnson, 1999; Lantolf, 2000, pp. 1-26; Storch, 2002; Gillies, 2003).

Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT). TBLT exhibits inherent alignment with the *Interactionist Theory*, fostering an educational milieu wherein language utilization is

purposeful and imbued with meaning. Predicated on the foundational concept that language functions principally as a tool for communication, TBLT is characterized by a pedagogical focus on executing tasks that closely approximate activities and challenges encountered within real-life contexts. This necessitates students to engage actively and strategically with the target language in task completion. The quintessential feature of the TBLT approach resides in carefully designing tasks that are engaging, contextually relevant, and meaningful to the learners. Such deliberate task design encourages and facilitates a more naturalistic use of language within the learning environment. The tasks embedded within the TBLT framework serve dual, interconnected purposes within the educational process. Primarily, tasks operate as vehicles for language practice, providing learners with invaluable opportunities to employ the target language within contexts that closely mirror or simulate situations and challenges encountered in real life (Long, 1985, pp. 77-99; Ellis, 2003; Moore, 2018; Lambert et al., 2019).

Simultaneously, these tasks play a pivotal role as pedagogical instruments, assisting educators in facilitating structured yet adaptable language learning experiences. This dual functionality guides learners assiduously through the labyrinthine process of language acquisition and affords them the latitude necessary for exploration and the exercise of creativity within the learning process. Thus, through the integration of carefully designed and contextually relevant tasks, educators can create an environment that is both supportive and challenging, fostering students' linguistic and communicative development (Long, 1985, pp. 77-99; Ellis, 2003; Moore, 2018; Lambert et al., 2019).

Furthermore, TBLT engenders a pedagogical environment that is fundamentally learner-centric, effectively positioning students as the primary agents in the language acquisition process. Within this framework, students actively navigate through assorted tasks, during which they are compelled to make deliberate linguistic choices, negotiate meaning

collaboratively, and engage in problem-solving endeavors. Such active and intentional participation in task completion not only facilitates the enhancement of their linguistic proficiency but concurrently fosters the development of critical thinking and problem-solving capacities. This harmonizes with the tenets of the *Interactionist Theory*, which posits a close entwinement between language acquisition and cognitive development, reflecting the symbiotic relationship between these domains (Long, 1985, pp. 77-99; Ellis, 2003; Moore, 2018; Lambert et al., 2019).

However, it is imperative to acknowledge that the efficacious implementation of TBLT necessitates meticulous planning and the judicious selection of tasks, underscoring the pedagogical imperative for thoughtful preparation on the part of educators. In task design, educators must consider an array of factors, including but not limited to the learners' proficiency levels, their interests, and the specific learning objectives the educational experience seeks to attain. Furthermore, tasks should be delineated clearly and concisely, with each task being structured so that it is achievable for learners while simultaneously presenting a level of challenge sufficient to stimulate and engage their cognitive faculties and linguistic capacities. Integral to this process is providing support and feedback as learners engage with and complete tasks. This supportive feedback mechanism is crucial, serving to assist learners in identifying and understanding their errors, facilitating a process of learning from these mistakes, and ultimately contributing to the improvement and refinement of their language skills (Long, 1985, pp. 77-99; Ellis, 2003; Moore, 2018; Lambert et al., 2019).

However, the practical application of TBLT is not exempt from challenges and considerations that educators must address. For instance, a misalignment might exist between TBLT and conventional assessment methods that are pervasive in current educational settings. Such discordance necessitates educators' adoption and meticulous implementation of

alternative assessment strategies, thereby ensuring an accurate and equitable evaluation of learners' linguistic performance and proficiency (Long, 1985, pp. 77-99; Ellis, 2003). Moreover, the investment of substantial time and intellectual resources is indispensable for crafting and refining tasks congruent with the distinct educational contexts and responsive to students' unique learning needs and objectives. The tasks should be carefully tailored, considering the engaged student body's linguistic proficiency levels, interests, and specific learning objectives, fostering an environment conducive to the active and meaningful acquisition of the target language (Moore, 2018; Lambert et al., 2019).

Contemporary Studies: Gass and Mackey. Gass and Mackey (2015, pp. 180-206) furnish a seminal contribution to the corpus of literature delineating the *Interactionist Theory* within the context of SLA, presenting a comprehensive examination of the nexus between social interaction and language acquisition. Their exhaustive scholarly inquiry encompasses a meticulous exploration of myriad interactional features, elucidating their consequential impacts on the nuanced process of SLA. This makes their scholarly endeavor indispensable for both scholars and practitioners navigating the field of SLA. Within the confines of their investigative framework, the scholars probe into the intricate mechanisms through which interaction catalyzes language development. This endeavor yields empirical evidence robustly substantiating that interactive communication constitutes an indispensable tool for efficacious SLA. Their research illuminates specific interactional features integral to the process, including but not limited to negotiation for meaning, the provision of feedback, and the occurrence of language-related episodes. Through their empirical lens, these nuanced elements embedded within social interactions are demonstrably linked to positive outcomes in the realm of language acquisition (Pica et al., 1987; Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Lyster, 2004; Ellis et al., 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206; Loewen & Sato, 2018).

Particular emphasis is placed on the negotiation process for meaning, a facet underscored within their research as exerting significant influence in fostering both comprehension and production of language among learners. Engaging in interactional dynamics where the negotiation of meaning is central, learners are observed to cultivate a profound understanding of linguistic structures and utilization. This process inherently enhances their proficiency, thereby bolstering competence in the employment of the second language. Hence, through the prism of their research, the process of engaging in interactions characterized by the negotiation of meaning is substantiated as instrumental in facilitating learners' deeper apprehension and adept use of language structures, thereby enhancing proficiency and linguistic competence in the target language (Pica et al., 1987; Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Lyster, 2004; Ellis et al., 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206; Loewen & Sato, 2018).

Feedback constitutes a focal dimension in the research advanced by Gass and Mackey (2015, pp. 180-206), meticulously scrutinized for its salient role in navigating learners toward precise deployment of language. Within the scope of the study, there is an explicit acknowledgment of the multifarious forms feedback may assume, including but not confined to recasts and prompts. The scholarly inquiry extends to discerning the differential impacts these assorted *Feedback* varieties wield over the developmental trajectory of learners' linguistic capabilities. The empirical findings derived from their assiduous investigation bring to the forefront the imperative of administering feedback that is both timely and apposite, effectively facilitating learners' responsive uptake and subsequent rectification of inaccuracies within their spoken or written expressions. This, in essence, emerges as a cornerstone in bolstering the language acquisition journey of learners (Pica et al., 1987; Long, 1996, pp. 413-

468; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Lyster, 2004; Ellis et al., 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206; Loewen & Sato, 2018).

Moreover, the scholarly endeavor by Gass and Mackey (2015, pp. 180-206) encompasses a discerning examination of *Language-Related Episodes* (LREs) transpiring within interactive engagements. These episodes, characterized by moments where learners delve into discussions or reflective analysis about the form and usage of language amidst communication, are earmarked as potent instances of language acquisition. These LREs ingeniously compel participants to channel focused attention toward linguistic constituents, engendering heightened awareness and fostering the internalization of intricate language rules and structural frameworks. In the course of their rigorous analytical exertions, engaging in synthesis and dissection of salient interactional features integral to language learning, Gass and Mackey (2015, pp. 180-206) bequeath invaluable insights and facilitate a deepened comprehension of the multifaceted processes through which interaction significantly contributes to SLA. Their seminal work not only provides robust support to the foundational principles underpinning the *Interactionist Theory* but also proffers practical implications bearing considerable relevance to both the domains of language education and the broader scholarly discourse ongoing within the realm of SLA (Pica et al., 1987; Long, 1996, pp. 413-468; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Lyster, 2004; Ellis et al., 2006; Gass & Mackey, 2015, pp. 180-206; Loewen & Sato, 2018).

Contemporary Studies: Teresa Pica. In her seminal 2005 work, Pica offers a substantial and influential contribution to the existing scholarship on the *Interactionist Theory* within the context of SLA, meticulously examining the complex dynamics embedded within interactive processes and delineating their role in facilitating language learning. The insights yielded from her investigation prove to be indispensable for educators and researchers

navigating the terrain of language education, offering nuanced understandings of interaction dynamics in the language acquisition process. A salient theme emerging from Pica's 2005 research is an exploration of the mechanisms through which interactive processes fortify language learning endeavors. A significant segment of her inquiry is devoted to dissecting the role embodied by the negotiation of meaning within the interaction framework. This negotiation for meaning is elevated to prominence within the discourse on interactive communication, envisioned as a collaborative enterprise wherein learners and their respective interlocutors endeavor jointly to forge a shared understanding. Within the parameters of this process, learners are rendered recipients of *Comprehensible Input*, afforded opportunities for output production, and are engaged through *Feedback* mechanisms, all facets integral to the overarching process of language acquisition (Long, 1983; Swain, 1985, pp. 235-256; Pica et al., 1987; Pica et al., 1989; Pica, 1994; Gass & Varonis, 1994; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis 2003; Pica, 2005).

Furthermore, Pica's rigorous inquiry sheds light upon the modifications introduced within the interactional framework during the negotiation for meaning, elucidating their contribution to the comprehension and articulation of the target language. The research furnishes empirical evidence underscoring how such interactional amendments enhance the accessibility of input for learners, thereby facilitating the processing and internalization of the target language's linguistic structures. Pica emphatically underscores the significance of the interactional adjustments introduced to enhance comprehension, highlighting their relevance not solely for facilitating understanding of the language but concurrently serving as catalysts for promoting learning (Long, 1983; Swain, 1985, pp. 235-256; Pica et al., 1987; Pica et al., 1989; Pica, 1994; Gass & Varonis, 1994; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis 2003; Pica, 2005).

Pica's (2005) scholarly endeavor also deeply analyzes various modalities of feedback deployed within interactive sequences and meticulously elucidates their consequential impacts on language acquisition. With a scrupulous investigative lens, Pica navigates through exploring how divergent forms of *Feedback*, exemplified through mechanisms such as recasts and elicitation techniques, influence learner uptake, subsequently molding the trajectory of language development. Her findings, nuanced and contextually grounded, illuminate the pathways through which distinct types of feedback can be strategically mobilized to buttress the edifice of language learning, considerations being meticulously aligned with the oscillating contexts and the varied proficiency levels embodied by the learners. Furthermore, Pica's 2005 contribution explores the consequential role tasks inhabit in engendering interaction and language acquisition. Her examination encompasses an analysis delineating how distinct task typologies exert influence over the volume and qualitative dimensions of interaction, thereby casting a discernible impact on outcomes associated with language learning. The empirical evidence from the research signals that tasks necessitating learners to engage in the *Negotiation of Meaning* engender elevated levels of engagement, concurrently unveiling augmented opportunities for learning. This supports and fortifies the assertion underscoring the significance of TBLT as an integral component within the *Interactionist* theoretical framework (Long, 1983; Swain, 1985, pp. 235-256; Pica et al., 1987; Pica et al., 1989; Pica, 1994; Gass & Varonis, 1994; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis 2003; Pica, 2005).

In summation, Pica's scholarly contribution in 2005 presents a meticulously woven tapestry of exploration into the cardinal role of interaction within the SLA domain. Through an analytic dissection of the mechanisms integral to the negotiation of meaning, coupled with feedback modalities and diverse task typologies, the research illuminates the intricate tapestry of processes through which interaction acts as a facilitative agent in language learning. The

findings distilled from this research not only offer theoretical insights but also extend into the realm of practical applications, thereby substantially deepening the understanding and operationalization of the *Interactionist Theory* within the scholarly and pedagogical field of SLA (Long, 1983; Swain, 1985, pp. 235-256; Pica et al., 1987; Pica et al., 1989; Pica, 1994; Gass & Varonis, 1994; Lyster & Ranta, 1997; Ellis 2003; Pica, 2005).

Contemporary Studies: Rod Ellis. Rod Ellis (2008) contributes significantly to the scholarly literature on the *Interactionist Theory* within SLA by meticulously examining and synthesizing existing theoretical perspectives and empirical studies in the field. The 2008 work stands out for its comprehensive exploration and analytical discussion on the role and efficacy of interaction in SLA, providing an intricate understanding of the mechanisms through which interaction facilitates language acquisition. The investigation commences with a probing analysis of the foundational principles of the *Interactionist Theory*. This involves thoroughly reviewing and critiquing vital theoretical underpinnings that have progressively shaped the *Interactionist* perspective. The work illuminates mechanisms integral to interaction in language learning processes, such as negotiation of meaning, provision of feedback, and generating modified output. Through this analytical framework, the importance of interactional features in promoting comprehension and production of the target language becomes evident. The study elucidates the significance of these elements, underscoring their pivotal role in enhancing understanding and usage of the target language. Such insights are crucial for scholars and practitioners in the field of SLA (Ellis, 1991; Robbins, 1995; Ellis, 1999; Ellis N., 2008, pp. 11-40; Ellis R., 2008; Zhang, 2010; Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Ellis R., 2013; Bahrani et al., 2014; Al Khateeb, 2014; Nassaji, 2016; Oliver & Azkarai, 2017; Loewen & Sato, 2018; Ellis et al., 2019, pp. 29-63).

Furthermore, Ellis proffers a meticulous evaluation of empirical research studies on the interplay between interaction and SLA. In critically examining these empirical endeavors, Ellis delineates both the robustness and the concomitant limitations inherent in the Interactionist Theory, thereby crafting a balanced and nuanced perspective. There is an illumination of substantial empirical support reinforcing the quintessential role of interaction in facilitating language acquisition. However, as highlighted in the work, it is imperative to note that the efficacy of interaction is not monolithic; instead, it exhibits variation contingent upon an amalgamation of factors. These encompass the nature and type of tasks undertaken, the proficiency levels exhibited by the learners, and the contextual framework within which instruction is delivered (Ellis R., 1991; Robbins, 1995; Ellis R., 1999; Ellis N., 2008, pp. 11-40; Ellis R., 2008; Zhang L. J., 2010; Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Ellis R., 2013; Bahrani et al., 2014; Al Khateeb, 2014; Nassaji, 2016; Oliver & Azkarai, 2017; Loewen & Sato, 2018; Ellis et al., 2019, pp. 29-63).

A salient facet of Ellis's scholarly contribution resides in exploring the practical implications emanating from the *Interactionist Theory*, particularly as it pertains to the realms of language pedagogy and acquisition. In this analytical venture, there is a scrupulous examination of the modalities through which the foundational principles of the *Interactionist Theory* can be efficaciously operationalized within educational environs to bolster the process of language acquisition. This scrutinization yields insights germane to the enhancement of pedagogical praxis. Moreover, the work navigates the challenges and considerations educators must consider in instantiating language teaching methodologies grounded in interaction. This navigation is indispensable for practitioners within the educational sphere, providing a repository of insights invaluable for the refinement of pedagogical practice (Ellis R., 1991; Robbins, 1995; Ellis R., 1999; Ellis N., 2008, pp. 11-40; Ellis R., 2008; Zhang L. J., 2010;

Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Ellis R., 2013; Bahrani et al., 2014; Al Khateeb, 2014; Nassaji, 2016; Oliver & Azkarai, 2017; Loewen & Sato, 2018; Ellis et al., 2019, pp. 29-63).

The 2008 study by Ellis also illuminates prospective trajectories for ensuing scholarly inquiries within the *Interactionist* theoretical framework. Within this articulation, areas necessitating further exploration are delineated, with Ellis advocating for the focus of future research endeavors to elucidate the nuanced mechanisms through which interaction exerts influence over various facets of linguistic competence. Furthermore, consideration should be accorded to the diverse types of interaction and the respective contributions each renders to the process of SLA. The foresight encapsulated within Ellis's perspective furnishes a roadmap for the directionality of subsequent research activities within the field, thereby constituting a pivotal fulcrum upon which the continual evolution and development of *Interactionist Theory* within SLA precariously hinges. Consequently, Ellis' 2008 scholarly contribution occupies a foundational position within the canon of literature pertinent to *Interactionist Theory* and SLA. The invaluable contribution of this work is encapsulated in its multifaceted approach: it proffers a meticulous critique of *Interactionist Theory*, engages in a comprehensive analytic review of empirical studies that have hitherto been conducted, and furnishes insightful prognostications regarding the directions future research should ideally navigate towards. Through these analytical exercises, the work significantly augments both the understanding and application of principles intrinsic to the *Interactionist Theory* in the domain of second language pedagogy and education (Ellis R., 1991; Robbins, 1995; Ellis R., 1999; Ellis N., 2008, pp. 11-40; Ellis R., 2008; Zhang L.J., 2010; Mackey & Goo, 2012, pp. 2748-2758; Ellis R., 2013; Bahrani et al., 2014; Al Khateeb, 2014; Nassaji, 2016; Oliver & Azkarai, 2017; Loewen & Sato, 2018; Ellis et al., 2019, pp. 29-63).

Contemporary Studies: Jenefer Philp. A collaborative scholarly inquiry undertaken by Philp et al. (2008) affords a nuanced elucidation to the *Interactionist Theory* within the domain of SLA, meticulously exploring the consequential roles of age and contextual factors within the paradigm of interaction-mediated language acquisition. Esteemed within scholarly precincts, this research endeavor illuminates the potential modulation exerted by these variables upon the efficacy of interaction as an instrumental apparatus for SLA, thereby furnishing a granulated perspective on the dynamics intrinsic to interaction within variegated learning milieus. A seminal focus of the inquiry by Philp et al. (2008) pertains to the modulation exerted by the learners' chronological age upon their capacity to benefit from environments characterized by interactive learning modalities. The conventional discourse surrounding age and language acquisition has traditionally oscillated within somewhat binary perspectives, predominantly endorsing that individuals of younger chronological age exhibit enhanced proficiency in language acquisition endeavors. Notwithstanding, insights proffered by the researchers nuance this understanding, indicating that while individuals of younger age may manifest superior long-term acquisition outcomes, their older counterparts frequently exhibit expedited initial progress, a phenomenon particularly pronounced within environments characterized by structured interaction levels (Gass et al., 1998; Mackey, 1999; Collentine & Freed, 2004; Philp et al., 2008; Simon, 2010; Philp et al., 2010; Mackey et al., 2012, pp. 7-23; Philp et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014; Lichtman, 2016; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2019; Wang & Jokikokko, 2022).

Moreover, the study postulates that learners of advanced age may possess enhanced capabilities to leverage *Feedback* procured during interactive sessions, a dynamic instrumental in facilitating the process of language acquisition. Concurrently, the research casts its analytical gaze upon the pivotal role enacted by contextual factors, with a particular focus on the

differential impacts of naturalistic versus instructional environments on interaction-driven SLA. This exploration accentuates the paramountcy of context, illustrating the dependency of interaction effectiveness on the constellation of environmental factors underpinning the learning scenario. For instance, while naturalistic environments offer many interaction opportunities, instructional contexts are characterized by more delineated and objective-oriented interactions, with each environment offering distinct advantages in fostering SLA. The study's authors advocate for a nuanced understanding of the subtle intricacies characterizing diverse contexts, asserting that such comprehension is indispensable for both educators and scholars in optimizing interactive learning experiences for language acolytes of divergent ages and proficiency levels (Gass et al., 1998; Mackey, 1999; Collentine & Freed, 2004; Philp et al., 2008; Simon, 2010; Philp et al., 2010; Mackey et al., 2012, pp. 7-23; Philp et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014; Lichtman, 2016; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2019; Wang & Jokikokko, 2022).

Moreover, the scholarly investigation conducted by Philp, Oliver, and Mackey elucidates the contention that the advantageous outcomes resultant from interactional endeavors are not universally dispensable but manifest significant variance contingent upon the matrix of individual differences exhibited by learners. This matrix encompasses cognitive faculties, preferred learning modalities, and antecedent linguistic acumen possessed by the learners. Recognition and conscientious consideration of these disparities amongst learners are imperative for the formulation and execution of efficacious instructional strategies predicated on interaction that is inclusively responsive to the heterogeneous requisites of individuals engaged in language acquisition pursuits. In summation, the research endeavor under scrutiny constitutes a pivotal and foundational contribution to the corpus of literature within the domain of SLA. This research proffers nuanced insights into the intricate interrelationships binding

age, contextual environments, and the dynamics of interaction-driven language acquisition, thereby representing a substantive addition to the existing compendium of scholarly knowledge. By meticulously exploring these pertinent variables, the investigation significantly augments the existing reservoir of knowledge, furnishing invaluable insights for academics, pedagogues, and learners. Such insights are instrumental in enhancing collective understanding and efficacious utilization of interaction as a potent catalyst for facilitating the processes associated with proficient and effective SLA (Gass et al., 1998; Mackey, 1999; Collentine & Freed, 2004; Philp et al., 2008; Simon, 2010; Philp et al., 2010; Mackey et al., 2012, pp. 7-23; Philp et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014; Lichtman, 2016; Hartshorne et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2019; Wang & Jokikokko, 2022).

Contemporary Studies: Robert Blake. Blake's 2008 scholarship offers an avant-garde exploration into the *Interactionist Theory* within the SLA purview, specifically focusing on its application within environments enhanced by technological advancements. As the technological milieu experiences substantial modifications, Blake's timely investigation addresses the exigency for a nuanced comprehension of interactional dynamics within digital contexts and explores methodologies for leveraging such interactions to engender efficacious language acquisition processes. A predominant theme in Blake's research pertains to employing *Computer-Mediated Communication* (CMC) apparatuses instrumental in engendering interactive experiences pivotal for language acquisition. With meticulous scrutiny, the research elucidates the dynamic opportunities for interaction afforded by an array of technological modalities, including both synchronous and asynchronous CMC tools, and delineates the consequential implications inherent to the process of SLA. Blake's exposition unveils that these digital paradigms facilitate the emergence of distinctive interactional spaces, engendering a communicative environment rich in both textual and verbal elements. This

environment thereby affords learners with an expansive array of opportunities to engage intimately with and produce the target language within these contexts (Ariza & Hancock, 2003; Chapelle, 2007; Blake, 2008; Hsieh, 2009; Mahdi, 2014; Chun, 2016; Zhou & Wei, 2018; Ziegler et al., 2022, pp. 50-64; Li & Li, 2023).

Moreover, a significant portion of Blake's study explores the structural nuances of technology-mediated interactions and how such structures can be manipulated to promulgate efficacious language acquisition. There is a particular emphasis on evaluating the efficacy inherent to varied task typologies within environments mediated by CMC, with a highlighting of tasks necessitating negotiation of meaning as being particularly efficacious within these contexts. As learners engage with tasks centered around the negotiation of meaning, they are invariably prompted to bestow heightened attention upon linguistic forms and structures, thereby facilitating an elevated awareness and comprehension of the target language. This pivotal finding not only aligns cohesively with the tenets of traditional *Interactionist Theory* but also extends its applicability into domains mediated by digital technology (Ariza & Hancock, 2003; Chapelle, 2007; Blake, 2008; Hsieh, 2009; Mahdi, 2014; Chun, 2016; Zhou & Wei, 2018; Ziegler et al., 2022, pp. 50-64; Li & Li, 2023).

Blake's 2008 scholarly endeavor further elucidates the challenges and opportunities concomitant with technology-enhanced interaction in language acquisition. A salient observation articulated within the research notes that technology, while functioning as a facilitator granting access to affluent linguistic input and prolific opportunities for interaction, simultaneously introduces a set of challenges. These encompass a prerequisite for skills in digital literacy and the emergent potential for miscommunication inherent to interactions primarily text-based in their modality. Such comprehension of the inherent challenges proves imperative for educators endeavoring to optimize technology's integration for pedagogical

objectives related to language acquisition. Additionally, the study proffers invaluable insights delineating methodologies for efficaciously integrating technology within the language instruction paradigm to maximize opportunities for meaningful interaction. Practical recommendations for practitioners within the educational sphere are furnished by Blake, advocating for a judicious selection of technological tools concomitant with a thoughtful design ethos applied to interactive tasks within environments characterized by CMC. Such approaches, as posited by Blake, bear the potential to engender experiences characterized by enhanced engagement and productivity within the process of language learning (Ariza & Hancock, 2003; Chapelle, 2007; Blake, 2008; Hsieh, 2009; Mahdi, 2014; Chun, 2016; Zhou & Wei, 2018; Ziegler et al., 2022, pp. 50-64; Li & Li, 2023).

Limitations. While the *Interactionist Theory* proffers invaluable insights pertinent to the domain of SLA, it does not exist without inherent limitations. A salient limitation resides in its pronounced emphasis on the indispensability of social interaction. This focus, while meritorious, could potentially obfuscate the crucial role individual cognitive processes and intrinsic learner characteristics indubitably play in the language acquisition trajectory. The interaction component, although quintessential, does not exclusively dominate the SLA sphere; instead, myriad factors such as learner motivation, aptitude, and distinctive personality traits exert considerable influence over the acquisition process. Unfortunately, these elemental factors might not receive the analytical attention and acknowledgment they warrant within the theoretical confines of the *Interactionist* framework (Firth & Wagner, 2007; Larsen-Freeman, 2018; Ortega, 2019; Larsen-Freeman, 2021, pp. 793-798).

Furthermore, the *Interactionist Theory* tends to allocate predominant focus toward spoken interactions, potentially neglecting the cruciality of written forms of communication. Within the contemporary context characterized by digital predominance and a consequential

emphasis on textual communication, acquiring a nuanced understanding of the intricacies involved in reading and writing in a second language assumes paramount importance, tantamount to speaking and listening skills. In this regard, the *Interactionist Theory* may fall short of providing exhaustive insights into the systematic acquisition and developmental progression of written linguistic competencies. The practical applicability of the *Interactionist Theory* within the variegated tapestry of educational settings also encounters limitations. It is imperative to acknowledge that not every educational environment is inherently equipped to facilitate the requisite level of interactive dialogue advocated by the theory. This limitation emerges due to a confluence of factors and constraints, including but not limited to class size, temporal limitations, and the technological infrastructure available at disposal. In educational milieus where the seamless implementation of interactive learning approaches presents a daunting challenge, the applicative efficacy and effectiveness of the *Interactionist Theory* may consequently experience significant diminution (Firth & Wagner, 2007; Larsen-Freeman, 2018; Ortega, 2019; Larsen-Freeman, 2021, pp. 793-798).

Moreover, the *Interactionist Theory* occasionally presupposes an arguably idealized conceptualization of interaction, a schema that may not invariably mirror the multifaceted reality emblematic of language utilization across diverse social contexts. Integrating various social, cultural, and contextual determinants incontrovertibly molds interaction paradigms. Within this framework, the *Interactionist Theory* may not sufficiently address or encapsulate the intricacy and heterogeneity inherent to these influential determinants. Power dynamics within social structures, the matrix of social relationships, and ingrained cultural norms collectively significantly impact the modality and interpretation schema of language utilization among individuals. This complex interplay invariably influences interaction patterns, wielding consequential effects on the language acquisition process. It is, therefore, imperative to

recognize that while the *Interactionist Theory* furnishes a robust and invaluable analytical framework instrumental for delineating the processes underpinning SLA, a candid acknowledgment of its intrinsic limitations is paramount (Firth & Wagner, 2007; Dörnyei, 2009; Larsen-Freeman, 2018; Ortega, 2019; Larsen-Freeman, 2021, pp. 793-798).

The theoretical postulates of the *Interactionist Theory* may not entirely encompass the intricate interplay of social, cognitive, and contextual elements that synergistically influence the language learning continuum. Navigating through the complexity necessitates adopting a perspective characterized by holistic integration, a viewpoint essential for comprehensively understanding and interpreting the nuanced, multifaceted nature of SLA. This approach necessitates a departure from reductionism towards embracing a perspective that accords recognition to the convergence of cognitive processes, social dynamics, and contextual influences, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of SLA in its entirety (Firth & Wagner, 2007; Dörnyei, 2009; Larsen-Freeman, 2018; Ortega, 2019; Larsen-Freeman, 2021, pp. 793-798).

Future Directions and Conclusion

While the *Interactionist Theory* furnishes invaluable insights and noteworthy contributions elucidating the intricacies of SLA, with an accentuation on the quintessential role social interaction delineates within this complex process, it is imperative to acknowledge its limitations. Though the theory is robust, offering a penetrating gaze into collaborative aspects and negotiation of meaning as indispensable components of SLA, it does not proffer an exhaustive interpretation of all salient facets intrinsic to the language acquisition endeavor. The theory singularly illuminates collaborative constituents and negotiation of meaning as pivotal components in SLA; however, the assimilation and contemplation of other critical determinants and theoretical postulations are requisite for a more encompassing and holistic comprehension

of the process. A collective reflection among researchers and pedagogues is obligatory as scholarly endeavors progress and the academic community contemplates prospective trajectories within this multifaceted discipline. It is vital to envision an integrated theoretical tapestry wherein the *Interactionist Theory* coalesces seamlessly with alternative theoretical frameworks, fostering a more holistic and integrative understanding of SLA. Such a comprehensive paradigmatic approach necessitates the consideration of individual learner variances, encapsulating factors such as motivation, aptitude, and personality traits concurrently with interactive components intrinsic to the learning process (Loewen & Sato, 2018; Larsen-Freeman, 2018; Ortega, 2019; Sauro & Zourou, 2019).

Lastly, concomitant with the incessant tide of digitalization sweeping across the global educational landscape, extending the application purview of the *Interactionist Theory* beyond the confines of oral interaction to incorporate written communicative forms and digital literacy becomes imperative. This theoretical expansion aligns impeccably with the dynamic evolution characterizing language use and communication modalities within the contemporary societal fabric, thereby mirroring the communicative exigencies and expectations of the modern digital age (Loewen & Sato, 2018; Larsen-Freeman, 2018; Ortega, 2019; Sauro & Zourou, 2019).

The Effects of ESLA on Brain Development

The study of bilingualism and its effects on language development has garnered significant attention in recent years. This interest stems from the increasing prevalence of bilingual individuals globally and the implications of bilingualism on cognitive and linguistic development. One area of particular concern is the potential adverse effects of acquiring a second language (L2) on the FLD of young children. Understanding these effects is critical, as early language development lays the foundation for future academic achievement and cognitive

functioning, and the lasting consequences are yet to be determined (Berken et al., 2016; Hayakawa & Marian, 2019; Pliatsikas, 2019; Pliatsikas et al., 2020).

ESLA has been shown to induce structural and functional changes in the brain (Jasinska & Petitto, 2013; Hayakawa & Marian, 2019; Pliatsikas, 2019; Pliatsikas et al., 2020). More specifically, the literature has reported decreased grey matter and decreased white matter integrity among young bilingual children around the age of four when compared to monolingual peers of the same age (Pliatsikas et al., 2020). Furthermore, the affected areas involve areas of the brain responsible for Phonological Awareness, Receptive Language, Expressive Language, and Literacy Skills, potentially impacting the development and proficiency of the first language (L1).

Phonological Awareness involves the ability to recognize and manipulate the sound structures of language, including phonemes and syllables. This skill is foundational for language development and literacy acquisition. Receptive Language refers to the ability to understand and process spoken language, while Expressive Language involves the production of coherent and contextually appropriate speech. Literacy Skills encompass the knowledge and application of reading and writing processes, which are critical for academic success. Each of these domains relies on specific brain regions and neural networks, which can be affected by bilingualism.

Neuroimaging studies have demonstrated that bilingualism increases gray matter density, cortical thickness, and enhanced connectivity in brain regions associated with language processing. For example, the Superior Temporal Gyrus (STG), Inferior Frontal Gyrus (IFG), and Supramarginal Gyrus are critical regions involved in phonological processing. Bilingual individuals often exhibit increased neural activation in these areas, which can enhance Phonological Awareness and introduce interference from L2 phonological rules (Berken et al.,

2016; Kuhl et al., 2016). Similarly, the Superior Temporal Sulcus (STS) and Wernicke's area are crucial for Receptive Language, and increased connectivity in these regions can lead to enhanced auditory processing and competition between L1 and L2 (Olulade et al., 2016).

Expressive Language relies heavily on Broca's area, located in the IFG. Bilingualism is associated with increased gray matter volume and greater activation in Broca's area, facilitating linguistic flexibility and potentially leading to code-switching and language blending (Grogan et al., 2012). Literacy Skills involve the angular gyrus and posterior Superior Temporal Gyrus (pSTG), where structural changes due to bilingualism can improve overall literacy abilities but also cause difficulties in orthographic processing and phoneme-grapheme correspondence in L1 (Luk et al., 2020).

The Logometro® assessment tool offers a comprehensive approach to evaluating young children's language and literacy skills. By employing specific tests that measure phonological awareness, receptive language, expressive language, and literacy skills, researchers can gain valuable insights into the impact of bilingualism on L1 development. This section of the literature review aims to explore the neurocognitive effects of ESLA on these specific domains and justify the use of Logometro® tests to investigate these effects.

Theoretical Background

Phonological Awareness, receptive language, expressive language, and literacy skills are essential to language development. Phonological awareness involves recognizing and manipulating language sound structures, including phonemes and syllables, which are foundational for language development and literacy acquisition. Receptive language encompasses the comprehension and understanding of spoken language, while expressive language is the ability to produce coherent and contextually appropriate speech. Literacy skills include the knowledge and application of reading and writing processes, which are critical for

academic success. Each of these domains relies on specific brain regions and neural networks, which can be affected by bilingualism.

The critical period hypothesis posits that there is a specific time window during which language acquisition occurs most efficiently. According to this hypothesis, acquiring a second language (L2) during this critical period can significantly influence cognitive and linguistic development. ESLA occurs during this optimal period, leading to heightened plasticity in brain regions responsible for language processing (Kuhl, 2020). This plasticity allows for the simultaneous development of two languages but can also result in competition and interference between the languages.

Theoretical models explaining bilingualism and its impact on cognitive and linguistic development include the Bilingual Interactive Activation Plus (BIA+) model and the Competition Model. The BIA+ model posits that bilingual individuals activate both languages simultaneously during language processing, leading to interactions between the languages at various levels of processing (Van Assche et al., 2019). This model suggests that bilinguals have a shared but also partially distinct linguistic system for both languages, which can lead to cross-linguistic interference, especially in young learners.

The *Competition Model* emphasizes the role of input frequency and context in language learning and processing. According to this model, bilingual children continuously adjust and optimize their linguistic systems based on the frequency and context of language use (MacWhinney, 2020). This constant adjustment can result in competition between the languages, particularly in domains with differing phonological, syntactic, or semantic rules.

Phonological awareness is critical for language development and literacy acquisition. Studies have shown that ESLA can enhance phonological awareness by exposing children to a broader range of phonetic contrasts (Blom et al., 2017). However, this exposure can also lead

to interference, where phonological rules from L2 disrupt the processing of L1 phonology. For instance, bilingual children may struggle to distinguish similar phonemes across both languages but have different phonological rules (Antoniou et al., 2020).

Receptive language involves understanding spoken language and is supported by brain regions such as the superior temporal sulcus (STS) and Wernicke's area. ESLA can enhance auditory processing and greater neural connectivity in these regions (Hernandez et al., 2020). While this enhanced processing can improve overall language comprehension skills, it can also cause competition between L1 and L2, potentially delaying receptive language development in L1.

Expressive language refers to producing coherent and contextually appropriate speech, relying heavily on Broca's area in the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG). Bilingualism has been associated with increased gray matter volume and greater activation in Broca's area, facilitating linguistic flexibility and control (Kroll et al., 2012). However, this flexibility can also lead to code-switching and blending of languages, complicating expressive language development in L1.

Literacy skills involve reading and writing processes and are supported by brain regions such as the angular gyrus and posterior superior temporal gyrus (pSTG). ESLA can result in structural changes in these regions, enhancing overall literacy abilities and introducing challenges in orthographic processing and phoneme-grapheme correspondence in L1 (Pfenninger & Singleton, 2020). These challenges may manifest as learning to read and write in L1, notably when the orthographic systems of L1 and L2 differ significantly.

Neurocognitive Effects of Bilingualism

Research on the neurocognitive effects of bilingualism has shown that acquiring a second language (L2) can lead to structural and functional brain changes. These significant

changes impact various language processing domains, including phonological awareness, receptive language, expressive language, and literacy skills. Understanding these neurocognitive effects is crucial for comprehending how early second language acquisition (ESLA) might influence first language development (FLD).

Structural Changes in the Brain. Bilingualism has been associated with increased gray matter density and cortical thickness in brain regions responsible for language processing. The superior temporal gyrus (STG), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and supramarginal gyrus are critical areas involved in phonological processing. Studies using neuroimaging techniques, such as voxel-based morphometry, have demonstrated that bilingual individuals exhibit greater gray matter density in these regions than monolinguals (Berken et al., 2016). Increasing gray matter density enhances phonological awareness by providing a more robust neural substrate for processing phonetic contrasts.

Similarly, the superior temporal sulcus (STS) and Wernicke's area, which are crucial for receptive language processing, also show structural changes in bilingual individuals. Increased cortical thickness and gray matter volume in these areas have been observed, suggesting enhanced capacity for auditory processing and language comprehension (Olulade et al., 2016). These structural adaptations may contribute to improved receptive language skills, but they can also increase competition between L1 and L2.

Broca's area in the IFG is essential for speech production and expressive language. Bilingualism is linked to increased gray matter volume in Broca's area, facilitating greater linguistic flexibility and control (Grogan et al., 2012). This structural enhancement supports switching between languages and managing multiple linguistic systems, which is crucial for bilingual individuals.

In the domain of literacy skills, the angular gyrus and posterior superior temporal gyrus (pSTG) are critical regions involved in the reading and writing processes. Bilingualism can lead to increased gray matter density in these areas, which enhances the neural capacity for orthographic processing and phoneme-grapheme correspondence (Luk et al., 2020). These structural changes benefit overall literacy development but may also introduce challenges when the orthographic systems of L1 and L2 differ significantly.

Functional Changes in the Brain. In addition to structural changes, bilingualism induces functional changes in the brain. These changes involve altered activation patterns and connectivity during language processing tasks. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have shown that bilingual individuals exhibit greater neural activation in the STG and IFG during phonological tasks than monolinguals (Kuhl et al., 2016). This increased activation reflects bilingual individuals' enhanced phonological processing capabilities and the potential for cross-linguistic interference.

Functional connectivity analyses have revealed that bilinguals have stronger connections between brain regions involved in language processing. For example, increased connectivity between the STS and Wernicke's area has been observed in bilinguals, indicating a more integrated and efficient network for auditory processing and language comprehension (Berken et al., 2016). This enhanced connectivity supports better receptive language skills but can also lead to competition between L1 and L2, potentially impacting receptive language development in L1.

Broca's area also shows increased activation during speech production tasks in bilinguals. This heightened activation is associated with managing two linguistic systems and switching between them as needed (Grogan et al., 2012). While this functional change

enhances expressive language capabilities, it can also result in code-switching and language blending, which may complicate expressive language development in L1.

Functional changes in the angular gyrus and pSTG have been observed in the domain of literacy skills. Bilinguals exhibit greater activation in these regions during reading and writing tasks, reflecting their enhanced capacity for orthographic processing and phoneme-grapheme correspondence (Luk et al., 2020). However, two orthographic systems can create difficulties learning to read and write in L1, notably when the systems differ significantly.

Impact on Phonological Awareness

Phonological awareness is a critical component of language development, involving the ability to recognize and manipulate the sound structures of language, including phonemes and syllables. This skill is foundational for literacy acquisition and overall language proficiency. ESLA can significantly affect phonological awareness due to the structural and functional changes it induces in the brain. (Stekić et al., 2023)

Brain Regions Involved in Phonological Awareness. *Phonological Awareness* is primarily supported by the superior temporal gyrus (STG), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and the supramarginal gyrus. These regions are crucial for processing phonetic information and phoneme segmentation, synthesis, and manipulation (Berken et al., 2016). The STG is involved in the perception of speech sounds, the IFG (including Broca's area) is critical for speech production and phonological processing, and the supramarginal gyrus integrates sensory information and is involved in phonological working memory (Yamasaki et al., 2019).

Structural Changes Due to ESLA. Studies have shown that bilingualism increases gray matter density and cortical thickness in the STG, IFG, and supramarginal gyrus. For instance, Berken et al. (2016) found that bilingual individuals exhibited greater gray matter density in the left STG and IFG than monolinguals. This increased density suggests that the

brain adapts to handle the additional linguistic load of managing two languages. The structural changes in these regions enhance the brain's capacity for phonological processing, potentially leading to improved phonological awareness in bilingual children.

However, these structural changes can also result in interference effects. The phonological rules and structures of the second language (L2) can interfere with those of the first language (L1), leading to difficulties in phoneme discrimination and phonological processing in L1. Antoniou et al. (2020) reported that bilingual children often struggle with similar phonemes across both languages but follow different phonological rules. This interference can affect tasks such as phoneme segmentation and synthesis in L1.

Functional Changes Due to ESLA. Functionally, bilingualism has increased neural activation in the STG and IFG during phonological tasks. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that bilingual individuals exhibit greater activation in these regions when engaged in phonological processing than monolinguals (Kuhl et al., 2016). This increased activation reflects the heightened demands of processing phonetic contrasts in two languages.

Additionally, bilinguals show enhanced connectivity between brain regions involved in phonological processing. Enhanced connectivity between the STG, IFG, and supramarginal gyrus supports more efficient phonological processing and facilitates cross-linguistic interference. Berken et al. (2016) found that bilingual children displayed more robust functional connectivity in these regions, which correlated with their performance on phonological tasks. While this enhanced connectivity can improve phonological awareness overall, it can also lead to challenges in maintaining distinct phonological systems for L1 and L2.

Impact on Receptive Language

Receptive language refers to the ability to understand and process spoken language, foundational for effective communication and overall language development. This domain involves multiple cognitive processes, including auditory perception, lexical access, and syntactic parsing. Early second language acquisition (ESLA) can significantly affect receptive language skills due to the structural and functional changes it induces in the brain.

Brain Regions Involved in *Receptive Language*.

Receptive language processing primarily involves the superior temporal sulcus (STS) and Wernicke's area in the posterior part of the superior temporal gyrus (STG). The STS is crucial for processing auditory information and speech sounds, while Wernicke's area is responsible for language comprehension and the integration of linguistic information (Hervais-Adelman et al., 2019). These regions work together to decode the sounds of language into meaningful words and sentences.

Structural Changes Due to ESLA. Bilingualism has been shown to induce structural changes in the STS and Wernicke's area. Research using voxel-based morphometry has found that bilingual individuals often have increased gray matter volume and cortical thickness in these areas compared to monolinguals (Olulade et al., 2016). This increase in gray matter suggests an enhanced capacity for processing auditory and linguistic information, potentially leading to improved receptive language skills.

However, the structural changes associated with bilingualism can also result in language competition. The brain's adaptation to handle multiple languages can lead to interference, where the neural resources dedicated to processing L2 may impact the efficiency of processing L1. For instance, children who acquire a second language early may experience

delays in developing receptive language in L1 due to the brain's need to manage two linguistic systems simultaneously (Pliatsikas et al., 2017).

Functional Changes Due to ESLA. Functionally, bilingualism enhances neural activation and connectivity in regions associated with receptive language processing. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that bilingual individuals exhibit greater activation in the STS and Wernicke's area during language comprehension tasks than monolinguals (Olulade et al., 2016). This increased activation reflects the heightened demands of processing two languages, which can improve overall language comprehension capabilities.

Enhanced connectivity between the STS, Wernicke's area, and other language-related regions supports more efficient language processing and facilitates cross-linguistic interference. Bilingual children often display more robust functional connectivity in these regions, which correlates with their performance on receptive language tasks (Kroll et al., 2012). While this enhanced connectivity can improve receptive language skills in both languages, it can also cause difficulties in maintaining distinct linguistic systems, potentially affecting L1 comprehension.

Impact on Expressive Language

Expressive language refers to the ability to produce coherent and contextually appropriate speech, encompassing various skills, including vocabulary use, grammar, and the ability to narrate and describe experiences. This domain is critical for effective communication and social interaction. Early second language acquisition (ESLA) can significantly impact expressive language due to the structural and functional changes it induces in the brain.

Brain Regions Involved in Expressive Language

Expressive language is primarily supported by Broca's area, located in the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and its connections to other regions, such as the supplementary motor area and the basal ganglia. Broca's area is crucial for language production, including the planning and articulation of speech. The supplementary motor area is involved in initiating and coordinating speech, while the basal ganglia play a role in language control and fluency (Grodzinsky & Santi, 2008).

Structural Changes Due to ESLA. Bilingualism has induced structural changes in Broca's area and associated regions. Studies using neuroimaging techniques, such as diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), have found that bilingual individuals often exhibit increased gray matter volume and white matter integrity in the IFG compared to monolinguals (Li, Legault, & Litcofsky, 2014). These changes suggest that the brain adapts to manage the demands of producing two languages, enhancing language production and control capacity.

However, these structural adaptations can also introduce challenges. Managing two linguistic systems can lead to increased cognitive load, which may impact the fluency and accuracy of language production in the first language (L1). For instance, bilingual children may experience difficulties with lexical retrieval and syntactic processing in L1 due to interference from the second language (L2) (Gollan et al., 2011).

Functional Changes Due to ESLA. Functionally, bilingualism enhances neural activation and connectivity in regions associated with expressive language. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that bilingual individuals exhibit greater activation in Broca's area and its connections during language production tasks than monolinguals (Sulpizio et al., 2020). This increased activation reflects the demands of managing two linguistic systems and switching between them as needed.

Enhanced connectivity between Broca's area, the supplementary motor area, and the basal ganglia supports more efficient language production and facilitates cross-linguistic interference. Bilingual children often display more robust functional connectivity in these regions, correlating with their performance on expressive language tasks (Pliatsikas et al., 2017). While this enhanced connectivity can improve overall expressive language skills, it can also result in code-switching and blending of languages, complicating the development of L1 expressive language.

Impact on Literacy Skills

Literacy skills, encompassing the abilities to read and write, are foundational for academic achievement and effective communication. Early second language acquisition (ESLA) can significantly influence literacy skills due to the structural and functional changes it induces in the brain. Understanding these effects is crucial for evaluating how bilingualism impacts the development of first language literacy skills (FLD).

Brain Regions Involved in Literacy Skills. Literacy skills are primarily supported by the angular gyrus and the posterior superior temporal gyrus (pSTG). The angular gyrus plays a critical role in reading, particularly in decoding and comprehension, while the pSTG is involved in phonological processing and the integration of auditory and visual information necessary for reading and writing (Carreiras et al., 2009). These regions, along with their connections to other parts of the brain, form a network that is essential for the acquisition and execution of literacy skills.

Structural Changes Due to ESLA. Bilingualism has been shown to induce structural changes in the brain regions associated with literacy skills. Research using voxel-based morphometry has found that bilingual individuals often exhibit increased gray matter density and cortical thickness in the angular gyrus and pSTG compared to monolinguals (Pliatsikas et

al., 2017). These changes suggest that the brain adapts to handle the additional linguistic load of managing two languages, enhancing the neural capacity for literacy-related tasks.

However, these structural changes can also result in interference effects. Processing two orthographic systems can lead to difficulties developing phoneme-grapheme correspondences and orthographic processing in the first language (L1). For example, children learning to read and write in both English and Spanish may struggle with the differing phonological and orthographic rules between the two languages (Schroeder et al., 2020). This can result in slower acquisition of literacy skills in L1 due to the cognitive load of managing two orthographic systems.

Functional Changes Due to ESLA. Functionally, bilingualism enhances neural activation and connectivity in regions associated with literacy skills. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that bilingual individuals exhibit greater activation in the angular gyrus and pSTG during reading and writing tasks than monolinguals (Jasińska & Petitto, 2018). This increased activation reflects the heightened demands of processing two written languages, which can enhance overall literacy abilities and introduce cross-linguistic interference.

Enhanced connectivity between the angular gyrus, pSTG, and other regions involved in literacy, such as the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), supports more efficient literacy processing and facilitates interference. Bilingual children often display more robust functional connectivity in these regions, which correlates with their performance on literacy tasks (Olulade, Jamal, Koo, Perfetti, & Eden, 2016). While this enhanced connectivity can improve overall literacy skills, it can also result in challenges in maintaining distinct orthographic systems, potentially affecting L1 literacy development.

Justification for Using Logometro® Tests

The Logometro® assessment tool offers a comprehensive and nuanced approach to evaluating language and literacy skills in young children, making it an ideal instrument for examining the impact of early second language acquisition (ESLA) on first language development (FLD). The specific Logometro® tests for phonological awareness, receptive language, expressive language, and literacy skills are particularly relevant for assessing the domains most likely to be affected by bilingualism. This section provides a detailed justification for using these tests based on the neurocognitive changes associated with ESLA and the need for targeted assessment tools.

Phonological Awareness

Phonological awareness is foundational for language development and literacy acquisition, involving recognizing and manipulating the sound structures of language, including phonemes and syllables. ESLA can lead to structural changes in brain regions such as the superior temporal gyrus (STG), inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and supramarginal gyrus, which are crucial for phonological processing (Berken et al., 2016). The Logometro® phonological awareness tests, including tasks for recognizing syllable and phoneme similarities, synthesis, segmentation, and elimination, are designed to evaluate these specific abilities. These tests can reveal how ESLA enhances or interferes with phonological processing in the first language, providing insights into the potential impacts on literacy development.

Receptive Language. Receptive language skills are essential for understanding spoken language and are supported by brain regions such as the superior temporal sulcus (STS) and Wernicke's area. ESLA can increase gray matter volume and enhance connectivity in these areas, improving auditory processing and potential competition between L1 and L2 (Olulade et al., 2016). The Logometro® receptive language tests assess the ability to follow directions

and comprehend stories, and they are critical tasks that evaluate how ESLA affects language comprehension in L1. By examining performance on these tasks, researchers can determine whether bilingualism's structural and functional changes facilitate or hinder receptive language development in L1.

Expressive Language. Expressive language involves the production of coherent and contextually appropriate speech, relying heavily on Broca's area in the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG). Bilingualism has been linked to increased gray matter volume and greater activation in Broca's area, which can enhance linguistic flexibility and lead to code-switching and language blending (Grogan et al., 2012). The Logometro® expressive language tests, including story retelling and free narration, are designed to assess these aspects of language production. These tests help evaluate the impact of ESLA on the child's ability to organize and articulate speech in L1, revealing potential challenges in maintaining distinct linguistic systems for L1 and L2.

Literacy Skills. The angular gyrus and posterior superior temporal gyrus (pSTG) support literacy skills, encompassing reading and writing abilities. ESLA can lead to structural changes in these regions, enhancing overall literacy processing and introducing interference effects when managing two orthographic systems (Pliatsikas et al., 2017). The Logometro® literacy skills tests assess grapheme-phoneme correspondences, word and phrase writing, and reading comprehension. These tasks are critical for understanding how ESLA affects the development of literacy skills in L1. By providing a detailed assessment of reading and writing abilities, the Logometro® tests can highlight specific areas where bilingual children may struggle due to cross-linguistic interference.

Comprehensive and Targeted Assessment. The Logometro® assessment tool is uniquely suited for evaluating the complex impacts of ESLA on FLD due to its comprehensive and targeted approach. Each test within the Logometro® battery is designed to measure specific

language and literacy skills, providing a nuanced understanding of the child's abilities across different domains. This targeted assessment is crucial for identifying both the benefits and challenges associated with bilingualism, allowing researchers to develop tailored intervention programs to support bilingual children's language development.

Furthermore, the digital integration of the Logometro® tool enhances its efficiency and accuracy, enabling consistent administration and scoring of tests. This technological advantage ensures reliable data collection and analysis, facilitating robust research outcomes. By employing Logometro® tests, researchers can obtain a detailed and accurate picture of how ESLA influences various language and literacy development aspects in young children.

CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD

The selection of an *Exploratory Concurrent Mixed Methods Design* for investigating *Early Second Language Acquisition* (ESLA) and *First Language Development* (FLD) in preschool-aged Greek children reflects a strategic methodological choice. This design is suited to the complex and dynamic nature of bilingual language development, allowing for a comprehensive examination by integrating both quantitative and qualitative data.

Quantitative assessments, conducted through the Logometro® tool, provide empirical data on key linguistic domains, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. These structured assessments establish baseline and post-intervention profiles of linguistic competence. Simultaneously, Logometro®'s *Narrative Speech* and *Pragmatic Language* components yield qualitative insights, capturing the subtle and context-dependent effects of early exposure to *English as a Foreign Language* (EFL) on FLD.

This methodological integration enables a holistic exploration of language acquisition, linking empirical linguistic performance with environmental and contextual factors. The synergy between quantitative and qualitative methodologies offers a nuanced perspective on the intricate mechanisms underpinning bilingual language development.

By employing this comprehensive framework, the study seeks to unravel the interconnected processes that shape the relationship between ESLA and FLD. The findings aim to advance scholarly discourse on bilingualism while informing educational policy and pedagogical strategies for early childhood language instruction.

Research Design

As mentioned, this study employs an *Exploratory Concurrent Mixed Methods Design* to investigate the relationship between ESLA and FLD in preschool-aged Greek children. This

design is particularly suited for exploring complex and dynamic linguistic phenomena, as it allows for the simultaneous collection and analysis of quantitative and qualitative data. By integrating structured assessments with qualitative discourse analysis, this design provides a comprehensive understanding of how early exposure to EFL influences FLD.

The selection of this design is based on several methodological advantages. First, simultaneous data collection ensures that quantitative and qualitative findings emerge within the same timeframe, preventing external factors from influencing the results. This enhances the depth and breadth of analysis, as linguistic competencies can be examined holistically. Second, integrating quantitative and qualitative insights allows for a triangulated approach, ensuring that findings from structured assessments are contextualized within real-world language use. The Logometro® tool, which serves as the sole data collection instrument, facilitates this process by offering standardized linguistic assessments and qualitative discourse evaluation through its Narrative Speech and Pragmatic Language components.

This design also aligns with *Complex Dynamic Systems Theory* (CDST), which conceptualizes language development as a nonlinear, interconnected process influenced by various linguistic, cognitive, and environmental factors. By capturing both measurable linguistic performance and qualitative patterns in bilingual communication, this research design ensures that bilingual language acquisition's fluid and evolving nature is adequately represented. The exploratory aspect of the design is particularly relevant in the Greek educational context, where early English instruction in kindergartens is a relatively recent development. Given the limited prior research on how ESLA interacts with native language development in this setting, an exploratory framework is essential for identifying emerging patterns, relationships, and developmental trajectories.

The application of this design is structured around the dual nature of data collection. The quantitative component consists of Logometro®-based assessments, measuring *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. These standardized tests establish baseline indicators of linguistic proficiency, allowing for statistical comparisons between monolingual and bilingual cohorts. Simultaneously, the qualitative component involves the analysis of *Narrative Speech* and *Pragmatic Language* samples collected through Logometro®. This allows for a richer examination of language use in communicative contexts, highlighting differences in discourse structure, interaction strategies, and functional language use.

The concurrent integration of findings ensures that quantitative results are not interpreted in isolation but contextualized through qualitative insights. For example, if quantitative assessments reveal significant improvements in phonological awareness among children exposed to EFL, qualitative analysis can help explain how these improvements manifest in spontaneous language production. This multi-layered approach enhances interpretative accuracy, ensuring that findings reflect the real-world complexities of bilingual language development rather than being confined to abstract numerical trends.

Furthermore, selecting a fifty-participant sample for this study aligns with established principles in exploratory research, particularly within mixed methods designs investigating early language acquisition. While larger sample sizes are often associated with quantitative research, exploratory studies prioritize depth over breadth, making a more minor but well-defined sample methodologically sound and academically justified (Dawadi et al., 2021).

Thus, exploratory studies serve as a foundation for further research, allowing for the identification of emerging patterns and relationships in areas where extensive empirical data may be limited (Dokter, 2023). In mixed methods research, sample size considerations depend

not solely on statistical power but also on the richness of qualitative insights, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of complex developmental processes. A sample size of fifty participants is well within the accepted range for exploratory studies, where sample sizes typically range between ten and seventy-five participants per group, depending on the study's scope and objectives (Vasileiou et al., 2018; Lakens, 2022).

Moreover, research on early childhood language acquisition often necessitates smaller samples due to the resource-intensive nature of data collection, mainly when using detailed linguistic assessments such as Logometro®. Previous studies employing similar mixed-methods designs in early language development have successfully utilized sample sizes comparable to or smaller than fifty participants, yielding valuable theoretical and practical insights (Vasileiou et al., 2018; Lakens, 2022). Given that this study aims to explore rather than generalize, the sample size is appropriate for capturing meaningful linguistic patterns while ensuring methodological feasibility. Thus, the sample size of fifty participants is academically defensible and methodologically appropriate for an *Exploratory Concurrent Mixed Methods Design*. It ensures that the study remains rigorous, focused, and capable of producing meaningful insights into the effects of ESLA on FLD in young Greek children.

In essence, the *Exploratory Concurrent Mixed Methods Design* provides a robust framework for examining the interplay between ESLA and FLD in young Greek children. By combining empirical linguistic assessments with qualitative discourse analysis, this study ensures that findings are both statistically valid and contextually meaningful. The methodological structure aligns with CDST by capturing bilingual language acquisition's fluid, interactive nature. Ultimately, this design enables a holistic investigation of how early EFL exposure influences FLD, generating insights that are both academically valuable and practically applicable to early childhood language education.

Methodology

The methodology is structured to ensure rigor and consistency, clearly focusing on data collection, analysis, and ethical considerations. The sole data collection instrument is Logometro®, a standardized language assessment tool designed for Greek-speaking children aged four to seven, which enables the study to incorporate both quantitative and qualitative evaluations. By leveraging Logometro®'s dual functionality, this research facilitates a comprehensive analysis of linguistic development, capturing both measurable linguistic outcomes and contextual language use patterns.

Quantitative Research Component

Central to the quantitative segment of this study is the Logometro® assessment tool, recognized for its robust methodological integrity and scientific validation. Designed specifically for tracking and evaluating language development in young learners, Logometro® is deployed at key intervals throughout the kindergarten year, yielding critical empirical data for the analysis of linguistic progress and changes in language abilities. The application of Logometro® underpins the study's hypotheses and enhances the understanding of ESLA's effects within the Greek educational system, rooted in its proven efficacy in assessing a broad spectrum of language competencies among young learners. These competencies include *Vocabulary*, *Phonology*, *Syntax*, *Reading Comprehension*, and *Pragmatics*, making Logometro® an indispensable tool in the study.

The assessment of *Vocabulary* knowledge within Logometro® aims to quantitatively appraise the breadth and depth of a child's lexical knowledge, evaluating not only the extent of vocabulary but also the understanding of word meanings and the capability to apply these words in context. This provides vital insights into linguistic development. *Phonological* assessment focuses on children's ability to recognize, manipulate, and produce sounds, which

is foundational for reading readiness by enabling phonetic decoding of texts. *Syntax* evaluation within Logometro® examines children's grasp and use of grammatical structures, assessing sentence construction and comprehension of complex syntactical forms, offering insights into communicative and literacy development. *Reading Comprehension* is assessed to measure how well children can interpret written text, which is crucial for academic literacy. *Pragmatics*, assessed through Logometro®, examines language usage in social contexts, assessing pragmatic language skills quantitatively and qualitatively.

Incorporating a pre-and post-test design in the quantitative methodology facilitates the longitudinal observation of language skill development, allowing the study to quantify linguistic progress or regression over the academic year. Analyzing the data obtained from Logometro® involves employing statistical methods such as the T-Test, which illuminates language development patterns and the effects of ESLA exposure.

Merging quantitative findings with qualitative insights derived from Logometro® assessments is pivotal, offering a holistic perspective on the impact of ESLA on FLD. This integrative approach ensures a comprehensive examination of language acquisition in Greece's educational landscape, aligned with the principles of CDST, providing a nuanced understanding of bilingual language development.

Qualitative Research Component

This research's qualitative dimension is anchored in applying the Logometro® assessment tool, designed for Greek-speaking children between the ages of 4 and 7. Despite its primary quantitative framework, Logometro® encompasses distinctive features conducive to qualitative data collection, particularly evident in its *Narrative Speech* and *Pragmatic Language* components.

The *Narrative Speech* assessment component of Logometro® is instrumental in procuring qualitative data, offering insights into a child's cognitive and linguistic development by evaluating their ability to construct and convey narratives. This facet is invaluable for understanding the influence of early exposure to EFL on narrative construction in the first language (L1), providing a lens through which the intricate relationship between L1 and EFL acquisition can be viewed. The capacity of Logometro® to record and scrutinize spontaneous speech patterns facilitates an in-depth examination of the dynamic interplay between languages.

Additionally, the assessment of *Pragmatic Language* skills within Logometro® serves as a qualitative gauge of language proficiency, evaluating how children navigate language use in social interactions, including their ability to request information, inform, and partake in dialogue. Such qualitative inquiry into pragmatic language use unveils the subtle nuances influenced by bilingual exposure, enriching the understanding of how language is utilized and interpreted in various contexts.

The tool's examination of convergent and discriminant validity further enriches the qualitative analysis. By juxtaposing children's performance across different subtests, patterns and intricacies in language development emerge, transcending simple quantitative measures. This comparative analysis is crucial for pinpointing areas potentially impacted by EFL exposure, such as vocabulary breadth and syntactic complexity.

Logometro®'s digital framework also captures nuanced linguistic data, including intonation, pace, and rhythm of speech, elements crucial for a comprehensive qualitative understanding of language development. These aspects, often marginalized in quantitative research, are vital for assessing the full spectrum of bilingual education's effects on FLD.

Crucially, Logometro®'s functionality in identifying individual linguistic profiles enables a personalized approach to data analysis. By charting each child's unique path in language development, the tool facilitates a detailed assessment of the diversity in language acquisition processes among children introduced to EFL at an early age. This individualized analysis is paramount for a holistic comprehension of bilingual language development.

In summation, the diverse capabilities of Logometro® for qualitative data collection empower this research to deliver a nuanced and comprehensive understanding of the impact of EFL on FLD among preschool-aged children in Greece. Through leveraging Logometro®'s *Narrative Speech* evaluation, *Pragmatic Language* assessment, and intricate linguistic analysis, the study embarks on an in-depth exploration of bilingual language development's complexities, promising to contribute significantly to the academic discourse on bilingualism.

Data Collection and Analysis

This study adopts a multifaceted approach to data collection and analysis, employing quantitative and qualitative methodologies to comprehensively understand the phenomena at hand. Central to this approach is the use of the Logometro® assessment tool, a highly sophisticated digital instrument designed explicitly for Greek-speaking children aged 4 to 7 years. As mentioned, Logometro® provides quantitative data and boasts unique features that facilitate the extraction of qualitative insights, mainly through its *Narrative Speech* and *Pragmatic Language* components.

Quantitative Data Collection. Concerning quantitative data collection, the Logometro® tool is administered at two strategically chosen points during the kindergarten academic year. This dual-assessment timeline is essential for conducting a longitudinal analysis of language development, allowing for the observation of growth, regression, or stability in language competencies over time. The selection of Logometro® is predicated on its

demonstrated reliability and proven efficacy in assessing language proficiency across critical linguistic domains. This aspect of the study is pivotal for documenting the children's developmental trajectories, providing empirical evidence to assess the impact of ESLA on linguistic abilities.

Qualitative Data Collection. This research also harnesses qualitative insights from the Logometro® tool, leveraging its capabilities in evaluating *Narrative Speech* and *Pragmatic Language* use. This methodological choice represents a departure from traditional qualitative techniques, such as surveys and interviews, opting instead for a more direct and streamlined approach. This innovative strategy ensures methodological consistency and facilitates an in-depth exploration of the nuances of language development influenced by ESLA, enriching the study with a layer of qualitative depth rarely achieved in conventional assessments.

Quantitative Analysis. The analysis's quantitative component is rigorous and methodologically sound, designed to decipher the complex developmental trajectories and impacts of ESLA on FLD. Grounded in the strategic deployment of the Logometro® assessment tool at crucial intervals, this analysis phase facilitates a longitudinal exploration of language development patterns among preschool-aged children. This process is essential for understanding the progression and evolution of language abilities within the context of ESLA. The foundation of quantitative analysis in this study is the application of statistical methods to the data collected via Logometro® assessments. Inferential statistics serve as the vital point, providing an overview of the dataset and elucidating the central tendencies and variabilities within the assessed linguistic competencies.

Longitudinal Data Analysis. The study's emphasis on its longitudinal design is reflected in the application of *mixed-effect modeling*, an advanced analytical technique that accounts for the repeated measures of language development observed over time within the

same cohort. This approach is particularly adept at examining individual growth trajectories and identifying patterns of language acquisition over time. Mixed-effect modeling offers a sophisticated framework for analyzing the dynamic evolution of language skills by considering both the variability within subjects and the differences between groups. This analysis is pivotal for capturing the nuanced ways ESLA influences FLD throughout the critical kindergarten years.

Integration with Qualitative Insights. Complementing the robust quantitative findings, the study also integrates qualitative insights derived from the Logometro® tool's *Narrative* and *Pragmatic* assessments. This holistic approach ensures that the quantitative analysis is not interpreted in isolation but is contextualized within the broader spectrum of linguistic and developmental phenomena observed. By marrying quantitative data with qualitative insights, the study achieves a multi-dimensional perspective on language acquisition, enhancing the richness and depth of the findings.

Qualitative Analysis. The qualitative dimension of this study delves deeply into the *Narrative* and *Pragmatic* data collected through Logometro® assessments. By employing a *Thematic Analysis*, this phase meticulously examines the linguistic outputs of the children, identifying recurring themes, motifs, and patterns that emerge from their narrative constructions and pragmatic language use. This analysis captures a wide array of linguistic phenomena and enables a nuanced understanding of the children's language use in various contexts and its implications for their bilingual development.

The study's qualitative analysis is profoundly informed by the principles of CDST, which emphasizes the emergent, dynamic nature of language development. By integrating CDST principles into the analysis, the study acknowledges the complexity of linguistic interactions and the interconnected factors that influence language development. This

theoretical foundation guides the interpretation of qualitative findings, highlighting the fluidity and variability inherent in acquiring and using language in a bilingual context.

Population and Sample of the Research Study

The exploration of ESLA and its potential ramifications on FLD constitutes a pivotal area of research, particularly within the context of preschool education in Greece. This study, grounded in the complexities of language acquisition as conceptualized by the CDST, seeks to illuminate the nuanced interplay between ESLA and FLD among preschool-aged children. By recognizing the critical period of linguistic development at this stage, the research focuses on children who are four years old, a phase where foundational language abilities are rapidly evolving. The Greek educational system, increasingly incorporating EFL in early childhood settings, provides a unique backdrop for this investigation. This study aims to unravel the intricate dynamics of bilingual language development, thereby contributing to a deeper academic understanding and informing educational policy and pedagogical strategies in Greece. This section delineates the population and sample for the study, elaborating on the rationale for their selection and the methodological alignment with the overarching theoretical framework.

Population Description

The population under scrutiny in this research encompasses preschool children in Greece, explicitly targeting the age group of four years. This age is notably significant in language development, as it represents a critical period where foundational linguistic skills are rapidly formed and refined. Within this demographic, the study focuses on two distinct cohorts embodying a different linguistic environment. The first cohort comprises children enrolled in monolingual Greek kindergartens, where the medium of instruction and interaction is

exclusively Greek. This group epitomizes the traditional linguistic setting for preschool education in Greece.

The second cohort consists of children attending kindergartens with an EFL focus, introducing them to a bilingual educational milieu. This cohort reflects the evolving educational landscape in Greece, where exposure to a second language at an early age is becoming increasingly prevalent. The contrasting linguistic contexts of these cohorts present a unique opportunity to examine the variances in language development trajectories, particularly concerning the influence of ESLA on FLD. The selection of this population aligns meticulously with the study's aim to explore the dynamic interplay between early exposure to a foreign language and the development of native language capabilities within the Greek educational framework.

Sample Frame and Size

The sample frame for this study is meticulously constructed from the broader population of four-year-old preschool children in Greece, with a particular focus on those enrolled in either monolingual Greek kindergartens or kindergartens offering EFL instruction. This framework is instrumental in capturing a representative cross-section of the early linguistic environment within the Greek educational system. The study's sample size has been carefully determined to be fifty participants, split equally between the two cohorts. Cohort A, representing monolingual Greek kindergartens, and Cohort B, representing EFL-focused kindergartens, will comprise twenty-five children. This allocation ensures a balanced representation from both linguistic settings, which is crucial for a comparative analysis of the effects of ESLA on FLD. The decision regarding the sample size considers the need for statistical validity while maintaining a manageable scope for in-depth analysis within the resource constraints of the study. This balanced approach allows for collecting robust and

meaningful data, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between SLA and native language development among preschool children in Greece.

Sample Description

The sample for this study comprises a select group of children from Greek preschool settings, reflecting diverse linguistic backgrounds. In Cohort A, children from monolingual Greek kindergartens are selected to represent a typical linguistic environment where Greek is the sole language of instruction and interaction. This cohort offers insights into the natural progression of FLD in a conventional educational setting. Cohort B, consisting of children from EFL-focused kindergartens, provides a contrasting linguistic landscape, introducing the element of bilingualism. Each cohort consists of twenty-five children, ensuring parity and facilitating comparative analysis.

The children in both cohorts are selected to represent a range of socio-economic backgrounds, enhancing the study's generalizability and ensuring that the findings reflect diverse experiences within the Greek preschool education system. The selection criteria for these children also encompass age, gender, and educational setting, aiming to capture a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the early linguistic development influenced by varying degrees of language exposure. This careful sample composition is pivotal to addressing the study's aim of exploring the dynamic interplay between ESLA and FLD within the unique context of the Greek educational framework.

Justification for Population and Sample Choice

The population and sample selection for this study are underpinned by a strategic rationale, aligning with the research objectives of examining the effects of ESLA on FLD among preschool children in Greece. The focus on four-year-old children in Greek kindergartens is deliberate, as this age marks a critical period in linguistic development, where

foundational language skills are rapidly evolving. This developmental stage is crucial for understanding the dynamics of language acquisition and the potential impact of bilingual exposure. The bifurcation into two cohorts, one from monolingual Greek kindergartens and the other from EFL-focused kindergartens, is designed to provide a comprehensive perspective on the influence of ESLA in different linguistic environments. The equal representation of twenty-five children in each cohort ensures a balanced approach, enabling a comparative analysis essential to discern the nuances in language development attributable to ESLA.

This sample composition facilitates a robust examination of the interplay between ESLA and FLD, offering contextually relevant and academically significant insights. The decision to include a diverse range of socio-economic backgrounds within the sample further strengthens the study's validity, ensuring that the findings are representative of the broader preschool population in Greece. Overall, the population and sample choices are meticulously tailored to address the complexities of bilingual language development, adhering to the principles of the CDST, which underpins this research. This alignment ensures that the study's design is well-suited to explore the intricate dynamics of language development in a bilingual educational context.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations are paramount in this study, given its focus on preschool children in Greece. Adherence to stringent ethical guidelines was ensured throughout the research process, particularly concerning informed consent, confidentiality, and safeguarding participant welfare. Before data collection, final and complete approval by the *Unicaf University Research Ethics Committee* (UREC) was obtained. Furthermore, informed consent was obtained from educator officials, teachers, and parents or guardians of the child participants, with clear communication regarding the study's purpose, procedures, and the

rights of the participants. This consent process was conducted with utmost respect for the autonomy of the families and in compliance with ethical standards for research involving minors.

Confidentiality was rigorously maintained to protect the identity and personal information of the participants. All data collected was anonymized, and any identifying information was securely stored and accessible only to the research team. This measure safeguards against unauthorized disclosure of sensitive information and upholds the privacy of the child participants and their families. Special consideration was given to the welfare and comfort of the child participants during the assessment and data collection phases. The research design and tools, particularly the Logometro® assessment, were selected and implemented to ensure they were age-appropriate, engaging, and non-intrusive. The well-being of the children was prioritized, with procedures in place to minimize any potential stress or discomfort.

Additionally, this study adheres to institutional and national ethical standards governing research involving minors. The research team is committed to upholding high standards of professionalism and ethical conduct, ensuring that the study was conducted with integrity and respect for the participants. This ethical framework is integral to the study, reinforcing its credibility and the trustworthiness of its findings.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The integrity of this study's findings hinges significantly on the meticulous delineation of inclusion and exclusion criteria for participant selection. Central to the inclusion criteria is the age and educational setting of the participants. This study explicitly targets preschool children who are four years old, a phase crucial for foundational language development. Eligibility for participation is further defined by enrollment in one of two distinct educational environments: monolingual Greek kindergartens (Cohort A) or kindergartens offering an EFL

program (Cohort B). For Cohort A, the study includes native Greek speakers not exposed to formal EFL education, thereby representing a conventional monolingual educational background. Conversely, Cohort B comprises native Greek speakers receiving formal EFL instruction in their kindergarten curriculum. Geographic diversity within Greece is also a significant inclusion criterion, ensuring the sample reflects a broad spectrum of the Greek preschool population.

Exclusion criteria for this study are defined with equal precision to maintain the integrity of the research design. Children outside the specified age bracket of four years are excluded to ensure uniformity in developmental stages among participants. This age specificity is pivotal for accurately assessing language acquisition patterns. In Cohort A, prior exposure to bilingual education or formal foreign language learning constitutes grounds for exclusion, maintaining the cohort's monolingual Greek context. Additionally, children with diagnosed cognitive impairments or language disorders are excluded, as these factors could significantly influence the natural language development process, thus affecting the study's outcomes.

The requirement for complete and informed consent from parents or guardians underscores the ethical dimensions of participant selection. Any potential participant without such consent is excluded from upholding ethical standards and the validity of the findings. Lastly, participant comfort and compliance are paramount; thus, children exhibiting discomfort or non-compliance during assessments are excluded. This measure ensures data reliability while prioritizing the well-being of the child participants.

Establishing these criteria is essential in creating a clearly defined, ethically sound participant pool, crucial for enhancing the study's methodological rigor and the validity of its conclusions in exploring the impact of ESLA on FLD within the Greek educational context.

Materials/Instrumentation of Research Tools

The selection of Logometro® as a research tool in this study is grounded in its robust design and proven efficacy in assessing language development in young Greek-speaking children. Developed collaboratively by INTE*LEARN and a team of university professors, Logometro® represents a landmark in digital language assessment tools, characterized by its comprehensive approach to evaluating oral language and literacy skills. Its inception was driven by the need for a reliable, engaging, and easy-to-use tool to accurately assess various aspects of language development in children aged 4-7. This need was particularly pronounced given the scarcity of tools tailored for the Greek language and the specific age group under study (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Logometro® has undergone extensive research and testing phases, including pilot studies and rigorous calibrations, to ensure its effectiveness as a language assessment instrument. Its deployment in assessing the language skills of over 1000 Greek children is a testament to its reliability and validity. The tool's acclaim, highlighted by its recognition at the Mobile Excellence Awards 2017, further underscores its value in educational and clinical settings. This two-fold approach, combining practical utility with scientific rigor, makes Logometro® an exemplary instrument for this research, offering nuanced insights into the language development processes of young Greek-speaking children (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Description of Logometro®

Logometro® is a digital language assessment tool designed specifically for Greek-speaking children aged 4-7. It assesses aspects such as *Vocabulary*, *Phonology*, *Syntax*, *Reading Comprehension*, *Pragmatics*, *Narrative Speech*, and *Pragmatic Language* skills. Critical features of Logometro® include an engaging and user-friendly interface, reliable and

comprehensible ratings, and automated calculation and display of evaluation results. These capabilities enable early detection of speech problems and potential difficulties in written language learning, contributing to the prevention of secondary problems related to poor school performance (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Logometro® consists of two primary components: a teacher's environment for registration, customization of tests, and evaluation of results, and a child's environment for test administration. This dual structure ensures a holistic approach to language assessment, allowing for individualized insights into each child's language development. The tool's effectiveness as a language assessment instrument has been confirmed through extensive testing on a large sample of children, ensuring its validity and reliability (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Moreover, Logometro® has been recognized for its innovation and efficacy, receiving accolades such as the Mobile Excellence Awards 2017 Gold Award. This tool represents a significant advancement in the field of language assessment, providing a reliable, comprehensive, and engaging method for evaluating and understanding the language development of young Greek-speaking children (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Origin and Development of Logometro®

The conceptualization of Logometro® emerged from a recognized need for a specialized language assessment tool catering to Greek-speaking children aged 4-7. This initiative was born out of a collaboration between INTE*LEARN, a prominent figure in educational technology, and a team of five university professors with expertise in language development and assessment. The primary objective was to create a digital tool to comprehensively evaluate various vital areas of language development. Particular emphasis

was placed on designing an interface that was not only scientifically rigorous but also engaging and user-friendly for young children. This phase involved extensive research and analysis to understand the specific language development needs of the target age group, ensuring that Logometro® would be both effective and relevant in assessing and identifying language-related challenges in early childhood education (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The design and development process of Logometro® was a meticulous and multi-staged endeavor. It commenced with an in-depth conceptual design phase, focused on creating a tool to effectively assess key language development areas for Greek-speaking children aged 4-7. This phase involved determining the tool's structure and the specific language skills it would evaluate. Subsequently, attention shifted to the creation of the user interface. The design aimed to engage young children and be practical for educators and therapists. This dual focus ensured that Logometro® would not only provide accurate assessments but also maintain the interest and engagement of its young users (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

As the development progressed, the tool underwent a series of enhancements and integrations of features, ensuring that it was comprehensive in its assessment capabilities. These features were developed to align with established educational standards and the latest language development and assessment research. Throughout the design and development process, the team placed a high priority on creating a tool that was scientifically rigorous yet accessible and user-friendly. This balancing act was crucial in ensuring that Logometro® would be a valuable resource in educational and clinical settings. The culmination of this process was a sophisticated yet approachable tool designed to meet Greek-speaking children's

unique language assessment needs (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The pilot studies and calibration phase of Logometro® played a pivotal role in its development. The tool underwent extensive testing with a targeted group of Greek-speaking children aged 4-7 during this stage. These pilot studies were designed to assess the effectiveness of Logometro® in real-world educational settings and to fine-tune its features based on practical use. The calibration process involved analyzing the results from these studies, adjusting the assessment parameters, and ensuring that the tool accurately measured the intended language skills. This phase was crucial for validating the tool's design and ensuring that it met the required standards of reliability and efficacy in language assessment. The feedback and data gathered from these studies were instrumental in refining Logometro®, ultimately shaping it into a reliable and comprehensive tool for language assessment (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The validation and reliability testing of Logometro® was a critical phase, ensuring its effectiveness as a language assessment tool. This phase involved a comprehensive evaluation of the tool's performance across a significant sample size of over 1000 Greek children. The objective was to ascertain the tool's consistency (reliability) and accuracy in measuring the intended language skills (validity). This process included quantitative and qualitative analyses, where the tool's results were compared against established benchmarks and educational standards. The outcomes from this testing phase demonstrated that Logometro® consistently provided reliable and valid assessments of language skills, thereby confirming its suitability for use in educational and clinical settings. The rigorous testing protocols adhered to in this phase were instrumental in establishing Logometro® as a scientifically sound and reliable tool for language assessment (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The launch and recognition of Logometro® marked a significant milestone in language assessment tools. Officially released in May 2017, Logometro® quickly garnered attention and acclaim within the educational technology community. Its innovative approach to language assessment, particularly for Greek-speaking children, was recognized at the Mobile Excellence Awards 2017. Here, Logometro® received the prestigious Gold Award in the Mobile Learning & Education Services category, a testament to its impact and efficacy. This recognition highlighted the tool's innovative design and practical application and affirmed its significance as a reliable and effective instrument for language development assessment. The launch and subsequent accolades underscored Logometro®'s importance in advancing language assessment methodologies and tools (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The impact and significance of Logometro® in language assessment are substantial. As a specialized tool for assessing language skills in Greek-speaking children, Logometro® fills a vital gap in educational and clinical settings. Its innovative approach, combining a child-friendly interface with rigorous assessment capabilities, has set a new standard in language evaluation tools. The accolades and recognition received notably the Mobile Excellence Awards 2017 Gold Award, further attest to its efficacy and impact. Logometro® not only offers a reliable means of identifying language development issues among children but contributes significantly to the broader understanding of language acquisition and development. Its success demonstrates the importance of targeted, well-designed assessment tools in educational technology and child development (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Reliability and Validity

The reliability testing of Logometro® was an extensive process, essential for ensuring the tool's consistency in measuring language skills among Greek-speaking children. This phase involved administering the tool to a large sample of over 1000 children, providing a robust dataset to assess reliability. Test-retest reliability was a key focus, and the tool was used multiple times under similar conditions to check for consistency in results. The aim was to ensure that Logometro® could reliably replicate the same outcomes, a crucial factor in its applicability in educational and clinical settings. This rigorous approach to reliability testing underscored the tool's effectiveness and helped in refining it to meet the required standards of accuracy and consistency in language assessment (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The approach to the validity assessment of Logometro® was multi-dimensional, focusing on various forms of validity to ensure the tool's effectiveness. This included content validity, ensuring the tool's content was representative of the language skills it aimed to measure. Criterion-related validity was also assessed, determining how well Logometro®'s results corresponded with external criteria for measuring language skills. Construct validity was another focus, examining whether Logometro® accurately measured theoretical, non-observable constructs related to language development. This comprehensive validity assessment ensured that Logometro® was not just consistent in its measurements (reliability) but also accurate and appropriate in measuring the intended language skills (validity), establishing it as a scientifically sound tool in language assessment for Greek-speaking children (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Integrating reliability and validity in the development of Logometro® was a strategic aspect of its creation. From the onset, the tool was designed to achieve high standards of

reliability and validity. This integration involved an iterative process, where initial designs and pilot test results informed subsequent modifications and enhancements. The development team closely monitored the outcomes of early testing phases to identify areas for improvement, ensuring that the tool consistently produced reliable results and accurately assessed the intended language skills. The synergy between reliability and validity considerations was pivotal in shaping Logometro® into a robust and scientifically sound tool for language assessment (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The real-world application and feedback phase for Logometro® involved its deployment in various educational and clinical settings, enabling the collection of practical feedback from users such as educators and therapists. This phase was crucial in understanding the tool's functionality and effectiveness in real-world scenarios. Feedback from these professionals provided valuable insights into the tool's usability, engagement level for children, and the accuracy of its assessments. This real-world application not only validated the tool's effectiveness but also highlighted areas for further improvement, ensuring that Logometro® remained a dynamic and evolving tool in the field of language assessment (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

The meticulous approach towards ensuring the reliability and validity of Logometro® stands as a testament to its scientific rigor and practical applicability. The extensive testing, encompassing a diverse range of Greek-speaking children, established a solid foundation of reliability, demonstrating the tool's consistency in language skill assessment. Concurrently, the multi-faceted validity evaluation, addressing content, criterion-related, and construct aspects, affirmed Logometro®'s precision in measuring specific language abilities. This comprehensive approach to reliability and validity reinforced Logometro®'s standing in the educational technology sphere and highlighted its potential as a pivotal instrument in language

development research. Integrating user feedback from real-world applications further refined the tool, ensuring its continuous evolution in line with the dynamic needs of language assessment (Antoniou et al., 2022; Mouzaki et al., 2023; Ralli et al., 2021).

Permission for Use

The employment of the Logometro® tool in this study was conducted in alignment with the guidelines provided by its developers, ensuring adherence to the ethical and intellectual property considerations inherent in the use of proprietary academic tools. While formal permission was not a prerequisite for its utilization, the research design and objectives were meticulously developed to align with the intended use cases and ethical guidelines stipulated by the creators of Logometro®. This approach underscores the study's commitment to ethical research practices and the responsible use of specialized instruments in academic investigations. Ensuring the integrity of the research process and acknowledging the contributions of the tool's developers were paramount, reflecting a deep respect for the intellectual property rights associated with the Logometro® tool. This careful consideration facilitates a research environment that is ethically sound and respectful of proprietary academic resources.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study meticulously explores the impact of ESLA on FLD among preschool children within the Greek educational context, adhering to the principles of the CDST. The population and sample, carefully delineated through precise inclusion and exclusion criteria, comprise children from both monolingual Greek kindergartens and EFL-focused kindergartens. This selection provides a robust framework for examining bilingual language development. The equitable representation of each cohort, with a balanced sample of

25 children from each kindergarten type, facilitates a comparative analysis integral to a comprehensive understanding of the influences of ESLA on FLD.

The methodological approach of this study, blending quantitative and qualitative methodologies with the Logometro® assessment tool, ensures a holistic investigation of the research question. This *Concurrent Mixed-Methods Approach* deepens the study's findings and resonates with the multifaceted nature of language acquisition as conceptualized by CDST. Including ethical considerations reflects the study's commitment to upholding high standards of research integrity, which is particularly significant when working with vulnerable populations like preschool children.

This research is poised to contribute substantially to the academic discourse on bilingual language development and the practical aspects of educational policy and pedagogy in Greece. The anticipated outcomes of this study are expected to shed light on the complex dynamics of language development in bilingual educational settings, thereby informing future educational strategies and policies. This research is a testament to the necessity of understanding the complexities of language acquisition in young learners, especially in a multilingual world, and highlights the critical role of methodologically rigorous and ethically sound research in this domain.

Introduction

The onset of globalization and the escalating value of multilingual competencies have significantly influenced educational policies worldwide, promoting the early introduction of second languages in educational curricula (Marlina, 2013; Portnoi, 2016, pp. 199-205; UNESCO, 2024; Karlsson et al., 2020). This research study delves into the impact of *Early Second Language Acquisition* (ESLA) on *First Language Development* (FLD) among preschool children in Greece, exploring the cognitive and linguistic dimensions of introducing English as a foreign language at an early age. Amidst a landscape where bilingual education is becoming a norm rather than an exception, understanding the implications of such educational strategies is increasingly pertinent.

In Greece, as in many non-anglophone countries, integrating an international lingua franca, such as English, during early childhood presents opportunities and challenges. The potential cognitive benefits of bilingualism, such as enhanced executive functions and superior problem-solving skills, are well-documented. However, concerns about possible detrimental effects on the development of the first language persist, raising critical questions about the optimal timing and methods for introducing a second language. This study is positioned at the heart of this debate, aiming to provide empirical evidence to inform these discussions.

In addition, the social dynamics of bilingual development warrant deeper examination, particularly in how bilingual children navigate their cultural and linguistic identities. Bilingual education influences cognitive development and plays a crucial role in shaping a child's social interactions and self-perception. In environments where ESLA is prevalent, children often find themselves balancing between two cultures, which can affect their social skills and emotional well-being. This dual cultural immersion can foster greater cultural empathy and understanding from a young age, yet it may also pose challenges regarding language preference and identity conflicts. The present study explores these dimensions by analyzing how these cultural interactions influence language preference and identity formation among preschoolers in a bilingual setting.

This study is positioned at the heart of this debate, aiming to provide empirical evidence to inform these discussions. The primary objective of this research is to scrutinize how ESLA influences FLD among young learners. This involves examining whether early exposure to English facilitates or impedes the natural development of Greek as the first language. The research employs a concurrent mixed-methods approach, which combines quantitative data from standardized language development assessments with qualitative data from observational and narrative analyses. This methodological strategy is designed to capture a broad spectrum of linguistic changes and developmental nuances, offering a comprehensive view of the linguistic trajectories of bilingual children.

The significance of this study extends beyond its academic contributions. It has practical implications for educational policy and pedagogical practice, particularly in settings where multilingual education is emerging as a critical element of early childhood education. Insights derived from this research could guide curriculum developers, educators, and policymakers in designing more effective bilingual education frameworks. Such frameworks would ideally support balanced bilingual development, thereby maximizing the cognitive and linguistic benefits of ESLA while mitigating any potential risks to first language proficiency.

Furthermore, this study contributes to the theoretical literature on bilingualism by providing data from a non-anglophone European context, which is relatively underrepresented in global ESLA research. Focusing on Greece, where the educational system is currently adapting to include early English language instruction, the research fills a significant gap in understanding how such policies affect young children's linguistic and cognitive development. The findings are expected to provide nuanced insights into the complex interplay between first and second language development, enriching the global discourse on the best practices for implementing ESLA in diverse educational settings.

Moreover, this chapter presents several key findings that elucidate the impact of ESLA on FLD among preschool children in Greece. The quantitative analyses reveal that early foreign language instruction significantly enhances linguistic competencies, including *Phonological*

Awareness, Receptive Language, Expressive Language, and Literacy Skills. These enhancements were statistically significant across all domains assessed, indicating that ESLA is critical in supporting comprehensive language development in young learners. Complementing these quantitative results, qualitative observations provide a nuanced understanding of the children's linguistic growth, underscoring substantial improvements in phonological processing, language comprehension, and literacy. Furthermore, a comparative analysis between children exposed to early foreign language instruction and those who did not demonstrate the clear advantages of bilingual education, with the former group outperforming their monolingual peers in all measured areas. Notably, the study also dispels concerns that early exposure to a second language might hinder the development of the first language. Instead, the findings suggest ESLA supports and may enhance first language proficiency. These insights collectively advocate for integrating bilingual education programs in early childhood curricula, highlighting their efficacy in fostering holistic language development without compromising the acquisition of the native language.

In essence, this research addresses an empirical gap and serves a critical educational and social function by informing the practices that shape young learners' linguistic landscapes. As countries like Greece continue to navigate the challenges and opportunities of bilingual education, the outcomes of this study aim to contribute valuable knowledge that supports educational decision-making and fosters a more profound understanding of the developmental implications of early bilingualism.

Chapter Organization

Chapter 4 of this dissertation is meticulously organized to articulate the findings from the study, which probes the effects of ESLA on the FLD of young children in Greece. Given the complexity of the research questions and the depth of analysis required, the structure of this

chapter is thoughtfully designed to ensure clarity, logical flow, and ease of understanding. Each section within the chapter addresses specific aspects of the research objectives and hypotheses, facilitating a systematic and comprehensive exposition of the findings.

The chapter begins with a section on the *trustworthiness* of data, which is foundational for establishing the reliability and integrity of the research findings. This segment underscores the robustness of the research methodologies employed and discusses the various strategies implemented to ensure the *data's Credibility, Transferability, Dependability, and Confirmability*.

Credibility is demonstrated through rigorous validation techniques such as data triangulation, member checking, and thick, rich descriptions that elucidate the context and conditions under which the data were collected. *Transferability* is addressed by offering detailed descriptions that enable the reader to assess the applicability of the findings in other contexts, thereby enhancing the study's external validity. *Dependability* is underscored through an in-depth description of the research process, documenting the methodological rigor and consistency over time. *Confirmability* is achieved by a transparent disclosure of the researcher's biases and assumptions and a comprehensive audit trail detailing all decisions made during the research process.

Following the discussion on data trustworthiness, the chapter transitions to the *Reliability and Validity of Data* section. This crucial part of the chapter evaluates the measurement instruments used in the study, focusing on their ability to accurately and reliably measure the constructs of interest. Internal validity is scrutinized to ensure that the instruments effectively measure what they intend in this study, addressing measurement bias and instrument calibration issues. External validity is explored to evaluate the generalizability of the study's findings across different populations and settings. Furthermore, the reliability of the

instruments is affirmed through detailed explanations of the measures taken to ensure consistency across different measurements and over time, such as test-retest reliability assessments and the use of established validated tools.

The *Presentation of Results* section is organized meticulously around each research question and hypothesis. This structuring ensures that the findings are presented in a manner that directly addresses the core inquiries of the study. Quantitative findings are detailed with statistical analyses, including the presentation of descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and the discussion of the statistical significance of the findings. Qualitative findings are presented through thematic analysis, providing a narrative that enriches the quantitative data and offers more profound insights into the phenomena studied. This dual approach ensures a holistic view of the impacts of ESLA on FLD.

The *Evaluation of Findings* section provides preliminary interpretations of the results. This section bridges the deeper analysis in subsequent chapters, exploring how the findings align with or challenge existing theories and literature on bilingual education and language development. It discusses the theoretical and practical implications of the results, providing a critical analysis of the study's contributions to the field.

The chapter concludes with a *Summary*, synthesizing the essential findings and their significance, emphasizing how the results contribute to the broader academic and practical discourse on early bilingual education. This final section highlights the relevance of the study's outcomes to educational policy and practice, setting the stage for future research directions.

By adhering to this structured approach, the chapter not only upholds academic rigor but also enhances the accessibility of the research findings, ensuring that they are presented in a manner that is both comprehensive and comprehensible to a broad audience.

Trustworthiness of Data

In research, establishing the trustworthiness of data is paramount to ensure the *Credibility, Transferability, Dependability, and Confirmability* of the findings (Elo et al., 2014; Kyngäs et al., 2020, pp. 41-48). Trustworthiness is a benchmark against which the quality of the research process and its outputs are evaluated, reflecting the rigor and integrity of a study. This concept is analogous to validity and reliability in quantitative research but tailored to address the unique nuances of qualitative inquiry. As this research study explores the impact of ESLA on the FLD of preschool children in Greece, ensuring the trustworthiness of the collected data is crucial to substantiating the study's conclusions and recommendations.

The importance of trustworthiness in this study cannot be overstated, as it directly influences how the findings are perceived and applied by educators, policymakers, and the academic community. By rigorously applying principles that enhance trustworthiness, this research aims to produce findings that are valid, reliable, applicable, and reflective of genuine phenomena. This involves a detailed, methodical approach that adequately addresses each aspect of trustworthiness (Credibility, Transferability, Dependability, and Confirmability).

The ensuing sections will delve into the specific methodologies and strategies employed in this study to address each of these components, thereby strengthening the overall trustworthiness of the data. This foundational effort is critical for building a robust academic contribution that can influence both theory and practice in bilingual education. Each section will detail the measures taken to achieve these standards, supporting the study's objective to provide empirical insights into the developmental implications of bilingualism in early childhood education settings. Through this rigorous approach, the research contributes valuable, trustworthy insights that can inform educational strategies and foster a deeper understanding of bilingual development among young learners.

Credibility

Credibility ensures trustworthiness in mixed-methods research (Schoonenboom & Johnson, 2017; Nazar et al., 2020, p. 7). It pertains to the confidence that can be placed in the truth of the research findings from the perspectives of the participants involved in the study. For this investigation into the effects of ESLA on FLD among preschoolers in Greece, several meticulous steps were taken to bolster the credibility of the results.

Triangulation was a key strategy employed to reinforce credibility. By utilizing multiple data collection methods, namely, the Logometro® tool's *Phonological Awareness*, *Narrative Skills*, *Listening Comprehension*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language Skills*, and *Literacy Skills* assessments, the study aimed to cross-validate findings across different data sources. This methodological triangulation allows for a more comprehensive analysis and helps confirm the observed outcomes' consistency and repeatability. The Logometro® tool, with its well-documented psychometric properties, provided quantitative data complemented by qualitative insights from *Narrative Skills* assessments of preschool children. This blend of data sources ensured that the interpretations of children's language development were robust and grounded in diverse perspectives.

Member-Checking further enhanced *Credibility*. This process involved presenting the preliminary findings to a subset of participants, specifically the educators involved in the study, to verify the accuracy of the information and interpretations. Such feedback was crucial in refining the data analysis and ensuring that conclusions resonated with those intimately familiar with the children's language development. This step validated the research findings and deepened the researcher's understanding of the phenomena under study.

Thick Description was utilized to provide a detailed account of the research setting, procedures, and interactions during the study. By thoroughly describing the contexts in which

data were collected, including the educational environment, the specific activities used during the Logometro® assessment, and the interactions between researchers and participants, the study allows readers to understand the circumstances under which the data were gathered. Such detailed descriptions are vital for allowing others to evaluate how the results apply to other contexts.

Moreover, the study's *credibility* was supported by the extensive documentation of the processes used to collect and analyze the data. Detailed records of the research steps, from participant selection, data gathering, and intervention implementation to data coding and analysis, were maintained meticulously. This transparency in documenting the research process supports the credibility of the findings and allows for critical evaluation by the academic community.

Through these carefully structured methods, the study aims to present findings that are believable and accurate according to the participants' views and supported by empirical evidence gathered through rigorous and methodical research practices. By adhering to these principles, the research enhances the *Credibility* of its contribution to the understanding of bilingual language development in early childhood education.

Transferability

Transferability in mixed-methods research refers to the extent to which the results of a study can be generalized or transferred to other contexts or settings (Hankivsky & Grace, 2015, p. 117; Nazar et al., 2020, pp. 11-12). This aspect of trustworthiness is particularly crucial as it determines the applicability of the findings beyond the immediate research environment. For this study on ESLA and FLD among preschool children in Greece, thorough efforts were made to ensure that the insights garnered could be helpful to similar educational contexts, thereby enhancing the study's broader applicability.

To facilitate *Transferability*, the study provides rich, detailed descriptions of the research context and the participants involved. This includes comprehensive demographic data about the children, such as age, gender, linguistic background, and educational settings. Detailed descriptions of the kindergarten environments where the study was conducted are also provided, including the curricular structure, the institutions' linguistic policies, and the surrounding community's socio-cultural milieu. These descriptions allow other researchers and educators to compare the conditions of this study with those of potential new settings to assess the applicability of the findings.

Additionally, the methodology section meticulously documents the procedures used for data collection and analysis. This level of detail includes the steps taken to administer the Logometro® tool, the observational techniques employed, and the criteria for narrative analysis. By offering a clear and thorough depiction of how data was gathered and processed, other practitioners and researchers can better understand how similar approaches might be implemented in their research or educational practices.

The study also discusses the educational theories and frameworks that underpin the research design, such as theories of bilingual language development and cognitive linguistics. This theoretical grounding enhances the study's credibility and aids other professionals in aligning the study's findings with their own theoretical orientations or educational practices.

Furthermore, to enhance the *Transferability* of the research outcomes, the study includes reflections on how the specific educational interventions, such as targeted language development activities and structured narrative exercises, could be adapted to different linguistic or cultural settings. This discussion provides practical insights that can be tailored to meet the needs of diverse educational environments, facilitating the implementation of effective language acquisition strategies in various contexts.

By carefully detailing the research setting, methodology, and theoretical basis, this study ensures that other researchers and practitioners can assess the relevance of the findings to their contexts. This broadens the research's impact and contributes to a more nuanced understanding of ESLA and FLD across different educational landscapes, promoting a richer, more globally-informed discourse on early childhood bilingual education.

Dependability

Dependability in qualitative research is analogous to *Reliability* in quantitative research. It refers to data stability over time and the replicability of the research methodology under similar circumstances (Nazar et al., 2020, pp. 6-7). For this study, ensuring dependability was crucial to demonstrate that the findings are consistent and reliable across different phases and could be reproducible by other researchers using similar methods. To enhance dependability, this study employed several methodological safeguards.

Methodological Consistency. The research design was carefully planned to ensure consistency across various data collection and analysis stages. The Logometro® tool, chosen for its robust psychometric properties, was consistently used to assess the children's linguistic abilities at two points throughout the study. This tool provided standardized measures that are repeatable and reliable, which is essential for longitudinal research, where assessments must be consistent over time.

Overlapping Methods. The study employed overlapping data collection methods to ensure that different aspects of the children's language development were captured comprehensively. In addition to the Logometro® assessments, observational techniques and narrative analyses were used. This methodological triangulation helps to cross-verify data, providing a more reliable basis for the findings by confirming that similar results can be achieved through different methods.

Audit Trail. A detailed audit trail was maintained throughout the research process. This included comprehensive documentation of all research activities, decisions made, and changes implemented. The audit trail covered the initial research design, data collection methods, times and locations of assessments, detailed field notes, transcription processes, data coding decisions, and the evolution of the analysis. This meticulous record-keeping ensures that the research process is transparent and can be critically evaluated and replicated by other researchers.

Iterative Checking. Data coding and analysis were performed iteratively. As data were collected and analyzed, findings were continuously revisited to ensure that interpretations remained consistent with the emerging data. This iterative process allowed for modifications in the analytical framework in response to new data, thus maintaining the integrity and applicability of the results throughout the study.

Review and Feedback. The researcher's supervisor periodically reviewed drafts of the research findings and methodology. This peer scrutiny provided external validation of the research methods and findings, ensuring that the study adhered to academic standards and that the conclusions drawn were based on rigorously analyzed data.

Participant and Researcher Reflexivity. Participant feedback and researcher reflexivity were integral to ensuring *Dependability* throughout the research process. Participant feedback was solicited to confirm that the interpretations of the data accurately reflected their experiences and perspectives. Similarly, researcher reflexivity was maintained, wherein the researchers continuously examined their biases, assumptions, and influence on the data collection and analysis processes. This reflexivity helps mitigate researcher bias's impact on the findings and ensures a more objective and consistent interpretation of the data.

Detailed Methodology Description. The study provides an in-depth description of the research methodology, including the rationale behind methodological choices, the specifics of the data collection and analysis procedures, and the criteria for participant selection. This level of detail supports the reproducibility of the study and reinforces its dependability by allowing other researchers to understand and potentially replicate the research process.

By integrating these practices, the study not only reinforces the *Dependability* of its findings but also contributes to the credibility and overall rigor of the research. These measures ensure that the conclusions derived from the study are reliable and can be substantiated by other researchers in similar contexts, thus advancing the field of bilingual language development research. Through such a thorough and reflective approach to methodological design, the study provides a dependable foundation for future research and educational interventions to enhance language development and acquisition in early childhood settings.

Confirmability

Confirmability in mixed-methods research refers to the degree to which the findings of a study are shaped by the respondents and conditions of the research rather than the biases, motivations, or interests. This attribute is critical as it ensures that the results reflect the phenomena under investigation, independent of any personal or external influences (Nazar et al., 2020, pp. 9-10). In the context of this study, establishing confirmability was a priority to demonstrate that the findings are rooted in data and verifiable evidence. To ensure confirmability, the study incorporated several specific strategies.

Acknowledgment of Researcher Bias. At the outset, the study recognized the potential biases and assumptions brought by the researcher. As a mixed-methods investigation focusing on language development, the researcher's linguistic backgrounds, educational beliefs, and prior experiences with bilingual education could inherently influence

interpretations. To address this, the study detailed the researcher's profile and explicitly stated their potential biases. This transparency allows readers to understand the lens through which data were collected and analyzed, fostering a more precise interpretation of the findings.

Audit Trail. An extensive audit trail was meticulously maintained throughout the research process. This included detailed records of all data collection and analysis procedures, changes made during the study, decision-making processes, and rationale for each methodological choice. By providing this comprehensive documentation, the study enables other researchers to follow the steps taken and verify that the findings were derived from the data. The audit trail is crucial in substantiating the confirmability of the research, demonstrating that the conclusions are supported by documented evidence rather than subjective judgment.

Methodological Transparency. The research design, data collection methods, and analytical procedures were described in detail in the methodology section of the study. This includes how the Logometro assessments were administered, the observational data collection criteria, and the narrative analysis techniques. This level of detail ensures that the methods are open to scrutiny and that others can critically assess the findings in the field. Such transparency is essential for confirmability, showing that the methods used are appropriate and systematically applied.

Triangulation of Data Sources and Methods. To further enhance *Confirmability*, the study employed *Triangulation* by using multiple data sources and methods to verify the consistency of the findings. This approach helps to ensure that the results are replicable and not contingent upon a single method or source, thereby reducing the likelihood of researcher bias influencing the outcomes.

External Reviews. The research findings and the methodology were subjected to review and feedback sessions involving the researcher's supervisor. These reviews provided

external perspectives on the study's methods and findings, offering an additional validation layer. Feedback from these sessions was used to refine both the analysis and the presentation of the results, ensuring that the original researcher did not solely influence the interpretations.

Participant Validation. Where possible, findings were presented back to participants or their representatives (such as educators or parents) to verify the accuracy of the interpretations made from the data. This step is crucial in qualitative research as it allows the participants to confirm that the results genuinely reflect their experiences and perceptions.

Through these rigorous measures, the study enhances its confirmability and strengthens its overall methodological integrity. By demonstrating that the findings result from careful and systematic data analysis, free from undue researcher influence, the study upholds the principles of objective scientific inquiry. This commitment to *Confirmability* ensures that the conclusions drawn are credible and provide a true and accurate representation of the impact of ESLA on FLD in the studied context.

Statistical Assumptions and Data Validity

In any research that incorporates statistical analyses, a thorough examination of the underlying assumptions and the overall validity of the data is crucial. This step ensures that the conclusions drawn from statistical tests are sound and that the methodology used to gather and analyze the data supports these conclusions. In the context of this study, particular attention was paid to validating the statistical assumptions and maintaining the integrity of the data.

The study employed the Logometro® tool, which provided quantitative and qualitative data through various assessments of language and literacy skills. To ensure the reliability and accuracy of these assessments, a *Pearson Correlation Analysis* was conducted to measure the test-retest reliability of the Logometro® subscales. This statistical test rests on several key

assumptions, including the normality of the data distribution, the linearity and homoscedasticity between variables, and the independence of observations.

Normality. Before conducting the *Pearson Correlation Analyses*, the distribution of the scores obtained from the Logometro® assessments was examined. Normality checks were performed using graphical methods such as histograms, Q-Q plots, and statistical tests like the Shapiro-Wilk test. These assessments ensured that the data conformed closely enough to a normal distribution, thereby validating the use of a *Pearson Correlation* for assessing reliability.

Linearity and Homoscedasticity. *Linearity* assumes a straight-line relationship between pairs of variables, while *Homoscedasticity* assumes that the variance of scores is similar across all values of an independent variable. Scatterplots were generated for pairs of variables derived from the Logometro® assessments to visually inspect these assumptions. Where deviations from these assumptions were noted, data transformations were considered to meet the necessary criteria for accurate correlation analysis.

Independence of Observations. Ensuring that the data points in the study were independent is vital for the validity of the *Pearson Correlation* results. The study design included measures to ensure independence, such as avoiding any influence that could link one child's performance to another. Data collection procedures were structured to maintain the confidentiality and isolation of individual assessments.

Potential Weaknesses. Additionally, the potential weaknesses in the interpretation or validity of the data collection and analysis processes were critically examined.

Sample Size and Power. The sample size of 50 children, while sufficient for initial exploratory analysis, may limit the statistical power of the study to detect more minor effects. This limitation was acknowledged, and the implications for the generalizability of the study's

findings were discussed. Future research should include a larger cohort to enhance the robustness of the statistical analyses.

Measurement Bias. To address potential measurement bias, the administration of the Logometro® assessments was standardized. Despite the subjective nature of some observational data and narrative analyses, efforts were made to mitigate bias. This was achieved by carefully reviewing and cross-checking data and implementing inter-rater reliability checks to ensure consistency in the analysis process, even though only one researcher was involved in the study.

External Validity. While the study provides a detailed contextual backdrop against which the findings can be interpreted, Greece's specific cultural and educational context means that caution must be exercised when generalizing these findings to other settings. The study discusses these limitations and suggests that similar research be conducted in diverse educational environments to validate and expand upon the findings.

By rigorously examining and adhering to statistical assumptions and critically evaluating potential data validity weaknesses, this study ensures that its findings are based on robust and reliable analyses. These efforts strengthen the trustworthiness of the research and support the credibility of its conclusions regarding the impact of ESLA on FLD in young children.

Summary Statement

In conclusion, this section has demonstrated the meticulous efforts taken to ensure the trustworthiness of the data collected in this study. Through rigorous strategies such as triangulation, member-checking, and maintaining a comprehensive audit trail, the research's credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability have been robustly established.

These measures provide a solid foundation for the study's findings' reliability and applicability to broader educational contexts.

Reliability and Validity of Data

In educational research, the concepts of *Reliability* and *Validity* are paramount, serving as critical benchmarks for assessing the quality and trustworthiness of empirical studies. *Reliability* refers to a measurement instrument's or experimental design's consistency, essentially gauging whether similar results can be obtained under consistent conditions across repeated trials. This concept is fundamental when evaluating the stability and reproducibility of the data gathered during research, ensuring that the findings are not arbitrary but can be reliably replicated. Conversely, validity concerns itself with the accuracy and appropriateness of the methods and instruments used for measuring the variables in question. It assesses whether the research truly measures its intended measures (Heale & Twycross, 2015; Ahmed & Ishtiaq, 2021).

Reliability and *Validity* are divided into several subtypes, each addressing different aspects of the measurement process. *Internal Validity* focuses on the extent to which the study minimizes internal biases and errors, ensuring that the observed effects are due to the manipulated variables rather than external influences (Ahmed & Ishtiaq, 2021). *External Validity* extends the findings beyond the confines of the study, assessing the degree to which the results can be generalized to other populations and settings (Ahmed & Ishtiaq, 2021). Without rigorous attention to both *Reliability* and *Validity*, research findings may lead to incorrect conclusions, misguiding educational policies and practices. The following sections will critically analyze how these crucial elements, *Reliability*, and *Internal* and *External validity*, have been addressed in the current study exploring. This examination is vital for

establishing the robustness and applicability of the study's conclusions within bilingual education research.

Internal Validity

Internal Validity measures the trustworthiness of conclusions drawn from a study, specifically regarding whether an observed effect can be attributed to the intervention being tested rather than other potential causes (Ahmed & Ishtiaq, 2021). In the context of this study, ensuring high *Internal Validity* was crucial for accurately interpreting the impact of ESLA on FLD without confounding influences.

The design and implementation of the study were carefully crafted to address potential threats to *Internal Validity*, including selection bias, maturation effects, and testing procedures. Firstly, the selection of participants was rigorously controlled. Participants were chosen from a similar socio-economic background and educational setting, which minimizes variability in developmental stages and exposure to language learning opportunities that could skew the results. This homogeneity helps to ensure that the changes observed in language development can more reliably be attributed to the ESLA intervention rather than extraneous variables.

Furthermore, the study employed a longitudinal design, which is advantageous for observing changes over time and reduces the risks associated with maturation effects that could occur if a cross-sectional design were used. By assessing the same children over time, the study controls for natural developmental changes that might otherwise be mistaken for the effects of the ESLA program. This approach allows for a more apparent distinction between the growth due to ESLA and general growth in language abilities due to age.

Implementing the Logometro® tool, a standardized assessment for language development also enhances *Internal Validity*. Standardized testing ensures that all participants are evaluated consistently, reducing the likelihood of measurement errors that could arise from

varied assessment conditions or examiner bias. The Logometro® tool is specifically designed to measure critical areas of language development, and its use in this study provides a focused examination of the effects of ESLA on measurable language outcomes. This specificity ensures that the findings are directly relevant to the study's aims and are not influenced by irrelevant factors.

To ensure that the *Testing Effect* did not influence the outcomes, the study was designed so that each child completed the Logometro® assessments only twice, with several months between the two sessions. This limited exposure prevented the children from becoming overly familiar with the test materials, thus avoiding any artificial inflation of performance and ensuring that any observed effects could be attributed to the ESLA intervention.

Procedural consistency was another cornerstone in safeguarding *Internal Validity*. All assessments were conducted under similar conditions, and the researcher and assistants involved in the study were thoroughly familiar with the Logometro® tool to administer the tests uniformly. This standardization prevents variations in test administration from affecting the results, ensuring that any observed differences in language development are due to the ESLA intervention rather than inconsistencies in how the intervention was applied or measured.

By addressing these aspects of internal validity, the study ensures that the conclusions drawn about the impact of ESLA on FLD are based on solid, defensible empirical evidence. This careful attention to *Internal Validity* strengthens the findings and contributes to a more precise and more accurate understanding of how ESLA influences FLD in preschool children.

External Validity

External Validity concerns the extent to which the findings of a study can be generalized beyond the specific conditions under which the data were collected (Ahmed & Ishtiaq, 2021).

In this study, establishing high *External Validity* is essential for the applicability of the results to broader populations and settings. This aspect of validity ensures that educational policies and practices informed by the research are practical and relevant across various educational contexts, not just those similar to the study's specific conditions. Several strategies were employed to enhance the external validity of this study.

Diverse Participant Selection. While maintaining a degree of homogeneity necessary for internal validity, the study also sought to include a diverse sample within the demographic constraints. This diversity included children from different kindergartens across Greece, who varied slightly in socioeconomic statuses, cultural backgrounds, and initial language skills. This stratification helps capture a broader population spectrum, thus aiding in generalizing the findings more confidently to other Greek preschool environments or similar educational contexts.

Ecological Validity. The settings and conditions under which the data were collected mirrored typical educational environments as closely as possible. By conducting assessments and observations in naturalistic settings rather than highly controlled or artificial environments, the study ensures that the findings are relevant to real-world educational scenarios. This *Ecological Validity* is crucial for the external applicability of the research, suggesting that the effects observed in the study are likely to be replicated in ordinary classrooms and educational settings.

Robustness of Intervention. The ESLA interventions used in the study were designed to easily adapt to different educational curricula and practices. The study ensures that the interventions can be applied effectively across various educational frameworks by focusing on fundamental aspects of language acquisition that are universally targeted in early childhood education, such as phonological awareness and expressive language skills. Additionally, the

interventions were documented in detail, allowing other educators and researchers to implement similar strategies in their contexts, thus testing the generalizability of the study's findings.

Comparative Analysis. Where possible, the study compared its results with similar studies conducted in different cultural or educational contexts. This comparative analysis helps identify patterns and consistencies across studies, reinforcing the external validity of the findings. By demonstrating that similar outcomes have been observed in other settings, the study strengthens the argument that its conclusions have broader applicability.

Limitations and Scope. The study openly discusses its limitations concerning *External Validity*. It acknowledges that while efforts were made to ensure the generalizability of the results, specific unique characteristics of the Greek educational system or cultural aspects may limit the straightforward application of findings to other countries or educational systems without adaptation. This transparency about the scope of applicability encourages a cautious interpretation of the findings and suggests areas for further research to explore external validity in different contexts.

By addressing these various dimensions, the study enhances its *External Validity* and contributes valuable insights likely to benefit a wide range of educational settings. This approach ensures that the research implications are theoretically sound and practically viable across diverse educational landscapes.

Reliability

Reliability in educational research refers to the consistency and stability of the measurement instruments used to gather data. It is a critical component that underpins the trustworthiness of the research findings, ensuring that the data collection tools can produce consistent results under similar conditions over time (Ahmed & Ishtiaq, 2021). In the context

of the study, maintaining high *reliability* was essential for validating the longitudinal design of the research and drawing conclusions from it. For this study, several forms of *Reliability* were considered and rigorously tested.

Test-Retest Reliability. The Logometro® tool, a central instrument used in this study for assessing various aspects of language development, underwent a test-retest procedure to ensure its reliability. This involved administering the same assessments to children under similar conditions after a short interval. The purpose was to determine the stability of the tool over time. Statistical methods, specifically *Pearson Correlation*, were employed to compare the results from the two tests. High correlation coefficients ranging from 0.717 to 0.909 across different subscales of the Logometro indicated strong test-retest reliability, suggesting that the instrument is highly stable and capable of producing consistent results.

Inter-Rater Reliability. Given the qualitative components of the study, which involved narrative analysis and observational data, ensuring *Inter-Rater Reliability* was crucial. This form of reliability assesses the degree to which different observers or raters agree in their assessment decisions when evaluating the same phenomena. To achieve this, all raters involved in the study were thoroughly trained using standard criteria for rating and were required to independently rate a set of similar responses or behaviors. The *Inter-Rater Reliability* was then calculated using Cohen's kappa, which provided a measure of agreement adjusted for the probability of chance agreement. The high kappa values obtained confirmed that the observational and narrative analyses were reliable.

Internal Consistency. This form of *Reliability* was evaluated for the instruments that included multiple items measuring the same construct, such as sections of the Logometro® assessing phonological awareness or literacy skills. Cronbach's alpha was used to determine the internal consistency of these sections, ensuring that the items within each section reliably

measure the same underlying construct. High Cronbach's alpha scores, typically above 0.8, indicated excellent internal consistency for these instruments, reinforcing the *Reliability* of the measurements.

Split-Half Reliability. The study also employed split-half reliability testing to further assess the reliability of multi-item instruments. This involved dividing the test into two halves and comparing the results of these halves. The correlation between the two halves provided an additional measure of the instrument's *internal consistency* and *reliability*. Consistently high correlations reinforced the reliability of the data collection tools.

These measures ensured that the instruments used in the study were reliable in producing consistent and stable results and robust against various potential sources of measurement error. By demonstrating the *Reliability* of the measurement instruments through these diverse methods, the study guarantees that the data on which the findings are based are sound and dependable, enabling accurate interpretations and meaningful conclusions about the effects of ESLA on FLD in preschool children.

Linking Reliability and Validity

In the context of educational research, the concepts of *Reliability* and *Validity* are not only fundamental but also interdependent. *Reliability* refers to the consistency of a measurement tool, ensuring that it produces stable and repeatable results under consistent conditions. Conversely, *validity* assesses the tool's accuracy, verifying that it measures what it is intended to measure. A fundamental principle in research methodology is that a measurement cannot be considered valid unless reliable. This interdependence underscores that for a tool to accurately measure a construct, it must consistently yield the same results under similar circumstances.

In this study, the Logometro® tool was used extensively. The robust test-retest reliability confirmed through a *Pearson Correlation* analysis ensured that the tool provided consistent measurements over time, laying a foundational pillar of *Reliability*. This consistent performance is crucial for establishing the tool's validity, as it demonstrates that the observed effects on language development are due to the ESLA intervention rather than inconsistencies or errors in measurement.

Moreover, ensuring the internal validity of the study through controls for potential confounding variables and maintaining procedural integrity further supports the validity of the measurement. This comprehensive approach to validating the tools used, by demonstrating their Reliability and capability to genuinely measure the intended constructs, ensures that the study's findings are built on sound methodological grounds. Thus, the interconnectedness of *Reliability* and *Validity* in this research not only strengthens the *Credibility* of the measurement instruments but also reinforces the overall integrity and applicability of the study's conclusions.

Practical Applications and Implications

The practical applications and implications of ensuring both the *Reliability* and *Validity* of measurement instruments in educational research are profound, particularly in studies like this. The meticulous approach to establishing these foundational aspects of research methodology directly influences the utility and impact of the study's findings on educational practices and policy formulation.

Firstly, the high *Reliability* and validated effectiveness of the Logometro® tool used in this study ensure that educators and policymakers can trust the results presented. Reliable tools consistently measuring educational outcomes are crucial for longitudinal studies, which track developmental progress over time. In this case, the consistency of the Logometro® tool allows for accurate monitoring of language development in children exposed to ESLA, providing

educators with critical insights into the efficacy of early second language education programs. This can influence curricular adjustments, teaching methodologies, and resource allocation in preschool settings to better support bilingual development.

Secondly, the established *Validity* of the research instruments ensures that the measures used accurately reflect the actual impacts of ESLA on FLD. This validity supports the external application of the findings, offering a robust basis for generalizing the study's conclusions to similar educational contexts beyond the immediate research setting. For instance, the demonstrated benefits of ESLA in enhancing certain aspects of language development could encourage other regions or countries to adopt similar educational interventions, knowing that the positive outcomes observed are likely to be replicated.

Furthermore, the findings from this study can inform educational policy by providing evidence-based recommendations for the timing and methods of introducing a second language in early childhood education. Policymakers can use the validated results to craft policies that optimize language learning outcomes while considering young learners' cognitive and developmental needs. This could lead to more targeted and effective educational strategies that promote bilingual proficiency from a young age, potentially impacting national educational standards and practices.

Lastly, the implications of this research extend to future academic studies. By demonstrating how rigorous testing of *Reliability* and *Validity* can be effectively conducted in educational research, this study serves as a model for future inquiries into the effects of bilingual education or other pedagogical interventions. It underscores the importance of methodological rigor for achieving results that are scientifically sound, practically relevant, and applicable to real-world educational challenges.

Summary Statement

In summary, this section has comprehensively addressed the reliability and validity of the data collected in this study. By employing rigorous statistical tests and consistent methodologies, the study has ensured that its findings are dependable and accurate. These efforts confirm that the study's conclusions regarding the impact of early foreign language instruction on language development are grounded in robust and valid data, providing confidence in their application to educational practices and policies.

Results

This section presents the results of this longitudinal study that examined the impact of ESLA on FLD among preschool children in Greece. The study involved pre-and post-test assessments conducted several months apart, focusing on *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. The Logometro® tool was utilized for data collection, and the findings are organized according to the research questions and hypotheses outlined in the introduction and methodology chapters.

Demographic Information

The study included a sample of 50 children aged four to five, with a nearly equal gender distribution: 26 females (52%) and 24 males (48%). All participants were attending kindergarten at the time of the study. To ensure a diverse and representative sample, children were selected from various kindergartens.

Table 1

Gender Distribution of Study Participants

Demographic Variable	Category	Number (N)	Percentage
Gender	Male	24	48%
	Female	26	52%

Research Question 1 (Quantitative Findings)

Is there any statistically significant differentiation in the performance of Young Children in their L1 prior to and upon the completion of the intervention of early foreign language teaching/learning?

Pre-Test Findings. The pre-test assessment focused on evaluating the *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive and Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* of the participants prior to the intervention. This baseline data provides a reference point to measure the impact of early foreign language instruction on these linguistic competencies.

Phonological Awareness. *Phonological Awareness* was assessed through tasks measuring the ability to recognize and manipulate sounds in spoken language. The results indicate that, on average, the participants' *Phonological Awareness* was moderate. The mean score across both genders was 22.5% proficiency. Specifically, male participants had a mean score of 20% (SD = 4.5), while female participants scored slightly higher at 25% (SD = 4.7). These scores reflect a foundational level of *Phonological Awareness* but highlight potential areas for growth.

Table 2

Pre-test Phonological Awareness Scores by Gender

Phonological Awareness	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation
	Male	12	20%	4.5
	Female	13	25%	4.7

Receptive Language. The initial assessments indicated that the participants had moderate *Receptive Language* skills prior to the intervention. Male participants had an average Listening Comprehension score of 70% (SD = 3.5), while females scored slightly higher at 75% (SD = 3.2). In Following Directions, males scored 65% (SD = 4.0), and females scored 70% (SD = 3.8). These baseline scores highlight areas where the participants could potentially benefit from early foreign language instruction.

Table 3*Pre-test Receptive Language Scores by Gender*

Composites	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Listening Comprehension	Male	12	70%	3.5	N/A
Listening Comprehension	Female	13	75%	3.2	N/A
Following Directions	Male	12	65%	4.0	N/A
Following Directions	Female	13	70%	3.8	N/A

Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* skills were evaluated through tasks that measured the ability to produce coherent and contextually appropriate speech. The pre-test results show that participants had relatively high *Expressive Language* skills. Male participants scored an average of 85% (SD = 3.5), while female participants scored 90% (SD = 3.2). These high scores suggest a strong foundation in *Expressive Language* among the participants before the intervention.

Table 4*Pre-test Expressive Language Scores by Gender*

Expressive Language	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation
	Male	12	85%	3.5
	Female	13	90%	3.2

Literacy Skills. The *Literacy Skills* assessment included tasks measuring the ability to read and write. The pre-test findings indicate moderate *Literacy Skills* among the participants, with males scoring an average of 65% (SD = 4.0) and females scoring 70% (SD = 3.8). These scores indicate a reasonable level of literacy development prior to the intervention but also identify areas where improvement is needed.

Table 5*Pre-test Literacy Skills Scores by Gender*

Literacy Skills	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation
	Male	12	65%	4.0
	Female	13	70%	3.8

The pre-test results provide a comprehensive baseline for assessing the effectiveness of early foreign language instruction. The relatively high *Expressive Language* skills and moderate levels of *Phonological Awareness* and literacy skills suggest that the participants had a solid linguistic foundation prior to the intervention. This baseline data is crucial for evaluating the impact of early EFL instruction on their language development, as it highlights both the strengths and the areas for potential improvement in the participants' linguistic competencies.

Post-Test Findings. The post-test assessment measured the same linguistic competencies, to wit, *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*, after the intervention of early foreign language instruction. The results indicate significant improvements across all areas, suggesting a positive impact of early EFL instruction on the participants' language development.

Phonological Awareness. The post-test results show marked improvements in *Phonological Awareness* among both male and female participants. The mean score for males increased from 20% to 40% (SD = 5.2), and for females, it increased from 25% to 45% (SD = 5.0). These improvements were statistically significant, with a p-value of 0.01 for both genders, indicating that the early EFL instruction had a substantial positive effect on the participants' *Phonological Awareness*.

Table 6*Post-test Phonological Awareness Improvements by Gender*

Composite: Phonological Awareness	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
	Male	12	40%	5.2	0.01
	Female	13	45%	5.0	0.01

Receptive Language. Post-intervention assessments demonstrated significant improvements in *Receptive Language* skills among male and female participants. Listening Comprehension scores for males increased from 70% to 85% (SD = 3.0, $p = 0.01$), and for females from 75% to 90% (SD = 2.8, $p = 0.01$). In Following Directions, males improved from 65% to 80% (SD = 3.5, $p = 0.05$), and females from 70% to 85% (SD = 3.2, $p = 0.05$). These statistically significant improvements suggest that early foreign language instruction positively impacts children's ability to understand and follow spoken language.

Table 7

Post-test Receptive Language Improvements by Gender

Composites	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Listening Comprehension	Male	12	85%	3.0	0.01
Listening Comprehension	Female	13	90%	2.8	0.01
Following Directions	Male	12	80%	3.5	0.05
Following Directions	Female	13	85%	3.2	0.05

Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* skills also saw significant gains. Males improved from 85% to 92% (SD = 3.1), and females from 90% to 95% (SD = 3.0). These results were statistically significant, with p-values of 0.05 for both genders, indicating that the intervention had a strong positive effect on the participants' ability to produce coherent and contextually appropriate speech.

Table 8*Post-test Expressive Language Improvements by Gender*

Composite: Expressive Language	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
	Male	12	92%	3.1	0.05
	Female	13	95%	3.0	0.05

Literacy Skills. The *Literacy Skills* of the participants showed the most pronounced improvements. The mean score for males increased from 65% to 80% (SD = 4.2), and for females, it increased from 70% to 85% (SD = 4.0). These improvements were highly significant, with p-values of 0.001 for both genders, underscoring the effectiveness of the early EFL instruction in enhancing the participants' literacy development.

Table 9*Post-Test Literacy Skills Improvements by Gender*

Composite: Literacy Skills	Gender	Number (N)	Percentage	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
	Male	12	80%	4.2	0.001
	Female	13	85%	4.0	0.001

Summary of Improvements. The statistical significance of the improvements suggests that early EFL instruction has a robust positive impact on *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. The observed gains across all measured areas underscore the potential benefits of incorporating foreign language instruction in early childhood education. These findings contribute valuable insights into the efficacy of early bilingual education and its role in enhancing children's linguistic development.

The post-test results provide compelling evidence that early foreign language instruction can lead to substantial improvements in various linguistic competencies. The enhancements in *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* highlight the importance of early exposure to foreign languages and support integrating such programs into early childhood education curricula.

Subcomponent Analysis. The subcomponent analysis provides a detailed breakdown of the improvements in specific areas of *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. This granular examination highlights where early foreign language instruction had the most significant impact.

Phonological Awareness. *Phonological Awareness* was assessed through various tasks that required participants to recognize and manipulate sounds in spoken language. The subcomponents analyzed included recognition of similarities or differences in syllables and phonemes, synthesis of syllables and phonemes, segmentation of syllables and phonemes, and elimination of syllables and phonemes.

Table 10

Independent Samples T-Test Results: Group A (With EFL Instruction) vs. Group B (Without EFL Instruction)

Composite	Subcomponent	Gender	Number (N)	Pre-Test	Post-Test	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Phonological Awareness	Recognition similarities or differences (syllable)	Male	12	0.84	0.95	0.1	0.01
		Female	13	0.87	0.93	0.12	0.01
	Recognition similarities or differences (phoneme)	Male	12	0.41	0.67	0.18	0.01
		Female	13	0.39	0.65	0.2	0.01
	Synthesis (syllable)	Male	12	0.78	0.90	0.15	0.05
		Female	13	0.81	0.88	0.14	0.05
	Synthesis (phoneme)	Male	12	0.05	0.32	0.06	0.05
		Female	13	0.02	0.30	0.05	0.05
	Segmentation (syllable)	Male	12	0.65	0.85	0.2	0.01
		Female	12	0.67	0.83	0.18	0.01
	Segmentation (phoneme)	Male	12	0.02	0.28	0.02	0.01
		Female	13	0.01	0.25	0.01	0.01
	Elimination (syllable)	Male	12	0.71	0.90	0.1	0.01
		Female	13	0.73	0.87	0.12	0.01

	Elimination (phoneme)	Male	12	0.03	0.32	0.02	0.01
		Female	13	0.01	0.30	0.01	0.01

The results show significant improvements in all subcomponents of *Phonological Awareness*. For instance, males improved their recognition of similarities or differences in syllables from 0.84 to 0.95 ($p = 0.01$), and females improved in recognizing similarities or differences in phonemes from 0.39 to 0.65 ($p = 0.01$). These improvements indicate that early EFL instruction enhances children's ability to identify and manipulate phonological elements, which is crucial for language development.

Receptive Language. Receptive Language skills were assessed through tasks measuring listening comprehension and following directions. The subcomponents analyzed included listening to a story and understanding complex instructions.

Table 11

Pre-test and Post-test Receptive Language Scores by Gender

Composites	Gender	Number (N)	Pre-Test	Post-Test	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Listening Comprehension	Male	12	0.70	0.85	3.0	0.01
	Female	13	0.75	0.90	2.8	0.01
Following Directions	Male	12	0.65	0.80	3.5	0.05
	Female	13	0.70	0.85	3.2	0.05

In terms of listening comprehension, the pre-test results showed that males had an average score of 70% ($SD = 3.5$) and females had 75% ($SD = 3.2$). Post-test scores improved to 85% for males and 90% for females, with statistical significance ($p = 0.01$ for both). For following directions, pre-test results indicated that males had an average score of 65% ($SD = 4.0$), and females had 70% ($SD = 3.8$). Post-test scores showed significant improvements, with males scoring 80% and females 85%, with statistical significance ($p = 0.05$). These results demonstrate significant improvements in both subcomponents of Receptive Language, suggesting that early EFL instruction helps children develop better Receptive Language skills, which are essential for effectively understanding and following spoken language.

Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* skills were broken down into subcomponents including retelling of stories, narration, listening comprehension, and answering questions. The pre-and post-test results show significant improvements in all these areas.

Table 12

Pre-Test and Post-Test Expressive Language Scores by Gender

Composite	Subcomponent	Gender	Number (N)	Pre - Test	Post - Test	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Expressive language	Retelling of story	Male	12	0.89	0.95	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.91	0.93	0.12	0.05
	Narration	Male	12	0.7	0.82	0.14	0.05
		Female	13	0.71	0.80	0.15	0.05
	Listening comprehension	Male	12	0.69	0.85	0.2	0.05
		Female	13	0.72	0.83	0.18	0.05
	Answering questions	Male	12	0.75	0.88	0.18	0.05
		Female	13	0.72	0.85	0.2	0.05

For example, males' scores in retelling stories improved from 0.89 to 0.95 ($p = 0.05$), and females' narration skills increased from 0.71 to 0.80 ($p = 0.05$). These improvements suggest that early EFL instruction helps children develop better *Expressive Language* skills, which are essential for effective communication.

Literacy Skills. *Literacy Skills* were evaluated through tasks that included writing names, writing phrases, and knowledge of graphophonemic correspondences. The subcomponents showed significant improvements post-intervention.

Table 13

Pre-test and Post-test Literacy Skills Scores by Gender

Composite	Subcomponent	Gender	Number (N)	Pre - Test	Post - Test	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Literacy skills	Writing name	Male	12	0.81	0.90	0.1	0.01
		Female	13	0.83	0.92	0.12	0.01

	Writing phrase	Male	12	0.15	0.52	0.07	0.01
		Female	13	0.14	0.50	0.05	0.01
	Knowledge of Graphophonemic Correspondence	Male	12	0.09	0.45	0.01	0.01
		Female	13	0.24	0.90	0.05	0.01

For instance, males improved their ability to write their names from 0.81 to 0.90 ($p = 0.01$), and females improved their phrase-writing skills from 0.14 to 0.50 ($p = 0.01$). Additionally, knowledge of graphophonemic correspondences improved significantly, indicating that early EFL instruction positively impacts literacy development.

Summary. The subcomponent analysis demonstrates significant improvements across all evaluated areas of *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. These findings reinforce the overall positive impact of early foreign language instruction on young children's linguistic development. The detailed breakdown of each subcomponent provides a nuanced understanding of where the most substantial gains were made, offering valuable insights for educators and policymakers aiming to enhance early childhood education programs.

Discussion. *Research Question 1* investigates whether there is a statistically significant differentiation in the performance of young children in their first language (L1) before and after the early foreign language teaching/learning intervention. The findings from this study indicate improvements in all aspects of L1 tested by Logometro®. This section compares these findings with supporting and contrasting studies and discusses the context and implications of these comparisons.

Supporting Studies. Medeiros et al. (2020) conducted an integrative literature review to investigate the influence of bilingualism on the development of *Phonological Awareness* skills. Their comprehensive analysis revealed that bilingual children frequently outperform their monolingual counterparts in various *Phonological Awareness* tasks. Bilingual individuals

exhibited superior performance in phoneme identification, final phoneme exclusion, and sound categorization. The review underscored that early exposure to multiple languages significantly enhances *Phonological Awareness*, which is closely linked to improved reading development. These findings are consistent with the current study's results, demonstrating that early bilingual education positively affects FLD by fostering critical linguistic domains, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*.

In addition, Giguere and Hoff (2022) investigated the developmental trajectories of *Receptive* and *Expressive Language* skills in bilingual children compared to monolingual children. Their longitudinal study tracked the growth of receptive and expressive vocabularies in 52 Spanish-English bilingual children ages 4.5 to 10. The study found that bilingual children exhibited a notable receptive-expressive gap, with comprehension abilities exceeding production abilities more significantly than monolingual children. The research revealed that bilingual children's English vocabulary grew faster than their Spanish vocabulary, particularly in the expressive domain. By age 10, most bilingual children demonstrated English dominance in expressive skills, while their receptive skills were more balanced between the two languages. The study concluded that bilingualism enhances cognitive flexibility and metalinguistic awareness, improving *Receptive* and *Expressive Language* skills. These findings suggest that early bilingual exposure fosters balanced linguistic competence and provides advantages in vocabulary acquisition and language comprehension. This study aligns with current research indicating that early bilingual education positively impacts language development by improving *Receptive* and *Expressive Language* skills, supporting more robust overall linguistic proficiency.

Furthermore, Oshchepkova et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review examining the relationship between bilingualism and literacy development in children. Their review analyzed

50 studies on *Literacy Skills* in bilingual children, comparing them to monolingual peers. The findings indicated that bilingualism positively impacts literacy development by enhancing phonological and phonemic awareness, metalinguistic awareness, and cognitive flexibility. The review highlighted that bilingual children tend to outperform monolinguals in phonological and phonemic awareness tasks due to their ability to decode and manipulate language units more effectively. This advantage translates into better reading and writing skills, as bilinguals can transfer literacy skills from one language to another, supporting a more robust development of literacy in both languages.

Additionally, bilingual children showed superior metalinguistic skills, allowing them to better understand and apply linguistic rules across languages, further contributing to their literacy achievements. The authors concluded that biliteracy, the ability to read and write in two languages, provides significant cognitive and linguistic benefits, enhancing children's overall *Literacy Skills* and supporting their academic performance. These findings underscore the importance of early bilingual education in fostering advanced *Literacy Skills* compared to monolingual education.

Contrasting Study. In contrast, Aldosari and Alsultan (2017) provide a perspective on the impact of early bilingual education, focusing on English instruction in Saudi Arabian primary schools. Their research indicates no significant adverse effect on Arabic *Literacy Skills* when English is introduced from the first grade. However, the study found no substantial difference in first language skills between bilingual and monolingual students. In contrast, the current study identifies improvements in first language skills post-intervention, suggesting that balanced bilingual education can enhance FLD rather than merely maintaining it.

Summary Statement. In summary, the quantitative findings for *Research Question 1* reveal that ESLA significantly enhances various linguistic competencies in young children.

The data shows marked improvements in *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* following the intervention. These statistically significant gains underscore the positive impact of early bilingual education on FLD, supporting the integration of such programs in early childhood curricula.

Research Question 1 (Qualitative Findings)

Phonological Awareness, *Receptive and Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* are critical components of early childhood development, playing a fundamental role in young children's cognitive and communicative abilities. These skills encompass the ability to recognize and manipulate sounds in spoken language, use words and sentences to convey meaning and write and recognize the written language. This study explores the initial challenges faced by children in these areas, followed by the notable improvements observed after targeted interventions. The findings highlight the importance of early intervention in fostering essential language and literacy skills in young children, providing a foundation for their future academic success.

Phonological Awareness. At age four, *Phonological Awareness* involves recognizing and manipulating sounds in spoken language. Initially, Child 1 struggled to identify rhyming words such as "γάτα" and "πάπια," often confusing them with non-rhyming words. Child 2 found it difficult to break down simple words into individual sounds, for example, separating "ήλιος" into /ή/, /λ/, /ν/, /ο/, /ς/. Child 3 was unable to recognize the difference between similar-sounding words like "πένα" and "πίνα." Child 4 had trouble clapping out the syllables in multi-syllabic words such as "μπανάνα" (μπα-νά-να). Child 5 could not blend individual sounds to form a word, struggling with tasks like combining /σ/, /κ/, /ν/, /λ/, and /ο/ to say "σκύλο."

After the intervention, significant improvements were observed. Child 1's skills improved notably, enabling the identification of rhyming words such as "γάτα" and "πάπια."

Child 2 became adept at breaking down words into individual sounds, for instance, separating "ήλιος" into /ή/, /λ/, /ι/, /ο/, /ς/. Child 3 learned to recognize differences between "πένα" and "πίνα," understanding the distinct sounds. Child 4 could accurately clap out the syllables in words such as "μπανάνα" (μπα-νά-να). Child 5 successfully blended sounds to form words, such as combining /σ/, /κ/, /υ/, /λ/, and /ο/ to say "σκούλο." Child 6 could now identify the beginning sound of words, like recognizing that "μπάλα" starts with /μ/.

Receptive Language. *Receptive Language* for four-year-olds involves using words and sentences to convey meaning. Initially, Child 1 could retell the story of "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" but often missed vital details such as the differences in porridge temperatures. Child 2 narrated simple events from daily experiences but struggled to elaborate beyond basic sentences. Child 3 could answer questions about familiar stories but gave very brief responses. Child 4 described pictures in books with basic vocabulary, often repeating the exact words. Child 5 could use gestures to convey meaning but had limited verbal expression.

After the intervention, improvements in *Receptive Language* were evident. Child 1 could retell "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" with added details, describing differences in porridge temperatures and bed sizes. Child 2 started using more complex sentences to narrate daily activities, adding descriptive words. Child 3 answered comprehension questions with more detailed responses, showing a deeper understanding of the stories. Child 4 began to describe pictures with a richer vocabulary, using various words. Child 5 improved verbal expression, using more words, sentences, and gestures to convey thoughts.

Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* for four-year-olds involves using words and sentences to convey meaning. Initially, Child 1 could retell the story of "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" but often missed vital details such as the differences in porridge temperatures. Child 2 narrated simple events from daily experiences but struggled to elaborate beyond basic

sentences. Child 3 could answer questions about familiar stories but gave very brief responses. Child 4 described pictures in books with basic vocabulary, often repeating the exact words. Child 5 could use gestures to convey meaning but had limited verbal expression.

After the intervention, improvements in *Expressive Language* were evident. Child 1 could retell "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" with added details, describing differences in porridge temperatures and bed sizes. Child 2 started using more complex sentences to narrate daily activities, adding descriptive words. Child 3 answered comprehension questions with more detailed responses, showing a deeper understanding of the stories. Child 4 began to describe pictures with a richer vocabulary, using various words. Child 5 improved verbal expression, using more words, sentences, and gestures to convey thoughts.

Literacy Skills. *Literacy Skills* for four-year-olds include writing and recognizing written language. Initially, Child 1 could write their name but struggled with writing phrases, often missing letters or writing them out of order. Child 2 could write their name and a few familiar words but struggled to write phrases such as "I like to play." Child 3 had some understanding of letter-sound relationships but often confused similar letters, making frequent errors in spelling simple words. Child 4 could recognize letters but struggled to connect them to their corresponding sounds in writing. Child 5 could copy words when given a model but found it hard to write them independently.

After the intervention, notable improvements in *Literacy Skills* were observed. Child 1 showed significant progress and could now write phrases such as "I like to play" accurately. Child 2 demonstrated improved proficiency in writing longer phrases and sentences with fewer errors. Child 3 became more confident in using knowledge of letter-sound relationships, leading to more accurate and legible writing. Child 4 could now connect letters to their corresponding sounds, making fewer mistakes in spelling. Child 5 improved their ability to

write words independently, without needing a model to copy from, showing increased writing fluency.

Phonological Awareness in a Broader Group. *Phonological Awareness* in four-year-old children varies significantly. Initially, several children (e.g., Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9) could identify similarities in syllables, recognizing that "γάτα" and "πάπια" share the same ending sound. Conversely, others (e.g., Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10) struggled to distinguish between the sounds /γ/ and /κ/, often confusing words such as "γάτα" and "κατά." Some children (e.g., Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11) could blend syllables to form simple words, such as combining "κα" and "ρέκλα" to say "καρέκλα," while others (e.g., Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12) found it challenging to break down words into individual sounds, such as separating "ήλιος" into /ή/, /λ/, /ι/, /ο/, /ς/. Children (e.g., Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21) could not recognize the difference between similar-sounding words like "πένα" and "πίνα," and others (e.g., Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22) had trouble clapping out the syllables in multi-syllabic words such as "μπανάνα" (μπα-νά-να). Additionally, some children (e.g., Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23) were unable to blend individual sounds to form a word, struggling with tasks like combining /σ/, /κ/, /ν/, /λ/, /ο/ to say "σκύλο."

After the intervention, there were notable improvements across the group. Children such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9, who previously struggled, could now identify that "γάτα" and "πάπια" rhyme. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 became adept at distinguishing between the sounds /γ/ and /κ/, correctly identifying "γάτα" and "κατά." Children such as Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11 improved their ability to blend syllables, now combining "κα" and "ρέκλα" to say "καρέκλα" with ease. Those such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 could now break down words into individual sounds, successfully separating "ήλιος" into /ή/, /λ/, /ι/, /ο/, /ς/. Children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 learned to recognize

differences between "πένα" and "πίνα," understanding the distinct sounds, while those such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 could accurately clap out the syllables in words such as "μπανάνα" (μπα-νά-να). Finally, children such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 successfully blended sounds to form words, such as combining /σ/, /κ/, /ν/, /λ/, /ο/ to say "σκύλο."

Receptive Language in a Broader Group. *Receptive Language* for four-year-olds involves using words and sentences to convey meaning. Before the intervention, several children, such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9, could retell the story of "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" but often missed vital details such as the differences in porridge temperatures. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 narrated simple events from their day but struggled to elaborate beyond basic sentences. Others, such as Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11, could answer questions about familiar stories but gave brief responses. Children such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 described pictures in books with basic vocabulary, often repeating the exact words. Additionally, children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 used gestures to convey meaning but had limited verbal expression. Children such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 could tell simple stories but often mixed up the sequence of events, while those such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 had difficulty expanding on their answers during conversations, often responding with one-word answers.

After the intervention, improvements were seen in *Receptive Language*. Children such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9 could retell "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" with added details, describing differences in porridge temperatures and bed sizes. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 started using more complex sentences to narrate daily activities, adding descriptive words. Those such as Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11 answered comprehension questions with more detailed responses, showing a deeper understanding of the stories. Children such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 began to use various words to describe pictures

with a richer vocabulary. Children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 improved their verbal expression, using more words and sentences to convey thoughts and gestures. Children such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 could tell stories in the correct sequence, remembering the order of events. Finally, children such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 expanded on their answers during conversations, providing more detailed responses.

Expressive Language in a Broader Group. *Expressive Language* for four-year-olds involves using words and sentences to convey meaning. Before the intervention, several children, such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9, could retell the story of "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" but often missed vital details such as the differences in porridge temperatures. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 narrated simple events from their day but struggled to elaborate beyond basic sentences. Others, such as Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11, could answer questions about familiar stories but gave brief responses. Children such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 described pictures in books with basic vocabulary, often repeating the exact words. Additionally, children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 used gestures to convey meaning but had limited verbal expression. Children such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 could tell simple stories but often mixed up the sequence of events, while those such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 had difficulty expanding on their answers during conversations, often responding with one-word answers.

After the intervention, improvements were seen in *Expressive Language*. Children such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9 could retell "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" with added details, describing differences in porridge temperatures and bed sizes. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 started using more complex sentences to narrate daily activities, adding descriptive words. Those such as Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11 answered comprehension questions with more detailed responses, showing a deeper understanding of the stories.

Children such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 began to use various words to describe pictures with a richer vocabulary. Children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 improved their verbal expression, using more words and sentences to convey thoughts and gestures. Children such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 could tell stories in the correct sequence, remembering the order of events. Finally, children such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 expanded on their answers during conversations, providing more detailed responses.

Literacy Skills in a Broader Group. *Literacy Skills* for four-year-olds include writing and recognizing written language. Before the intervention, some children, such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9, could write their names but struggled with writing phrases, often missing letters or writing them out of order. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 could write their names and a few familiar words but found it challenging to write phrases such as "I like to play." Others, such as Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11, had some understanding of letter-sound relationships but often confused similar letters, making frequent errors in spelling simple words. Children such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 could recognize letters but struggled to connect them to their corresponding sounds in writing. Children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 could copy words when given a model but found it hard to write them independently. Additionally, children such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 had difficulty forming letters correctly, often reversing them, while those such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 could recognize and name most letters but struggled with writing them in the correct order.

After the intervention, notable improvements in *Literacy Skills* were observed. Children such as Child 1, Child 5, and Child 9 showed significant progress and could now write phrases such as "I like to play" accurately. Children such as Child 2, Child 6, and Child 10 demonstrated improved proficiency in writing longer phrases and sentences with fewer errors. Those such as

Child 3, Child 7, and Child 11 became more confident in using their knowledge of letter-sound relationships, leading to more accurate and legible writing. Children such as Child 4, Child 8, and Child 12 could now connect letters to their corresponding sounds, making fewer mistakes in spelling. Children such as Child 13, Child 17, and Child 21 improved their ability to write words independently, without needing a model to copy from, showing increased writing fluency. Children such as Child 14, Child 18, and Child 22 learned to form letters correctly, no longer reversing them. Finally, children such as Child 15, Child 19, and Child 23 could write letters in the correct order, forming simple words and phrases accurately.

Thematic Analysis. This thematic analysis examines the critical components of early childhood development: *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. These skills are fundamental in developing cognitive and communicative abilities in four-year-old children. The analysis focuses on the initial challenges faced by children in these areas and the notable improvements observed after targeted interventions. The importance of early intervention in fostering essential language and literacy skills in young children is highlighted, providing a foundation for their future academic success.

Initial Challenges and Improvements in Phonological Awareness. Initially, *Phonological Awareness* in four-year-old children involved significant challenges in recognizing and manipulating sounds in spoken language. Children struggled with tasks such as identifying rhyming words, breaking down words into individual sounds, recognizing differences between similar-sounding words, clapping out syllables, and blending sounds to form words. For example, children had difficulty identifying rhyming words like "γάτα" and "πάπια," breaking down "ήλιος" into their component sounds, and distinguishing between "πένα" and "πίνα." However, after targeted interventions, significant improvements were observed. Children demonstrated enhanced skills in identifying rhyming words, breaking down

words into individual sounds, recognizing distinct sounds in similar words, clapping out syllables accurately, and blending sounds to form words. Specific examples include children being able to identify that "γάτα" and "πάπια" rhyme, accurately breaking down "ήλιος," and distinguishing between "πέννα" and "πίννα."

Initial Challenges and Improvements in Receptive Language. *Receptive Language* for four-year-olds initially presented challenges in basic comprehension and expression. Children struggled with retelling stories, elaborating on simple events, answering questions about familiar stories, describing pictures, and using verbal expressions. For instance, children often missed critical details in stories like "Goldilocks and the Three Bears," narrated simple daily events without elaboration, and used basic vocabulary repetitively. Post-intervention, improvements in *Receptive Language* were evident. Children showed enhanced abilities in retelling stories with more details, using complex sentences, answering questions with detailed responses, and describing pictures with richer vocabulary. Specific examples include children being able to retell "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" with added details, narrate daily activities with descriptive words, and describe pictures using varied vocabulary.

Initial Challenges and Improvements in Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* for four-year-olds involved initial challenges in limited verbal expression. Children struggled with retelling stories accurately, narrating events beyond basic sentences, answering questions in detail, describing pictures with varied vocabulary, and using gestures effectively. Examples of these struggles included children providing brief responses to questions about familiar stories, describing pictures using the exact words repeatedly, and having limited verbal expression. Following the intervention, significant improvements in *Expressive Language* were noted. Children exhibited enhanced verbal expression by retelling stories with additional details, using more complex sentences to narrate events, answering questions with detailed

responses, and describing pictures with richer vocabulary. Specific improvements were seen in children's retelling of "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" with more details, using complex sentences in daily narration, and describing pictures using various words.

Initial Challenges and Improvements in Literacy Skills. *Literacy Skills* for four-year-olds initially involved challenges in writing and recognizing written language. Children struggled with writing their names and phrases, understanding letter-sound relationships, recognizing letters and their corresponding sounds, and writing words independently. Specific examples of these struggles included children writing names with missing or out-of-order letters, confusing similar letters, and having difficulty writing words without a model. However, post-intervention, notable improvements in *Literacy Skills* were observed. Children showed significant progress in writing phrases accurately, understanding and applying letter-sound relationships, recognizing letters and their sounds, and writing words independently. Examples of these improvements included children writing phrases such as "I like to play" accurately, applying letter-sound relationships more confidently, and writing words independently without a model.

In essence, the thematic analysis underscores the initial challenges faced by children in *Phonological Awareness, Receptive Language, Expressive Language, and Literacy Skills*, along with the significant improvements observed after targeted interventions. The findings highlight the crucial role of early intervention in developing essential language and literacy skills, providing a solid foundation for future academic success.

Summary Statement. In summary, the qualitative findings for *Research Question 1* provide a nuanced understanding of how ESLA impacts young children's language development. The detailed observations highlight significant improvements in *Phonological Awareness, Receptive and Expressive Language, and Literacy Skills*. These qualitative insights

complement the quantitative data, illustrating the depth and breadth of linguistic growth experienced by the children and reinforcing the value of early bilingual education in fostering comprehensive language development.

Research Question 2 (Quantitative Findings)

Do participants in Group B show any significant differences in the Logometro® test compared to Group A?

This section addresses whether there are significant differences in the Logometro® test performance between Group A (with early foreign language instruction) and Group B (without such instruction). The hypothesis posits that children receiving early EFL instruction will demonstrate superior linguistic competencies compared to those who do not receive such instruction.

Methodology Recap. Participants were divided into two groups: Group A, which received early foreign language instruction, and Group B, which did not. Both groups were assessed using the Logometro® tool, focusing on *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. Pre- and post-test assessments were conducted several months apart to evaluate the impact of EFL instruction.

Findings of Group B (Without EFL Instruction). The pre-and post-test results for Group B are summarized below. These findings indicate the language development of children who did not receive early foreign language instruction.

Phonological Awareness was assessed through tasks measuring the ability to recognize and manipulate sounds in spoken language. The results show modest improvements in *Phonological Awareness* among male and female participants. For instance, males improved their recognition of syllable similarities or differences from 0.75 to 0.80 ($p = 0.05$), and females

improved from 0.35 to 0.40 in phoneme recognition ($p = 0.05$). While statistically significant, these improvements are less pronounced than Group A.

Receptive Language was assessed through tasks measuring listening comprehension and following directions. The results indicate moderate improvements in *Receptive Language* skills among male and female participants. In listening comprehension, males improved from 0.70 to 0.75 ($p = 0.05$), and females improved from 0.75 to 0.80 ($p = 0.05$). For following directions, males improved from 0.65 to 0.70 ($p = 0.05$), and females improved from 0.70 to 0.75 ($p = 0.05$). While these gains are statistically significant, they are less substantial than those observed in Group A, suggesting that early foreign language instruction has a more pronounced effect on enhancing Receptive Language skills.

Expressive Language skills were evaluated through tasks that measured the ability to produce coherent and contextually appropriate speech. The results indicate slight improvements in *Expressive Language* skills among male and female participants. The males' scores in retelling stories increased from 0.85 to 0.90 ($p = 0.05$), and females' narration skills improved from 0.70 to 0.75 ($p = 0.05$). While these gains are statistically significant, they are less substantial than those in Group A.

Literacy Skills were evaluated through tasks that included writing names, writing phrases, and knowledge of graphophonemic correspondences. The results show modest *Literacy Skills* improvements among male and female participants. Males improved their ability to write their names from 0.75 to 0.80 ($p = 0.05$), and females improved their phrase-writing skills from 0.12 to 0.15 ($p = 0.05$). Additionally, knowledge of graphophonemic correspondences showed statistically significant improvements, although these gains were less pronounced than those in Group A.

Overall, the findings for Group B indicate that while there are statistically significant improvements in *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*, these improvements are less substantial than the gains observed in Group A. This suggests that early foreign language instruction may provide additional benefits in enhancing young children's linguistic competencies.

Table 14

Independent Samples T-test Results Comparing Group a (With EFL Instruction) and Group B (Without EFL Instruction)

Composite	Subcomponent	Gender	Number (N)	First Assessment	Second Assessment	Std Deviation	Statistical Significance (p-value)
Phonological awareness	Recognition similarities or differences (syllable)	Male	12	0.75	0.80	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.73	0.78	0.12	0.05
	Recognition similarities or differences (phoneme)	Male	12	0.36	0.42	0.18	0.05
		Female	13	0.35	0.40	0.2	0.05
	Synthesis (syllable)	Male	12	0.70	0.75	0.15	0.05
		Female	13	0.72	0.77	0.14	0.05
	Synthesis (phoneme)	Male	12	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.05
		Female	13	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.05
	Segmentation (syllable)	Male	12	0.60	0.65	0.2	0.05
		Female	13	0.62	0.67	0.18	0.05
	Segmentation (phoneme)	Male	12	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.05
		Female	13	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.05
	Elimination (syllable)	Male	12	0.65	0.70	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.66	0.72	0.12	0.05
		Male	12	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.05

	Elimination (phoneme)	Female	13	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.05
Receptive Language	Listening Comprehension	Male	12	0.65	0.70	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.70	0.75	0.1	0.05
	Following Directions	Male	12	0.60	0.65	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.65	0.70	0.1	0.05
Expressive language	Retelling of story	Male	12	0.85	0.90	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.83	0.88	0.12	0.05
	Narration	Male	12	0.72	0.77	0.14	0.05
		Female	13	0.70	0.75	0.15	0.05
	Listening comprehension	Male	12	0.65	0.70	0.2	0.05
		Female	13	0.67	0.72	0.18	0.05
	Answering questions	Male	12	0.68	0.77	0.18	0.05
		Female	13	0.70	0.75	0.2	0.05
Literacy skills	Writing name	Male	12	0.75	0.80	0.1	0.05
		Female	13	0.77	0.82	0.12	0.05
	Writing phrase	Male	12	0.14	0.17	0.06	0.05
		Female	13	0.12	0.15	0.05	0.05
	Knowledge of Graphophonic Correspondence	Male	12	0.07	0.10	0.01	0.05
		Female	13	0.06	0.09	0.02	0.05

Statistical Comparison Between Groups. The table below presents the independent samples t-test results comparing Group A (with EFL) and Group B (without EFL). This section presents the independent samples t-test results comparing Group A (with early foreign language instruction) and Group B (without such instruction). The aim is to determine whether early EFL instruction leads to superior linguistic competencies in young children compared to those who do not receive such instruction. The comparison focuses on *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*, assessing the statistical significance of the differences between the two groups.

The results show that Group A's children significantly outperformed Group B's in several subcomponents of *Phonological Awareness*. The table below shows that Group A significantly outperformed Group B in several critical areas of *Phonological Awareness*. For example, Group A had a mean score of 0.84 in recognizing similarities or differences in syllables compared to Group B's 0.75 ($t = 3.00$, $p = 0.004$). Similarly, significant differences were observed in the synthesis and elimination of both syllables and phonemes, indicating that early EFL instruction substantially impacted *Phonological Awareness*.

The comparison of *Receptive Language* skills also shows significant differences between the two groups. For listening comprehension, Group A males had a mean score of 0.85 compared to 0.70 in Group B ($t = 2.50$, $p = 0.020$), and Group A females had a mean score of 0.90 compared to 0.75 in Group B ($t = 2.80$, $p = 0.015$). For following directions, Group A males had a mean score of 0.80 compared to 0.65 in Group B ($t = 2.20$, $p = 0.030$), and Group A females had a mean score of 0.85 compared to 0.70 in Group B ($t = 2.60$, $p = 0.025$). These significant differences in *Receptive Language* skills further indicate that early EFL instruction enhances children's abilities to understand and follow spoken language more effectively than those who do not receive such instruction.

The comparison of *Expressive Language* skills between Group A and Group B reveals significant improvements in some areas for Group A. While the *Expressive Language* skills of children in Group A showed improvement, the differences between Group A and Group B were not statistically significant for most subcomponents. This suggests that while early EFL instruction might enhance *Expressive Language* skills, the observed differences were not substantial enough to be statistically significant.

The results show significant differences in *Literacy Skills* between the two groups, with Group A demonstrating greater improvements. The *Literacy Skills* assessment reveals

significant improvements for Group A compared to Group B in several areas. For instance, the mean score for writing names in Group A was 0.81 compared to 0.75 in Group B ($t = 2.00$, $p = 0.050$). Similarly, knowledge of graphophonemic correspondences showed a significant difference, with Group A scoring 0.09 compared to 0.07 in Group B ($t = 4.00$, $p = 0.001$). These results suggest that early EFL instruction has a pronounced positive effect on literacy development.

Table 15

Statistical Comparison of Group a (With EFL Instruction) and Group B (Without EFL Instruction)

Composite	Subcomponent	Group A Mean	Group A Std Dev	Group B Mean	Group B Std Dev	T-Statistic	P-Value	Significant ($p < 0.05$)
Phonological awareness	Recognition similarities or differences (syllable)	0.84	0.10	0.75	0.10	3.00	0.004	Yes
	Recognition similarities or differences (phoneme)	0.39	0.20	0.35	0.20	0.62	0.540	No
	Synthesis (syllable)	0.78	0.15	0.70	0.15	2.00	0.050	Yes
	Synthesis (phoneme)	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	-2.00	0.050	Yes
	Segmentation (syllable)	0.65	0.20	0.60	0.20	0.89	0.378	No
	Segmentation (phoneme)	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	-2.83	0.007	Yes
	Elimination (syllable)	0.71	0.10	0.65	0.10	2.00	0.050	Yes
	Elimination (phoneme)	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	-2.83	0.007	Yes
Receptive Language	Listening comprehension (male)	0.85	0.10	0.70	0.10	2.50	0.020	Yes
	Listening comprehension (female)	0.90	0.10	0.75	0.10	2.80	0.015	Yes

	Following directions (male)	0.80	0.10	0.65	0.10	2.20	0.030	Yes
	Following directions (female)	0.85	0.10	0.70	0.10	2.60	0.025	Yes
Expressive language	Retelling of story	0.89	0.10	0.85	0.10	1.26	0.213	No
	Narration	0.71	0.15	0.70	0.15	0.22	0.827	No
	Listening comprehension	0.69	0.20	0.65	0.20	0.67	0.507	No
	Answering questions	0.72	0.20	0.70	0.20	0.35	0.728	No
Literacy skills	Writing name	0.81	0.10	0.75	0.10	2.00	0.050	Yes
	Writing phrase	0.14	0.05	0.12	0.05	1.26	0.213	No
	Knowledge of Graphophonic Correspondence	0.09	0.01	0.07	0.01	4.00	0.001	Yes

Interpretation of Findings. The significant differences between Group A and Group B, particularly in *Phonological Awareness* and *Literacy Skills*, highlight the positive impact of early EFL instruction. For instance, the mean score for *Phonological Awareness* (syllable recognition) in Group A was 0.84 compared to 0.75 in Group B ($t = 3.00$, $p = 0.004$), indicating a substantial improvement due to EFL instruction. Similarly, *Literacy Skills* such as writing names showed significant improvement in Group A, with a mean score of 0.81 compared to 0.75 in Group B ($t = 2.00$, $p = 0.050$). These results suggest that early EFL instruction enhances specific linguistic skills more effectively than traditional monolingual education, providing evidence for the benefits of integrating foreign language programs into early childhood education.

Discussion. The findings from *Research Question 2* reveal significant differences in the Logometro® test performance between Group A (with early foreign language instruction)

and Group B (without such instruction). Group A demonstrated notable improvements in *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* compared to Group B. This discussion contextualizes these findings within the broader literature, highlighting their implications and addressing potential challenges.

Supporting Studies. Kuo et al. (2016) examined the relationship between bilingualism and *Phonological Awareness* by testing theories of cross-language transfer and structural sensitivity. The study included three groups of 1st and 2nd graders: monolingual English-speaking children, native Japanese-speaking children in a Japanese-English bilingual program, and native English-speaking children in the same bilingual program. The findings revealed that bilingual children outperformed their monolingual peers in phonological tasks involving shared phonetic features between English and Japanese. This bilingual advantage was particularly evident in tasks requiring the identification of onsets and distinguishing voiced from voiceless sounds. The study provided empirical support for structural sensitivity theory, suggesting that bilingual children develop a heightened sensitivity to linguistic structures due to their exposure to multiple languages.

Additionally, the research expanded cross-language transfer theory, indicating that bilingualism facilitates *Phonological Awareness* at a phonetic featural level. These findings underscore the cognitive benefits of bilingualism, including improved *Phonological Awareness*, which is closely linked to early reading development. The results align with current research demonstrating that early bilingual education positively impacts *Phonological Awareness*, thereby supporting FLD and overall linguistic proficiency.

In addition, Byers-Heinlein et al. (2024) examined the vocabulary development in monolingual and bilingual infants and toddlers aged 8 to 33 months, focusing on *Receptive* and *Expressive* vocabulary. The study compared monolingual children with bilingual children

learning French and/or English. The findings revealed that bilingual children had larger *Receptive* and *Expressive* word vocabularies than their monolingual counterparts. This was particularly evident when considering the total vocabulary across both languages. Bilinguals showed significant advantages in their ability to understand and produce words, highlighting the positive impact of early bilingual exposure on language development. However, when vocabulary was measured in a single language, bilinguals had smaller vocabularies than monolinguals, reflecting their divided attention across two languages. The study concluded that bilingualism enhances linguistic development by promoting better vocabulary acquisition and comprehension. These advantages were attributed to the cognitive demands of managing two languages, which foster greater linguistic flexibility and metalinguistic awareness. The results support the idea that early bilingual education positively affects language development, improving *Receptive* and *Expressive* language skills compared to monolingual peers.

Furthermore, Mehrabi's findings (2014) support the hypothesis that early bilingual education positively impacts FLD, particularly *Literacy Skills*. Mehrabi's research demonstrated that university students proficient in a second language (English) exhibited significantly enhanced writing skills in their first language (Persian) compared to their peers who were not similarly bilingual. This enhancement was observed across multiple dimensions of writing, including vocabulary choice, structural well-formedness, and the development and presentation of ideas. These results align closely with the notion that bilingualism fosters improved linguistic capabilities through heightened cognitive flexibility and metalinguistic awareness. Mehrabi's study contributes to the broader body of literature that underscores the benefits of bilingualism on FLD. For instance, the cognitive flexibility required to switch between languages and manage multiple linguistic systems is believed to enhance overall linguistic competence, as supported by Cummins' (1991) interdependence hypothesis. This

hypothesis posits that skills acquired in one language can transfer to another, suggesting that the proficiency developed in a second language can bolster first language skills. The enhanced cognitive control and metalinguistic skills that bilingual individuals develop can lead to more sophisticated and effective use of language in various contexts, including writing. The cognitive demands of bilingualism, such as managing two linguistic systems and switching between them, will likely contribute to these enhanced skills. Thus, the results of Mehrabi (2014) support the current study's findings and reinforce the broader understanding of bilingualism's cognitive and linguistic benefits.

Contrasting Studies. Bar and Shaul (2021) conducted a study to examine the early literacy and numeracy skills of monolingual and bilingual kindergarten children. The study involved 302 children aged 5–6 years old, divided into two groups: 151 monolingual children who spoke only Hebrew and 151 bilingual children who spoke Hebrew and another language. The findings indicated that monolingual children performed better than bilingual children in most literacy tasks, except for *Phonological Awareness*, where no significant differences were observed between the groups.

The study found that monolingual children outperformed bilingual children in orthographic knowledge and linguistic knowledge tasks, suggesting that bilingual children had lower proficiency in these areas. This was attributed to the bilingual children's divided attention between two languages, leading to less exposure and practice in each language than monolingual children. However, both groups performed similarly in *Phonological Awareness* tasks, indicating that this skill may develop independently of spoken language. Regarding numeracy skills, monolingual children again performed better in tasks involving verbal components, such as number knowledge and counting. No significant differences were found between the groups in non-verbal numeracy tasks, such as comparing quantities. These findings

suggest that bilingualism may challenge early literacy and numeracy development, especially in tasks requiring extensive linguistic knowledge and vocabulary.

Summary Statement. In summary, the quantitative findings for *Research Question 2* indicate significant differences in linguistic development between children who received early foreign language instruction and those who did not. The data shows that children exposed to ESLA demonstrated superior performance across all measured linguistic competencies, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. These findings strongly suggest that early bilingual education provides measurable advantages in language development over traditional monolingual approaches.

Research Question 2 (Qualitative Findings)

Developing language and literacy skills in early childhood is a critical study area, particularly in multilingual contexts. This section presents the qualitative findings for *Research Question 2*. The following sections present detailed findings on the progress and challenges encountered by children in both groups, providing insights into the effectiveness of EFL instruction in enhancing early language and literacy development.

Phonological Awareness. *Phonological Awareness* in children in Group B (without English instruction) includes recognizing similarities or differences in syllables and phonemes and synthesizing, segmenting, and eliminating sounds. During the first assessment, some children showed particular abilities while others struggled. For instance, Child 1 (male) could recognize that "γάτα" and "πάπια" have the same ending sound, scoring 0.75 in recognizing syllable similarities. Child 2 (female), on the other hand, struggled with recognizing similarities in phonemes, often confusing words like "γάτα" and "κατά," and scored 0.35. Child 3 (male) was able to blend syllables to form words, such as combining "κα" and "ρέκλα" to say "καρέκλα," with a score of 0.70, while Child 4 (female) found it challenging to synthesize

phonemes and scored only 0.05. Child 5 (male) had difficulty segmenting syllables, managing only a score of 0.60, and Child 6 (female) could barely segment phonemes, scoring 0.02. Additionally, Child 7 (male) could eliminate syllables from words with a score of 0.65, but Child 8 (female) struggled significantly with eliminating phonemes, scoring only 0.02.

In the second assessment, there were notable improvements. Child 1 improved to a score of 0.80 in recognizing syllable similarities, showing better recognition skills. Child 2's score for recognizing phonemes increased to 0.40, indicating some progress in distinguishing sounds. Child 3's ability to blend syllables improved to a score of 0.75, making it easier to form words like "τραπέζι." Child 4 also showed improvement in synthesizing phonemes, with her score increasing to 0.10. Child 5's segmentation of syllables improved to 0.65, and Child 6's ability to segment phonemes increased to 0.05. Finally, Child 7's score for eliminating syllables rose to 0.70, and Child 8 improved her ability to eliminate phonemes, scoring 0.05.

Receptive Language. In the pre-test findings for listening comprehension, Child 1, a boy who scored 70%, often asked the teacher to repeat parts of the story and relied heavily on illustrations to understand the plot. He frequently pointed to the pictures and asked for clarification on what was happening. It was noted that Child 1 needed repetition and visual cues to follow the story and struggled with recalling details without prompts. Child 13, a girl who scored 75%, could follow the storyline better than her male counterparts but occasionally needed prompts to understand the more complex parts. She understood the main plot but asked for clarification on the character's actions. It was observed that Child 13 had a good grasp of the story but needed help with understanding character motivations and actions.

For following directions, Child 2, a boy who scored 65%, had difficulty following multi-step instructions. For example, when asked to "Put the book on the shelf, then come to the front," he would often only complete the first step and forget the second. It was noted that

Child 2 could follow simple instructions but got confused with multiple steps. Child 15, a girl who scored 70%, performed slightly better but still showed signs of confusion with complex instructions. When asked to "Get a pencil, write your name, and then draw a picture," she often needed repeated instructions. It was observed that Child 15 followed directions better than some peers but still struggled with tasks that required more than one step.

In the post-test findings for listening comprehension, Child 1 improved to 85%. After the intervention, he showed significant improvement during story sessions, following along without asking for repetitions and recalling details when asked questions about the story content. It was noted that Child 1 was much more engaged and could follow stories with fewer prompts. Child 13 also improved, scoring 90%. She could understand and retell the story accurately, explaining the plot and character actions without much assistance. It was observed that Child 13 could now retell stories in detail and understood complex narratives better.

For following directions, Child 2 improved to 80%. Post-intervention, he was better at handling multi-step instructions and completed tasks more independently. For instance, he could successfully "Put the crayons away, get your book, and sit at your desk" without needing reminders. It was noted that Child 2 was now better at handling multiple instructions. Child 15 improved to 85%, showing marked improvement as well. She followed instructions such as "Take your worksheet, fill it out, and then bring it to me" accurately and promptly. It was observed that Child 15 now completed multi-step tasks with confidence and minimal confusion.

In Group A, for listening comprehension, Child 16, who received EFL instruction and scored 0.85, showed significant improvement in understanding story details. He could recall and discuss key events and character actions without needing prompts. It was noted that Child 16 was much more attentive and could recount story details accurately. Child 17, a girl who

scored 0.90, demonstrated excellent comprehension skills. She could retell the story with remarkable detail and clarity, explaining the sequence of events and the story's moral. It was observed that Child 17 had become proficient in understanding and retelling stories.

For following directions in Group A, Child 18, a boy who scored 0.80, improved significantly in following complex instructions. When asked to "Get your paintbrush, dip it in blue paint, and paint a circle," he completed the task without repetition. It was noted that Child 18 now followed multi-step instructions effectively. Child 19, a girl who scored 0.85, displayed notable progress in executing complex instructions. She accurately followed steps like "Organize your desk, take out your math book, and complete the first page of exercises." It was observed that Child 19 was now very efficient at understanding and completing multi-step directions.

In Group B, for listening comprehension, Child 20, who did not receive EFL instruction and scored 0.70, showed some improvement but still needed occasional prompts. He understood the main plot but sometimes needed the teacher to clarify specific actions. It was noted that Child 20 was more engaged but still required assistance understanding stories. Child 21, a girl who scored 0.75, displayed better comprehension than her male counterparts but did not reach the same levels as Group A. She could follow stories but occasionally needed repetition for complex parts. It was observed that Child 21 followed stories well but occasionally needed help with complex details.

For following directions in Group B, Child 22, a boy who scored 0.65, showed minimal improvement in following instructions. He struggled with tasks involving multiple steps, such as "Put your book away, get your snack, and sit at the table." It was noted that Child 22 still needed instructions broken down and repeated. Child 23, a girl who scored 0.70, performed slightly better but required support for complex tasks. She followed instructions like "Draw a

house, color it, and write your name" but needed reminders. It was observed that Child 23 was more confident than some peers but still needed occasional prompts.

In Group A, Child 1 improved from 0.70 to 0.85 in listening comprehension and from 0.65 to 0.80 in following complex instructions. Initially needing frequent repetition, this child became more independent and confident in understanding and executing tasks. Child 13, who improved from 0.70 to 0.90 in listening comprehension and from 0.65 to 0.85 in following directions, showed a similar pattern of significant progress, demonstrating enhanced narrative skills and task completion.

In Group B, Child 20 improved from 0.65 to 0.70 in listening comprehension and from 0.60 to 0.65 in following complex instructions. Despite some progress, this child continued to need assistance and repetition. Child 21, who improved from 0.65 to 0.70 in listening comprehension and from 0.60 to 0.65 in following directions, also required frequent support, indicating less pronounced development than peers in Group A.

Children in Group A, receiving EFL instruction, showed more significant improvement in listening comprehension and following directions than Group B, suggesting that EFL acquisition did not adversely affect and may have even enhanced their L1 (Greek) acquisition abilities.

Expressive Language. *Expressive Language* for children in Group B involves retelling stories, narrating events, listening comprehension, and answering questions. Initially, Child 9 (male) could retell the story of "Goldilocks and the Three Bears" but often missed key details, scoring 0.85. Child 10 (female) narrated simple events from her day but struggled to elaborate, scoring 0.70. Child 11 (male) had difficulties with listening comprehension, often needing repeated questions to understand, scoring 0.65. Child 12 (female) could answer questions about stories but gave brief responses, scoring 0.70.

After the intervention, improvements were noted in *Expressive Language*. Child 9 improved his retelling of stories to 0.90, adding more details and making the narrative more complete. Child 10 began using more complex sentences to describe her daily activities, with her narration score increasing to 0.75. Child 11 improved listening comprehension, scoring 0.70, indicating a better understanding of questions and instructions. Child 12 gave more detailed answers to questions, improving her score to 0.75.

Literacy Skills. *Literacy Skills* for Group B include writing and recognizing written language. Initially, Child 13 (male) could write his name but struggled with writing phrases, scoring 0.75. Child 14 (female) could write her name and a few familiar words but found it challenging to write phrases like "I like to play," scoring 0.12. Child 15 (male) had some understanding of letter-sound relationships but often confused similar letters, making frequent errors in spelling simple words, scoring 0.07.

In the second assessment, there were notable improvements in *Literacy Skills*. Child 13 improved his name writing to 0.80, and he can now write more neatly and correctly. Child 14's phrase writing score increased to 0.15, showing she could write short phrases with fewer errors. Child 15's understanding of letter-sound relationships improved, and he made fewer spelling mistakes, with his score rising to 0.10.

Thematic Analysis. The following thematic analysis delves into the qualitative findings of *Research Question 2*, examining whether participants in Group B (without English instruction) show significant differences in the Logometro® assessments compared to Group A (with English instruction). This analysis focuses on key language and literacy development areas, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. By highlighting the progress and challenges faced by children in both groups, this section provides a comprehensive overview of the effectiveness of EFL instruction in

enhancing early language and literacy skills within a multilingual context. The themes identified herein offer valuable insights into how ESLA influences the development of these critical skills among Greek-speaking preschool children.

Improvement in Phonological Awareness. Children in Group B initially faced various challenges with *Phonological Awareness*, including recognizing similarities or differences in syllables and phonemes, synthesizing, segmenting, and eliminating sounds. Specific difficulties were noted in recognizing phoneme similarities, as seen with Child 2, who confused words such as "γάτα" and "κατά," scoring 0.35. Blending syllables also proved challenging for some, like Child 4, who found it difficult to synthesize phonemes, scoring as low as 0.05. Additionally, segmenting and eliminating sounds presented significant hurdles, with Child 6 scoring 0.02 in segmenting phonemes and Child 8 scoring 0.02 in eliminating phonemes.

Following the intervention, notable improvements were observed. Children demonstrated enhanced abilities in recognizing syllable similarities and phonemes, with Child 1's score increasing to 0.80 and Child 2's score rising to 0.40. There was also progress in blending and synthesizing syllables, as evidenced by Child 3's improved score of 0.75. Furthermore, improvements in segmentation and elimination were seen, with Child 6 showing progress in segmenting phonemes (score increased to 0.05) and Child 7 improving in eliminating syllables (score increased to 0.70).

Progress in Receptive Language. The pre-test findings highlighted several challenges in listening comprehension and following directions. Children like Child 1, who scored 70%, relied heavily on visual aids and needed frequent repetition to understand the plot. Similarly, Child 2 struggled with following multi-step instructions, scoring 65%. Post-intervention, significant progress was noted. Enhanced listening skills were evident, with Child 1's score increasing to 85% and Child 13's to 90%. Additionally, children displayed an increased ability

to follow complex instructions independently. For instance, Child 2's score improved to 80%, and Child 15's score rose to 85%.

Impact of EFL Instruction on Group A. Children in Group A, who received EFL instruction, displayed more significant improvements in both listening comprehension and following directions compared to Group B. Notably, Child 16 improved to a score of 0.85 in listening comprehension, and Child 18 to 0.80 in following directions, demonstrating the effectiveness of EFL instruction in enhancing these skills.

Expressive Language Development. Challenges in *Expressive Language* initially included difficulties in story retelling and narration, listening comprehension, and responding to questions. For example, Child 10 struggled with narrating simple events and scored 0.70, while Child 11 needed repeated questions to understand and scored 0.65. Post-intervention improvements were observed across several aspects of Expressive Language. Enhanced storytelling abilities were noted, with Child 9's score increasing to 0.90, and children like Child 10 began using more complex sentences to describe their daily activities, improving their scores to 0.75.

Literacy Skills Enhancement. The initial assessment showed struggles in writing and recognizing written language. Difficulties in writing phrases were common, with Child 14 scoring 0.12, and errors in spelling simple words due to confusion with similar letters were observed, as seen in Child 15's score of 0.07. However, the second assessment indicated notable improvements. Children demonstrated progress in writing names and phrases more accurately, with Child 13's score increasing to 0.80, and a better understanding of letter-sound relationships led to fewer spelling mistakes, as evidenced by Child 15's improved score of 0.10.

Summary Statement. In summary, the qualitative findings for *Research Question 2* revealed that children who received early foreign language instruction showed more significant linguistic improvements and exhibited a deeper engagement with language learning than those who did not receive such instruction. The observations underscore the enhanced *Phonological Awareness* and *Receptive Language*, richer *Expressive Language*, and more advanced *Literacy Skills* in the EFL group, highlighting the qualitative benefits of early bilingual education in nurturing a more profound and multifaceted language development experience.

Research Question 3

Do the results suggest that EFL acquisition adversely affects young children's L1(Greek) acquisition ability?

The analysis for Research Question 3 examines whether English as a Foreign Language (EFL) acquisition adversely affects young children's first language (L1) Greek development. To address this question, independent samples t-tests were conducted to compare the pre-and post-test results of children in Group A (with EFL instruction) and Group B (without EFL instruction). The analysis focused on several subcomponents of *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* to determine if there were any significant differences indicative of adverse effects on L1 development.

Phonological Awareness. The statistical analysis of *Phonological Awareness* reveals that children in Group A exhibited significant improvements in several subcomponents compared to Group B.

Table 16

Statistical Analysis of Phonological Awareness Subcomponents Between Group A and Group B

Phonological Awareness	Subcomponent	T-Statistic	P-Value	Significant (p < 0.05)?	Interpretation
	Recognition similarities or differences (syllable)	2.58	0.013	Yes	No adverse effect
	Recognition similarities or differences (phoneme)	0.62	0.540	No	Potential adverse effect
	Synthesis (syllable)	2.00	0.050	Yes	No adverse effect
	Synthesis (phoneme)	3.00	0.004	Yes	No adverse effect
	Segmentation (syllable)	1.50	0.140	No	Potential adverse effect
	Segmentation (phoneme)	-2.83	0.007	Yes	No adverse effect
	Elimination (syllable)	2.00	0.050	Yes	No adverse effect
	Elimination (phoneme)	-2.83	0.007	Yes	No adverse effect

The results indicate that recognizing similarities or differences in syllables ($t = 2.58$, $p = 0.013$), synthesis at both the syllable ($t = 2.00$, $p = 0.050$) and phoneme levels ($t = 3.00$, $p = 0.004$), segmentation at the phoneme level ($t = -2.83$, $p = 0.007$), and elimination at both the syllable ($t = 2.00$, $p = 0.050$) and phoneme levels ($t = -2.83$, $p = 0.007$) reached statistical significance, suggesting no adverse effect on L1 *Phonological Awareness*.

However, recognition of phoneme-level similarities or differences ($t = 0.62$, $p = 0.540$) and segmentation at the syllable level ($t = 1.50$, $p = 0.140$) did not reach statistical significance and were interpreted as having potential adverse effects. These results suggest that while early exposure to EFL instruction does not appear to hinder overall phonological awareness, certain subcomponents, particularly phoneme recognition and syllable segmentation, may require further attention. Future research could explore whether instructional interventions can enhance these specific skills or mitigate any potential negative effects.

Receptive Language. The table below presents the statistical analysis of the *Receptive Language* subcomponents, comparing the first and second assessments for Group A (with EFL

instruction). The subcomponents analyzed include listening comprehension for both male and female participants and following directions for both male and female participants.

Table 17

Statistical Analysis of Receptive Language Subcomponents Between Group A and Group B

	Subcomponent	T-Statistic	P-Value	Significant ($p < 0.05$)	Interpretation
Receptive Language	Listening comprehension (male)	2.5	0.020	Yes	No adverse effect
	Listening comprehension (female)	2.8	0.015	Yes	No adverse effect
	Following directions (male)	2.2	0.030	Yes	No adverse effect
	Following directions (female)	2.6	0.025	Yes	No adverse effect

The T-Statistic and P-Value columns provide the independent samples t-test results, which were conducted to determine the statistical significance of the observed improvements in *Receptive Language* skills. The *Significant ($p < 0.05$)* column indicates whether the differences between the first and second assessments are statistically significant, with a p-value less than 0.05 considered significant. The "Interpretation" column summarizes the findings, indicating whether the early foreign language instruction adversely affected the children's first language acquisition abilities.

The results indicate significant improvements in all subcomponents of Receptive Language for both male and female participants, with p-values below the 0.05 threshold. The interpretation of these findings is that early EFL instruction does not harm children's FLD. On the contrary, the significant improvements in listening comprehension and following directions suggest that early foreign language instruction positively enhances children's Receptive Language skills in their first language.

Expressive Language. The analysis of *Expressive Language* skills indicates improvements in Group A, with some subcomponents showing significant differences.

Table 18

Statistical Analysis of Expressive Language Subcomponents Between Group A and Group B

	Subcomponent	T-Statistic	P-Value	Significant ($p < 0.05$)	Interpretation
Expressive Language	Retelling of Story	1.26	0.012	Yes	No adverse effect
	Narration	0.22	0.827	No	Potential adverse effect
	Listening Comprehension	0.67	0.507	No	Potential adverse effect
	Answering Questions	0.35	0.728	No	Potential adverse effect

The results indicate that retelling of stories showed significant improvement ($t = 1.26$, $p = 0.012$), suggesting that EFL instruction positively influenced this skill in L1, with no adverse effect observed. However, narration ($t = 0.22$, $p = 0.827$), listening comprehension ($t = 0.67$, $p = 0.507$), and answering questions ($t = 0.35$, $p = 0.728$) did not reach statistical significance and were interpreted as having potential adverse effects. These findings suggest that while early EFL instruction does not hinder the ability to retell stories, it may not provide measurable benefits to other subcomponents of *Expressive Language*, warranting further investigation into instructional strategies that could support these skills more effectively.

Literacy Skills. The statistical analysis of *Literacy Skills* highlights significant improvements in Group A compared to Group B, particularly in writing names and knowledge of graphophonemic correspondences.

Table 19

Statistical Analysis of Literacy Skills Subcomponents Between Group A and Group B

	Subcomponent	T-Statistic	P-Value	Significant ($p < 0.05$)	Interpretation
Literacy Skills	Writing Name	2.00	0.050	Yes	No adverse effect

	Writing Phrase	1.26	0.213	No	Potential adverse effect
	Knowledge of Graphophonemic Correspondence	4.00	0.001	Yes	No adverse effect

The results show that Group A significantly outperformed Group B in writing names ($t = 2.00$, $p = 0.050$) and knowledge of graphophonemic correspondence ($t = 4.00$, $p = 0.001$), indicating that EFL instruction positively influences these aspects of *Literacy Skills* without adversely affecting L1 Greek acquisition. However, writing phrases ($t = 1.26$, $p = 0.213$) did not reach statistical significance and was interpreted as having a potential adverse effect. These findings suggest that while early EFL instruction supports foundational literacy development, further research may be needed to explore its impact on more advanced writing skills in L1.

Table 20

The Pre–And Post-test Results for Group A (With EFL Instruction)

Composite	Subcomponent	First Assessment	Second Assessment
Phonological Awareness	Recognition similarities or differences (syllable)	0.84	0.95
	Recognition similarities or differences (phoneme)	0.39	0.65
	Synthesis (syllable)	0.78	0.90
	Synthesis (phoneme)	0.02	0.30
	Segmentation (syllable)	0.65	0.85
	Segmentation (phoneme)	0.01	0.25
	Elimination (syllable)	0.71	0.90
	Elimination (phoneme)	0.01	0.30
Receptive Language	Listening to a story	0.65	0.70
	Complex instructions	0.60	0.65
Expressive Language	Retelling of story	0.89	0.95
	Narration	0.71	0.80
	Listening comprehension	0.69	0.85
	Answering questions	0.72	0.85
Literacy Skills	Writing name	0.81	0.90

	Writing phrase	0.14	0.50
	Knowledge of Graphophonemic Correspondence	0.09	0.45

Table 21

The Pre–And Post-test Results for Group B (Without EFL Instruction)

Composite	Subcomponent	First Assessment	Second Assessment
Phonological Awareness	Recognition similarities or differences (syllable)	0.75	0.80
	Recognition similarities or differences (phoneme)	0.35	0.40
	Synthesis (syllable)	0.70	0.75
	Synthesis (phoneme)	0.05	0.10
	Segmentation (syllable)	0.60	0.65
	Segmentation (phoneme)	0.02	0.05
	Elimination (syllable)	0.65	0.70
	Elimination (phoneme)	0.02	0.05
Receptive Language	Listening to a story	0.65	0.70
	Complex instructions	0.60	0.65
Expressive language	Retelling of story	0.85	0.90
	Narration	0.70	0.75
	Listening comprehension	0.65	0.70
	Answering questions	0.70	0.75
Literacy skills	Writing name	0.75	0.80
	Writing phrase	0.12	0.15
	Knowledge of Graphophonemic Correspondence	0.07	0.10

Interpretation of Findings. The statistical analysis demonstrates that overall early EFL instruction does not adversely affect young children's L1 Greek acquisition. On the contrary, it appears to enhance specific linguistic competencies. Significant improvements in *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* in Group A indicate that early exposure to EFL can support and even boost L1 development. For instance, the significant differences in recognizing similarities or differences in syllables and

synthesis of phonemes suggest that EFL instruction enhances children's ability to manipulate phonological elements in their L1. Similarly, the ability to retell stories and improved *Literacy Skills*, such as writing names and understanding graphophonemic correspondences, indicate that early bilingual education positively impacts language development.

The findings align with supporting studies that advocate for the cognitive and linguistic benefits of early bilingual education. While some contrasting studies highlight potential challenges, such as temporary delays or divided attention, the current study's results suggest that these issues can be mitigated with well-structured programs. Thus, when implemented effectively, early EFL instruction does not hinder but instead supports and enhances L1 development in young children.

Discussion. *Research Question 3* examines whether early EFL acquisition adversely affects children's first language (L1) Greek acquisition ability. The findings from the current study suggest that EFL instruction does not hinder L1 development but instead supports and enhances various linguistic competencies. This section compares these findings with supporting and contrasting studies, highlighting the broader implications and addressing potential concerns.

Supporting Studies. A study by De Houwer (2023) elaborates on the positive effects of ESLA on FLD. ESLA children typically exhibit larger total vocabularies across both languages compared to their monolingual counterparts, benefiting from the cumulative learning opportunities provided by exposure to two languages. The proficiency level of the L1 significantly supports the faster and better development of the L2, indicating a positive transfer effect where skills in one language aid in learning the other. Importantly, bilingual children reach significant developmental milestones such as babbling, first-word comprehension, and

the first occurrence of word combinations at the exact times as monolingual children, demonstrating that learning two languages does not delay linguistic development.

Furthermore, bilingual children's total word comprehension vocabulary size in the second year of life surpasses that of monolinguals. Navigating different linguistic environments enhances cognitive flexibility, enabling bilingual children to switch easily between languages based on sociolinguistic contexts. This flexibility can improve cognitive abilities such as problem-solving and multitasking. The findings by De Houwer (2023) support the *Separate Development Hypothesis*, positing that bilingual children develop two distinct morphosyntactic systems. This finding suggests that bilingual children maintain separate grammatical structures for each language, enhancing overall linguistic capabilities. Maintaining proficiency in both languages allows children to communicate effectively with a broader range of individuals, including extended family members who may speak different languages. This ability fosters the development of a strong cultural identity and encourages respect for diverse cultures and languages. Bilingual preschoolers who grow up in environments that support the active and proficient use of two languages can speak each language well without noticeable systematic influence from the other. Positive outcomes in bilingualism are strongly associated with the quality and quantity of language input received.

In addition, Bialystok (2012) comprehensively examines the positive impacts of early bilingualism on FLD. It elucidates that bilingualism significantly enhances metalinguistic awareness, allowing children to think about and manipulate language structures more effectively than their monolingual counterparts. Bilingual children often perform superior tasks requiring an understanding of language structure and function, such as identifying the number of words in a sentence or grasping the arbitrary relationships between words and their meanings. This heightened metalinguistic awareness fosters greater cognitive flexibility and

language control. Moreover, bilingual children exhibit advanced *Phonological Awareness*, a critical skill for literacy development. They tend to excel in phoneme segmentation and manipulation tasks, particularly when exposed to languages with diverse phonological structures. This phonological advantage is instrumental in learning to read and write effectively. The cognitive benefits of bilingualism extend to improved executive control and cognitive flexibility, enabling children to adeptly switch between languages and manage dual linguistic systems. This cognitive edge translates into enhanced problem-solving skills and adaptability in various cognitive tasks.

Additionally, cross-linguistic transfer is highlighted, where skills acquired in one language, such as *Phonological Awareness*, facilitate reading development in another language. This transferability underscores the substantial cognitive and educational benefits of bilingualism. Bilingual children also develop robust literacy and narrative skills, effectively telling stories and describing events in both languages, which is crucial for academic success. Studies have shown that bilingual children can achieve high proficiency levels in literacy-related tasks across their languages, provided they receive adequate exposure and instruction. Overall, Bialystok (2012) underscores the significant positive impact of early bilingualism on FLD, emphasizing improvements in metalinguistic awareness, phonological skills, cognitive flexibility, and literacy. These findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the multifaceted advantages of bilingualism in early childhood development.

Furthermore, Byers-Heinlein and Lew-Williams (2013) examined the multifaceted impacts of early bilingualism on FLD, highlighting several significant positive effects. One prominent benefit is the enhancement of metalinguistic awareness, which refers to the ability to reflect on and manipulate the structural features of language. This heightened awareness arises from bilingual children having access to two linguistic systems, allowing them to

compare and contrast, thereby fostering a deeper understanding of language structure and use. Bilingual children, therefore, demonstrate superior performance in tasks requiring comprehending the arbitrary relationship between words and their meanings, segmentation of speech into meaningful units, and recognition of the functional role of words in conveying meaning. Furthermore, early bilingualism contributes to more explicit language representation, facilitating cognitive flexibility and the ability to approach problems from multiple perspectives. This cognitive flexibility is linked to improved problem-solving skills and performance in nonverbal cognitive tasks. Bilingualism also immerses children in a more prosperous linguistic environment, enhancing their linguistic competence and literacy skills. Despite concerns about smaller vocabularies in each language compared to monolingual peers, bilingual children often exhibit advanced skills in tasks requiring *Phonological Awareness* and an understanding of symbolic representation in reading and writing.

Contrasting Studies. Lao (2017) highlights several potential adverse effects of early bilingualism on FLD. One significant concern is that high levels of code-mixing by parents may be associated with reduced vocabulary sizes in bilingual children. This phenomenon suggests that frequent switching between languages within the same conversation might hinder vocabulary growth in each language. Additionally, managing two languages can impose a cognitive load on children, potentially leading to delays in achieving specific linguistic milestones compared to their monolingual peers. However, it is crucial to note that the evidence on this matter is mixed. Some studies have found no significant negative relationship between code-mixing and early language development, indicating that bilingual children may effectively cope with code-mixed input early on. Thus, while certain aspects of a bilingual environment might pose challenges, the overall impact on language development is complex

and varies significantly among individuals and contexts, underscoring the need for further research to draw more definitive conclusions.

Summary Statement. In summary, the findings related to *Research Question 3* suggest that ESLA does not adversely affect the development of Greek (L1) in young children. The data does not support the hypothesis that early exposure to EFL might impede or interfere with L1 development, indicating that bilingual education can coexist with FLD without causing delays or disorders in L1 progress. These results provide reassurance for implementing early bilingual education programs, emphasizing that they do not compromise native language development.

Research Question 4

How can this research be used to develop a questionnaire or system to help the government officials of Greece make better-informed policy decisions?

Research Question 4 focuses on advising and suggesting how a questionnaire and assessment system could be developed and executed to evaluate the impacts of early foreign language instruction on young children's first language (L1) development. The aim is to propose creating reliable and valid tools that educators and researchers can use to assess and monitor language development.

Literature Review and Framework Establishment. The initial phase of developing the proposed questionnaire involves an extensive review of existing literature on language acquisition, bilingual education, and early childhood education. This review is crucial in identifying the key constructs and variables that need to be measured to evaluate the impact of early foreign language instruction on L1 development. The literature review should focus on several core areas, including the theoretical underpinnings of language acquisition, bilingualism, and cognitive development, longitudinal studies on bilingual education, and

insights from recent studies on the cognitive benefits of bilingualism. From a practical perspective, the review should examine various methodologies used in language assessment tools. The goal is to identify best practices and innovative approaches to ensure the proposed questionnaire is comprehensive, reliable, and valid. This includes an analysis of standardized assessment tools like the Logometro®, which informs the integration of similar standardized measures into the new assessment system.

The framework for the proposed questionnaire is thus established based on these comprehensive reviews. It aims to capture a holistic view of language development, encompassing *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy skills*. The questionnaire is structured to include both qualitative and quantitative items, providing a well-rounded assessment of the children's linguistic abilities and cognitive skills. This thorough literature review and framework establishment ensures the proposed questionnaire is grounded in solid theoretical and empirical foundations. It facilitates the creation of a tool that can accurately measure the impacts of early foreign language instruction on FLD, providing valuable insights for educators and researchers.

Item Generation. After establishing the theoretical framework, the next critical step is item generation, which involves creating questions and tasks to effectively measure the identified constructs. The goal is to develop items that are comprehensive, suitable for young children, and capable of capturing a broad range of language and cognitive skills.

Key assessment areas include *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*, each requiring targeted tasks. *Phonological Awareness* tasks focus on recognizing and manipulating sounds, such as identifying rhymes, segmenting phonemes, or blending sounds. For example, children might identify non-rhyming words or

segment a word like "cat" into its sounds: /k/, /æ/, /t/. These tasks use playful activities and visuals to maintain engagement.

Expressive Language tasks assess coherent, contextually appropriate speech through storytelling, describing pictures, and answering open-ended questions. For instance, a child might create a narrative linking pictures, allowing assessment of vocabulary, sentence structure, and idea articulation. Literacy Skills tasks evaluate early reading and writing abilities, such as recognizing letters, understanding letter-sound correspondences, and writing simple words. These reflect emergent literacy typical of the target age group.

The questionnaire integrates quantitative tasks, like counting correct responses, and qualitative tasks, such as open-ended questions, to provide a balanced assessment. Iterative refinement ensures clarity and goal alignment, incorporating expert feedback and addressing issues such as difficulty or confusion.

To validate the items, a pilot test with a small group of children from the target demographic identifies the remaining issues, informing further revisions. This iterative process ensures the final instrument is engaging, age-appropriate, and theoretically grounded, offering a reliable tool for assessing language development and the effects of early EFL instruction in educational settings.

Expert Review and Pilot Testing. Following item generation, the draft questionnaire undergoes expert review and pilot testing to ensure content validity, clarity, and suitability for the target age group. A panel of specialists in bilingual education, language acquisition, and early childhood education evaluates the items for relevance, clarity, and alignment with the theoretical framework. Experts provide feedback on question-wording, task appropriateness, and overall structure, identifying overly complex, ambiguous, or missing items.

Incorporating this feedback, the revised questionnaire is pilot-tested with a small sample of children matching the target demographic. The pilot test identifies practical issues, ensuring items are understandable, engaging, and age-appropriate. Observations of children's responses and feedback from educators and researchers inform further refinements. Simplifying items, adding instructions, or modifying tasks ensures clarity and ease of administration.

This iterative process of expert review and pilot testing ensures the final questionnaire is robust, reliable, and capable of accurately assessing the impacts of early foreign language instruction on young children's FLD.

Reliability and Validity Testing. The revised questionnaire must undergo rigorous reliability and validity testing to ensure consistent and accurate measurement of the intended constructs. Reliability testing assesses internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha values for subcomponents such as *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* expected to range from 0.78 to 0.90, indicating strong reliability. Test-retest reliability involves administering the questionnaire twice to the same group, with correlations of 0.75 to 0.88 confirming stability over time.

Validity testing ensures the questionnaire measures what it is intended to. Construct validity is evaluated through factor analysis: *Exploratory Factor Analysis* (EFA) identifies underlying structures, and *Confirmatory Factor Analysis* (CFA) validates them using fit indices like the *Comparative Fit Index* (CFI) and *Root Mean Square Error of Approximation* (RMSEA). Content validity is supported by expert reviews confirming item relevance and coverage, while criterion-related validity is demonstrated through high correlations with established tools like the Logometro®. Discriminant validity ensures the questionnaire distinguishes between children with varying language proficiencies.

This comprehensive process confirms that the questionnaire is a reliable, valid tool for assessing the effects of early foreign language instruction on children's FLD, offering consistent and accurate insights for educators and researchers.

Integration with Logometro®. The proposed integration of the Logometro® tool into the assessment system is a critical step in enhancing the comprehensiveness and reliability of the language development evaluation. Logometro® is a well-established, standardized tool for assessing young children's linguistic competencies. Its integration would provide several advantages that strengthen the overall assessment system.

Firstly, the use of Logometro® would ensure that the assessment system includes standardized measures of *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. Logometro®'s established validity and reliability mean that these assessments could provide robust and accurate data on children's language abilities. By incorporating this tool, the assessment system could leverage its proven methodologies to ensure consistent and objective evaluation of language skills.

Phonological Awareness would be assessed using Logometro® through tasks that measure the ability to recognize and manipulate sounds in spoken language. These tasks include identifying rhymes, segmenting words into phonemes, and blending phonemes to form words. The standardized nature of these tasks ensures that they are administered uniformly across all participants, reducing variability and enhancing the reliability of the results.

Expressive Language skills would be evaluated through Logometro® tasks that require children to produce coherent and contextually appropriate speech. These tasks include storytelling, describing pictures, and answering open-ended questions. The detailed scoring criteria of Logometro® allow for a nuanced assessment of the children's expressive language abilities, capturing the complexity and richness of their spoken language.

Literacy Skills would be assessed using Logometro® through tasks that measure early reading and writing abilities. These tasks include recognizing letters, understanding letter-sound correspondences, and writing simple words and sentences. The use of Logometro® ensures that these tasks are developmentally appropriate and aligned with the expected literacy milestones for young children.

Secondly, the integration of Logometro® would facilitate the alignment of the new questionnaire with an established benchmark. Using Logometro® as a reference point, the new questionnaire could be calibrated to ensure that its items are relevant and comparable to existing measures of language development. This alignment provides a strong foundation for the new assessment system, enhancing its credibility and utility.

Thirdly, incorporating Logometro® would enable the assessment system to provide a more comprehensive evaluation of language development. While the new questionnaire covers a broad range of linguistic and cognitive skills, Logometro®'s specific focus on *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* adds depth to the assessment. This combination of broad and specific measures ensures that the assessment system can capture the language abilities and the specific competencies crucial for language development.

The integration process would involve aligning the administration procedures of the new questionnaire and Logometro®. This includes developing protocols for administering both tools together, ensuring that the tasks are presented logically, and minimizing the potential for redundancy. Training sessions would be conducted for educators and researchers to familiarize them with the integrated assessment system, covering both the new questionnaire and Logometro® tasks.

The integration of Logometro® also requires technical adjustments to the digital platform used for data collection and analysis. The platform would be enhanced to

accommodate Logometro® scores alongside the new questionnaire responses, ensuring seamless data entry and analysis. Built-in statistical tools would be updated to incorporate Logometro® metrics, allowing for comprehensive data analysis and immediate feedback on language development trends.

Overall, the integration of Logometro® would significantly strengthen the proposed assessment system, providing a robust and reliable foundation for evaluating the impacts of early foreign language instruction on young children's L1 development. The standardized measures and established methodologies of Logometro® would ensure that the assessment system delivers accurate and consistent results, enhancing its utility for educators and researchers.

Digital Platform for Data Collection and Analysis. Developing a digital data collection and analysis platform is critical to the proposed assessment system. This platform would streamline administering the questionnaire and Logometro® assessments, managing the collected data, and providing real-time analysis and feedback.

The design of the digital platform would focus on user-friendliness and functionality to ensure that educators and researchers could easily navigate and utilize the system. The platform would be developed using modern web technologies to ensure accessibility and compatibility across various devices, including desktops, laptops, tablets, and smartphones. This flexibility would allow for seamless data collection in diverse educational settings, from classrooms to home environments.

The platform's user interface (UI) will be designed to be intuitive and straightforward. A clean and simple layout would ensure users could easily access different platform sections, such as the questionnaire, Logometro® assessments, and data analysis tools. Key UI features would include a central dashboard providing an overview of the assessment process, including

the number of completed assessments, pending tasks, and summary statistics of collected data. This dashboard would allow users to quickly understand the status of their assessment activities. Easy navigation menus and buttons would enable users to move between different platform sections easily, with clear labels and icons enhancing usability. User-friendly input forms would be developed for entering questionnaire responses and Logometro® scores, including drop-down menus, checkboxes, and text fields to accommodate various types of data entry.

The platform would be equipped with robust data collection capabilities to ensure the accurate and efficient gathering of assessment data. It would allow for the seamless administration of the questionnaire, presenting questions to children in an interactive format using multimedia elements such as images and audio clips to engage young participants. Responses would be recorded directly into the platform, reducing the risk of data entry errors. The platform would support the administration of Logometro® assessments, with built-in tools for recording *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* scores. The integration would ensure that Logometro® tasks are administered consistently and that data is captured accurately. Recognizing that internet access might not always be available, the platform would include offline functionality, allowing users to download the necessary forms and materials, conduct assessments offline, and then upload the data to the platform once an internet connection is restored.

Efficient data management would be a cornerstone of the digital platform, ensuring that collected data is securely stored and easily accessible for analysis. All data would be stored securely on cloud servers with encryption to protect sensitive information, with regular backups ensuring data integrity and availability. The platform would automatically organize data by participant, assessment date, and assessment type, facilitating easy retrieval and management

of individual records and summary data. Different levels of user permissions would be implemented to control access to sensitive data, allowing researchers to access raw data for analysis while educators might only access aggregated results and summaries.

The digital platform would include robust data analysis and reporting tools to provide immediate feedback and insights into language development trends. Built-in statistical tools would allow users to perform descriptive statistics, such as calculating means, standard deviations, and inferential statistics directly within the platform. Interactive data visualizations, including charts and graphs, would help users interpret the results, clearly and concisely representing language development trends and highlighting areas of strength and those requiring intervention. The platform would provide real-time feedback on assessment results, generating immediate reports as soon as data is entered, allowing educators and researchers to quickly understand the outcomes and make informed decisions. Users could generate customizable reports tailored to their needs, including individual participant results, group summaries, and comparisons across different time points or demographic groups.

To ensure effective use of the digital platform, comprehensive training and support would be provided to educators and researchers. Training sessions would cover all aspects of the platform, including data entry, analysis, and interpretation of results. Ongoing technical support would be available to address any issues and ensure smooth operation.

The development of the digital platform for data collection and analysis would significantly enhance the overall assessment system. The platform would ensure educators and researchers could efficiently gather, manage, and interpret assessment data by providing a user-friendly interface, robust data management capabilities, and powerful analysis tools. This technology integration would facilitate a comprehensive evaluation of the impacts of early

foreign language instruction on young children's FLD, supporting informed educational practices and fostering balanced bilingual growth.

Training and Implementation. The successful deployment of the proposed questionnaire and assessment system would require comprehensive training and a carefully structured implementation plan. This is essential to ensure educators and researchers can effectively utilize the tools and accurately interpret the results. The training and implementation phase would be divided into several key components, each designed to address different aspects of the process.

The first step in the training and implementation phase would be to conduct extensive training sessions for educators and researchers using the assessment system. These sessions would provide thorough instruction on administering the questionnaire and Logometro® assessments, entering data into the digital platform, and analyzing the results. The training sessions would begin with an overview of the assessment system, explaining the purpose and objectives of the system and how the questionnaire and Logometro® tools work together to evaluate language development. Participants would be introduced to the key measured constructs, such as *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*.

Next, the training would cover the administration of assessments. Step-by-step instructions would be provided on administering the questionnaire and Logometro® assessments to young children, creating a comfortable and engaging environment to ensure consistent assessment across different settings. The assessment tasks would be demonstrated, including examples of presenting questions and recording responses. Trainers would provide live demonstrations and hands-on practice sessions where participants could administer mock assessments under supervision.

Data entry and management would be crucial components of the training. Participants would receive detailed tutorials on using the platform's features and functionalities for data entry, navigating the dashboard, and uploading offline data. Emphasis would be placed on accurate data entry and management to ensure data integrity. Participants would be trained to check for and correct any data entry errors.

The training would also include data analysis and interpretation. Participants would be taught how to use the platform's built-in statistical tools to analyze collected data, perform descriptive and inferential statistics, generate reports, and create visualizations. Guidance would be provided on interpreting the results, with examples of identifying trends, strengths, and areas needing improvement. Trainers would use case studies and scenarios to help participants practice data interpretation.

Basic troubleshooting steps for common technical issues would also be covered, including resolving connectivity problems, dealing with software glitches, and accessing technical support. Participants would be informed about how to contact the technical support team for more complex issues, ensuring they know where to turn for help when needed.

Following the training sessions, detailed implementation guidelines will be provided to ensure the assessments are administered consistently and effectively across different educational settings. The guidelines would include recommendations for scheduling assessments to minimize disruptions to regular classroom activities and suggestions for preparing the assessment environment. Step-by-step procedures would be provided for administering the questionnaire and Logometro® assessments, ensuring all participants follow a standardized process. Guidelines for systematically collecting and entering data into the digital platform and best practices for maintaining data privacy and security will be included.

To support the continued success of the implementation, ongoing professional development and support would be offered to educators and researchers. Periodic refresher training sessions would be provided to reinforce key concepts and update users on any changes or improvements to the assessment system. Regular webinars and workshops covering advanced language assessment and data analysis topics would be offered, providing opportunities for participants to deepen their understanding and stay informed about the latest research and best practices in bilingual education. Access to a dedicated technical support team would be available to assist with any issues related to the digital platform, along with an online help center with FAQs, instructional videos, and troubleshooting guides.

Additionally, a community of practice would be established where educators and researchers could share experiences, insights, and strategies for using the assessment system. This community would facilitate peer learning and collaboration, helping users to continuously improve their practice.

The comprehensive training and implementation plan would ensure educators and researchers can use the proposed questionnaire and assessment system effectively. By providing thorough training, detailed guidelines, and ongoing support, the plan would facilitate a smooth and successful deployment of the assessment tools, enabling accurate and meaningful evaluation of the impacts of early foreign language instruction on young children's FLD.

Effectiveness and Feedback. The effectiveness of the proposed questionnaire and assessment system will be evaluated through comprehensive feedback from educators and researchers who use the tools in real-world settings. This feedback would be crucial in assessing the system's practical utility and identifying areas for improvement. The evaluation process would involve collecting data on user experiences, analyzing the system's impact on language development assessment, and incorporating suggestions for future enhancements.

Educators would likely report that the questionnaire is comprehensive and easy to administer. They would likely appreciate integrating qualitative and quantitative items, which provide a well-rounded view of each child's language abilities. The interactive and engaging format of the questionnaire, which may include multimedia elements like images and audio clips, may be particularly well-received. It would help maintain the children's interest and motivation throughout the assessment process. Teachers would likely note that the tasks are age-appropriate and capture the intended language skills effectively.

The digital platform would receive high praise for its user-friendly interface and efficient data management capabilities. Educators would likely find the platform intuitive and straightforward to navigate, making the data entry process smooth and error-free. The central dashboard, which provides an overview of the assessment activities and progress, would be highlighted as a handy feature. It would allow educators to quickly see the number of completed assessments, pending tasks, and summary statistics, facilitating efficient tracking and management of the assessment process.

The platform's built-in statistical tools and real-time data analysis capabilities may also be well-regarded. Educators and researchers would likely appreciate the ability to perform descriptive and inferential statistics directly within the platform, generating immediate reports and data visualizations. These tools would enable them to quickly interpret results, identify trends, and make informed decisions regarding language development interventions. The interactive charts and graphs would provide a clear and concise representation of the data, helping users to understand complex information at a glance.

Preliminary results from the initial implementation would likely indicate that the proposed questionnaire and assessment system effectively capture variations in language development related to early EFL instruction. The data collected would provide valuable

insights into the positive impacts of bilingual education on FLD, supporting the findings of the main study. For example, significant improvements would be observed in *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* among children who receive early foreign language instruction. These findings would be consistent with the theoretical framework and validate the effectiveness of the assessment tools.

User feedback would also highlight several areas for potential improvement. Some educators might suggest that additional training materials, such as step-by-step video tutorials, could enhance their understanding and proficiency using the digital platform. Others might recommend incorporating more interactive elements into the questionnaire to make it more engaging for young children. These suggestions would be considered for future updates and enhancements to the system.

Furthermore, the feedback would emphasize the importance of ongoing support and professional development. Educators would desire continued access to refresher training sessions, webinars, and workshops on advanced language assessment and data analysis. They would also value establishing a community of practice where they could share experiences, insights, and strategies for using the assessment system effectively.

Conclusion. Advising on developing a questionnaire and assessment system represents a significant advancement in the tools available for evaluating the impact of ESLA on FLD in young children. This proposed comprehensive system would be meticulously designed through a process that includes a thorough literature review, expert input, pilot testing, and rigorous reliability and validity assessments. Integrating an established tool like Logometro®, coupled with a sophisticated digital platform for data collection and analysis, would provide a robust and user-friendly solution for educators and researchers.

The literature review and framework establishment would ensure the questionnaire is grounded in solid theoretical and empirical foundations. By incorporating insights from established models of language development and bilingual education research, the proposed questionnaire would capture a broad range of linguistic and cognitive skills relevant to early language instruction. The item generation process, informed by expert feedback and pilot testing, would produce comprehensive and suitable questions for young children.

Integrating Logometro® into the assessment system would add a layer of standardized measures for *Phonological Awareness*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. This integration would ensure the system leverages proven methodologies for accurate and consistent evaluation. A digital platform facilitating seamless administration, data entry, and real-time analysis would enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the assessment process.

Training and implementation would ensure educators and researchers effectively utilize the proposed assessment tools. Comprehensive training sessions would provide detailed instructions on administering the questionnaire and Logometro® assessments, entering data into the digital platform, and analyzing results. Ongoing professional development and support, including webinars, workshops, and access to a dedicated technical support team, would ensure the system's successful deployment.

Evaluating the system's effectiveness through feedback from educators and researchers would highlight its practical utility and positive impact on language development assessment. A user-friendly interface, efficient data management capabilities, and powerful analysis tools would be particularly well-received. Preliminary results from initial implementations would likely demonstrate that the system effectively captures variations in language development related to early foreign language instruction, providing valuable insights that support the main study's findings.

Lastly, the positive feedback and suggestions for improvement from users would underscore the system's potential for continuous enhancement. The iterative process of collecting feedback and making refinements would ensure that the assessment tools remain relevant and effective in meeting the needs of educators and researchers. This approach would help maintain the system's utility in assessing the impacts of ELSA on FLD, informing educational practices, and supporting balanced bilingual growth in young children.

Summary Statement. In summary, the findings related to *Research Question 4* highlight the practical implications of this study for educational policy-making in Greece. The research provides a foundation for developing a reliable and valid assessment system to guide government officials in making informed decisions about early foreign language instruction. By leveraging the insights gained from this study, policymakers can design evidence-based educational strategies that optimize bilingual development and address the specific needs of young learners, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of language education programs in Greece.

Conclusion

The findings of this longitudinal study offer compelling evidence of the positive impact of ESLA on FLD among preschool children in Greece. A rigorous pre- and post-test assessment analysis showed significant improvements in *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* following the early EFL intervention. This study, which included a demographically balanced sample of 50 children, established a critical baseline in the pre-test phase, revealing moderate *Phonological Awareness* and *Receptive Language* alongside *Expressive Language* and reasonable *Literacy Skills*. These baseline results were instrumental in identifying specific areas for enhancement through ESLA.

Post-test assessments highlighted statistically significant advancements across all measured linguistic competencies. *Phonological Awareness* scores nearly doubled, *Receptive*

Language skills markedly improved, Expressive Language abilities notably enhanced, and *Literacy Skills* exhibited the most substantial progress. The comparative analysis between Group A (children who received EFL instruction) and Group B (children who did not) further reinforced the benefits of early bilingual education. Group A consistently outperformed Group B in *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*, underscoring the efficacy of EFL in fostering fundamental linguistic abilities, although differences in *Expressive Language* were not statistically significant.

Finally, the study also considered contrasting perspectives within the existing literature, acknowledging concerns such as the potential underdevelopment of L1 skills or cognitive overload in young learners. However, the results of this study suggest that these challenges can be effectively mitigated through well-structured EFL programs. The implications for educational policy are significant, advocating for integrating foreign language instruction into early childhood education curricula to promote balanced bilingual development. Policymakers are encouraged to use these findings to design language education strategies to enhance linguistic outcomes.

CHAPTER 5: IMPLICATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND CONCLUSIONS

This chapter synthesizes the research findings, explores their implications, and offers recommendations for practice and future research. The study aimed to examine the effects of ESLA on the FLD of young children in Greece, thus addressing a significant gap in the existing literature. This investigation was driven by the growing trend of introducing English early in educational systems and the ensuing debates about its effects on cognitive and linguistic development.

The study was guided by several key research questions, each seeking to understand how early EFL education influences various linguistic competencies in young children. The research adopted a *Concurrent Mixed Methods Approach*, which integrated quantitative and qualitative data from the Logometro® language assessment tool. This mixed-methods design provided a comprehensive understanding of the linguistic and cognitive development of the participants, offering both numerical data and rich, descriptive insights into their experiences and outcomes.

The quantitative component of the study employed the Logometro® assessment tool to evaluate multiple dimensions of language development, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. This tool allowed for precise measurement of linguistic competencies, enabling the identification of subtle differences between children exposed to early EFL education and those who were not. The qualitative component, on the other hand, involved detailed observations during the assessments. The qualitative data provided contextual depth, helping to interpret the quantitative findings and offering a nuanced understanding of the children's linguistic experiences.

The study encountered several limitations that must be acknowledged. Firstly, the sample size was relatively small, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Despite this, the sample was carefully selected to ensure diversity regarding socioeconomic background, parental involvement, and educational settings.

Secondly, the study's design could not entirely control for external factors such as the home literacy environment, parental attitudes towards bilingualism, and the availability of linguistic resources outside school. These factors might have influenced the children's language development, introducing variability that is difficult to account for entirely. Lastly, the longitudinal nature of language development means that the effects of early EFL education might only become fully apparent over a more extended period than the study covered.

In addition, ethical considerations were paramount in this research, given the involvement of young children. Informed consent was obtained from all parents or guardians, and assent was sought from the children where appropriate. The confidentiality of the participants was rigorously maintained, and all data were anonymized to protect their identities. The study also adhered to ethical guidelines for conducting research with minors, ensuring that the children's participation was voluntary and that they could withdraw at any time without any consequences.

Quintessentially, the study aimed to investigate whether early exposure to EFL has a positive, negative, or neutral impact on the FLD of young children. The study aimed to provide a holistic view of the potential effects by examining various linguistic domains informing educators, policymakers, and parents. The findings of this study have the potential to influence educational practices and policies, ensuring that they support optimal linguistic and cognitive development for children in multilingual contexts.

The methodological approach of combining quantitative and qualitative data ensured a robust and comprehensive analysis. Quantitative and qualitative data from the Logometro® provided objective measures of linguistic competencies. This approach allowed for triangulation, enhancing the validity and reliability of the findings.

As mentioned, the study's limitations include the small sample size, potential external influences, and the longitudinal nature of language development. These limitations should be considered when interpreting the results and drawing conclusions. However, the study's rigorous methodology and ethical considerations strengthen its contribution to the field.

The following sections will organize the discussion around each research question, drawing logical conclusions supported by the findings. The chapter will also explore how the results align with the conceptual framework and existing literature, discuss any unexpected or conflicting findings, and consider the implications for practice. By contextualizing the results within the broader academic discourse and considering their practical significance, this chapter aims to contribute meaningfully to early childhood education and second language acquisition.

The implications section will address each research question individually, ensuring the data directly support the conclusions drawn. This section will also discuss how the findings respond to the study problem and fit the study's purpose. Furthermore, it will examine the results' alignment with the conceptual framework and their contribution to the existing literature. Any potential limitations affecting the interpretation of the results will be highlighted, ensuring a balanced and critical analysis.

The recommendations for application will be grounded in the research findings and framed within the literature reviewed in Chapter 2. This section will provide practical suggestions for educators, policymakers, and other stakeholders to enhance young children's educational experiences and outcomes in multilingual settings.

The recommendations for future research will highlight areas where further investigation is needed. These recommendations will be based on the study's findings and the gaps identified in the literature review. By suggesting directions for future research, this section aims to encourage continued exploration of the complex interactions between early EFL education and FLD.

Finally, the conclusions section will encapsulate the study's take-home message, emphasizing the significance of the findings and their implications for theory, research, and practice. This section will place the results back into context, demonstrating how they respond

to the study problem, contribute to the existing body of knowledge, and offer practical insights into early childhood education.

Implications

The implications of this study are discussed concerning each research question, drawing logical conclusions supported by the research findings. This section examines how the results respond to the study problem, fit the study's purpose, align with the conceptual framework, demonstrate significance, and contribute to the existing literature. The discussion also addresses potential limitations that may have affected the interpretation of the results and provides explanations for unexpected or conflicting findings. Practical implications for educational practice and policy are explored, along with the study's contributions to the existing body of research.

Research Question 1

Is there any statistically significant differentiation in the performance of *Young Children* in their L1 prior to and upon the completion of the intervention of early foreign language teaching/learning?

Findings and Conclusions. The study investigated whether early foreign language teaching/learning intervention significantly affects the performance of young children in their first language (L1). The results indicated a statistically significant improvement in the L1 performance of children upon completion of the early EFL intervention (Uslu & Ersan, 2020). The Logometro® assessment tool revealed enhancements in various linguistic domains, including vocabulary, syntax, and phonological awareness (Kuo et al., 2016). These improvements were not isolated to one aspect of language but were evident across different dimensions of linguistic ability, suggesting a comprehensive benefit to FLD. The findings

imply that early exposure to a foreign language can positively impact children's first language skills, contrary to concerns that it might cause confusion or interference.

These conclusions are supported by the robust data obtained through the Logometro® assessments, which provided detailed insights into the children's linguistic capabilities before and after the intervention. The consistency of these findings across various linguistic domains underscores the reliability of the results. The study's rigorous methodological approach, including a well-validated assessment tool and a carefully controlled intervention period, strengthens the validity of these conclusions. Overall, the evidence strongly suggests that early EFL education does not detract from, but rather enhances, children's L1 performance, indicating a synergistic effect of bilingual education on language development.

Research Question 2

Do participants in Group B show any significant differences in the Logometro® test compared to Group A?

Findings and Conclusions. The findings revealed significant differences between the two groups, with Group A outperforming Group B across several linguistic domains, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive and Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. These results suggest that early exposure to a foreign language contributes to enhanced linguistic abilities, as evidenced by the higher scores of Group B in the Logometro® assessments.

The differences observed were statistically significant, indicating that the variation in performance between the two groups was not due to chance. Group B's superior performance across multiple language dimensions suggests that early EFL education positively impacts linguistic development. This conclusion is reinforced by the consistency of the results across various linguistic subtests, indicating that the benefits of early EFL education extend beyond isolated aspects of language to encompass a broader range of linguistic competencies.

Research Question 3

Do the results suggest that EFL acquisition adversely affects young children's L1(Greek) acquisition ability?

Findings and Conclusions. The results indicated that early EFL education had no adverse effect on Greek language development. On the contrary, children who participated in EFL programs demonstrated robust L1 development comparable to, and in some cases exceeding, that of children who did not receive early EFL instruction. The Logometro® assessment results showed that these children maintained high levels of proficiency in Greek, encompassing *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*.

Moreover, the data suggested that introducing a second language (EFL) might enhance certain aspects of FLD. Children exposed to EFL from an early age displayed greater metalinguistic awareness, which likely contributed to their strong performance in Greek. These findings are critical as they dispel concerns about the potential negative impacts of bilingual education on first language proficiency, providing empirical evidence that supports the viability of early bilingual education programs.

Literature Comparison

The results align with previous studies that have shown positive effects of bilingualism on cognitive and linguistic development. For example, Marian and Shook (2012) and Bialystok (2017) found that bilingual children often exhibit enhanced cognitive abilities and metalinguistic awareness compared to monolingual children. These studies support that bilingualism can confer cognitive benefits beyond mere linguistic skills, enhancing overall mental flexibility and problem-solving abilities. The significant improvement in L1 performance observed in this study can be explained by the cognitive benefits of bilingualism.

Furthermore, the results challenge the traditional view that early bilingual education might confuse children or hinder their FLD. The significant improvements in L1 performance provide a counter-narrative, suggesting that early exposure to a second language can enrich and enhance first language skills. This finding is crucial for educational policymakers and practitioners, as it provides empirical support for integrating bilingual programs in early childhood education. The results were expected, given the literature consistently highlighting bilingualism's cognitive and linguistic advantages.

Contextualization

The findings respond to the study problem by demonstrating that early foreign language teaching does not hinder but enhances L1 performance. This directly addresses concerns regarding the potential negative impacts of early EFL education on FLD, providing empirical evidence that counters such apprehensions. The results align with the study's purpose of exploring the effects of ESLA on L1 proficiency, confirming that such interventions can be beneficial rather than detrimental.

Furthermore, the findings address the study problem by demonstrating that early EFL acquisition does not adversely affect L1 (Greek) acquisition. This directly counters the hypothesis that introducing a second language early could interfere with developing the first language. The results align with the study's purpose of exploring the effects of early foreign language education on L1 proficiency, showing that such interventions can be implemented without fear of hindering FLD.

Moreover, these findings are consistent with the theoretical framework based on *Complex Dynamic Systems Theory* (CDST), which posits that language development is a dynamic and adaptive process influenced by multiple interacting factors. The observed improvements in L1 performance following the EFL intervention align with the CDST

perspective that language systems are interconnected and mutually reinforcing. This theoretical alignment strengthens the argument that early bilingual education can promote overall linguistic development by leveraging the cognitive and linguistic interconnections between multiple languages.

Practical Implications

For the applied degree, these findings suggest that early EFL programs can be beneficially integrated into early childhood education without fear of impeding FLD. Educators and policymakers can use this evidence to advocate for and design curricula incorporating EFL learning from a young age, ensuring children develop robust linguistic skills in both their first and second languages. This can lead to a more holistic approach to language education, promoting bilingualism as a valuable skill in a globalized world. Early EFL education can thus be positioned as an integral part of the educational framework, enhancing linguistic and cognitive outcomes for children (Bialystok, 2021).

This means schools and educational institutions should consider incorporating structured EFL programs into their early years curricula. These programs should be designed to complement and enhance first language instruction, using evidence-based methods to maximize language development in both languages. Additionally, teacher training programs should include components on bilingual education strategies, equipping educators with the skills and knowledge to effectively implement EFL programs (Gkaintartzi et al., 2024). By fostering a bilingual environment from an early age, educational systems can better prepare children for the cognitive and linguistic demands of the modern world.

Extended Implications

Beyond the immediate educational context, these findings have broader implications for educational policy and societal attitudes toward bilingualism. They suggest that early

bilingual education can be critical in preparing children for the globalized world, where multilingualism is increasingly valued. In a world where cultural and linguistic diversity is the norm, bilingual individuals often have a competitive advantage in various professional and social contexts. This research supports the argument for promoting bilingual education to foster linguistic skills, cultural competence, and global awareness (Bialystok et al., 2012; Ramírez-Esparza et al., 2020).

Furthermore, these results encourage reevaluating traditional monolingual educational approaches, promoting a more inclusive and comprehensive strategy that embraces linguistic diversity. Policymakers should consider the benefits of bilingualism when designing educational policies and curricula, moving towards models that integrate multiple languages from an early age. This shift could help dismantle linguistic barriers and promote more inclusive and equitable educational practices, reflecting the diverse linguistic landscape of contemporary societies. By valuing and promoting bilingualism, educational systems can contribute to developing more adaptable, culturally aware, and linguistically proficient individuals (Nwachukwu et al., 2025).

Lastly, these results suggest that future research should continue to explore the benefits of early bilingual education, particularly in diverse linguistic and cultural contexts. Researchers can develop more targeted and effective educational interventions by understanding how early EFL education impacts children from different backgrounds. Additionally, longitudinal studies that track the long-term effects of early EFL education can provide valuable insights into how early language learning experiences shape children's cognitive and linguistic development over time (Nguyen et al., 2023).

Potential Limitations

One limitation in interpreting these results is the potential influence of external factors such as parental involvement, home literacy environment, and socio-economic status. These factors, which were not fully controlled in the study, could have contributed to the observed improvements in L1 performance. For instance, children from more literate or affluent households might have additional resources and support that enhance their language development independent of the EFL intervention. Such variables introduce a degree of variability that must be acknowledged when considering the study's findings.

Additionally, the small sample size limits the generalizability of the results. While the findings are compelling, they may not represent all children exposed to early EFL education. Future research should aim to include more extensive and diverse samples to confirm these results and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of early EFL education on L1 performance. Moreover, longitudinal studies would be beneficial in observing the long-term impacts of early EFL education, addressing whether the initial improvements in L1 performance are sustained over time.

Recommendations for Future Research

The purpose of this section is to outline key areas for future research that can build on the findings of this study and address gaps in the current understanding of early EFL education. The recommendations are grounded in the empirical data obtained from this study and framed within the existing literature reviewed in Chapter 2. By identifying specific areas for further investigation, this section aims to guide researchers in exploring early EFL education's long-term and broader impacts, thereby contributing to developing effective and equitable language learning practices.

The study demonstrated that early EFL education significantly positively affects children's linguistic and cognitive development. However, the findings also highlighted several areas where further research is needed to fully understand the implications and optimize the implementation of EFL programs. These include the long-term effects of early EFL education, the impact of socio-economic factors, the cognitive and academic benefits, the role of parental involvement, the integration of technology, and the development of inclusive practices for diverse learners. Addressing these areas through rigorous research can provide deeper insights and inform more effective educational strategies.

Future research should aim to expand the evidence base for early EFL education by exploring these areas in greater depth. This involves examining the immediate outcomes of EFL programs and investigating their long-term impacts on children's language development and academic achievement. Additionally, comparative studies across different socio-economic contexts can shed light on the challenges and opportunities faced by diverse learner populations, helping to ensure that EFL education is accessible and beneficial for all students. Integrating cognitive and academic development research, parental involvement, technological advancements, and inclusive practices is critical for developing comprehensive and effective EFL education strategies (Hajar, 2019; Dong & Chow, 2022; Lu et al., 2022).

In the following sections, each recommendation for future research will be discussed in detail. The discussion will include a summary of relevant research findings, references to supporting literature, suggested research questions, methodological approaches, and the potential outcomes of these studies. This section aims to contribute to the continuous improvement of early EFL education and the broader field of bilingual education by providing a clear and detailed roadmap for future research. This comprehensive approach ensures that

future research is theoretically sound, practically relevant, and impactful for educators, policymakers, and learners.

Recommendation 1: Longitudinal Studies on Long-Term Effects of Early EFL Education

The study's findings highlighted significant immediate benefits of early EFL education on children's linguistic development, particularly in enhancing their *Phonetical Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. However, while these short-term gains are promising, there is a need to understand the long-term effects of early EFL education. The current study provided a snapshot of linguistic improvements over a relatively short period but did not track these developments over an extended timeframe. This gap in the research underscores the necessity for longitudinal studies that follow children over several years to assess the sustained impact of early EFL education on both their first language and second language development (Aktan-Erciyes, 2020; Jaekel et al., 2022; Teng, 2025).

The importance of longitudinal studies in educational research is well-documented. Longitudinal studies allow researchers to observe the progression and potential shifts in linguistic competencies, cognitive abilities, and academic achievements, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the enduring impacts of bilingual education. These studies can reveal patterns and trends not evident in cross-sectional research, offering more profound insights into how early EFL education influences language development throughout childhood and adolescence.

Future longitudinal studies should aim to address several critical research questions. How do the initial benefits of early EFL education observed in *Phonetical Awareness*, *Receptive* and *Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills* evolve? What are the long-term impacts of ESLA on FLD and maintenance? How does early EFL education influence cognitive development and academic achievement in the long term? Are there any differences in the

long-term outcomes of early EFL education based on socioeconomic status, gender, or other demographic variables? These questions are essential for understanding the full scope of early EFL education's impact.

To conduct effective longitudinal research, several methodological approaches should be employed. Researchers should design studies that follow a cohort of children from early childhood through at least late adolescence, allowing for the observation of developmental trajectories and potential changes in language proficiency. A mixed-methods approach combining quantitative assessments, such as standardized language tests and cognitive measures, with qualitative methods, such as interviews and observational studies, can provide a holistic view of language development. Regular data collection intervals, such as annually or biennially, are crucial to capture continuous progress and identify critical periods of language development. Employing robust data analysis techniques like growth curves and structural equation modeling can help understand the complex relationships between early EFL education and long-term outcomes. Ensuring a representative sample that includes diverse socioeconomic backgrounds and educational settings is also crucial for the generalizability of the findings.

Longitudinal studies on the long-term effects of early EFL education are expected to yield several essential outcomes. These studies can provide empirical evidence on the sustainability of the linguistic gains observed in early childhood, demonstrating whether early advantages persist, diminish, or even expand over time. Understanding the long-term impact on first language development can address concerns about the potential adverse effects of early bilingual education on L1 maintenance and proficiency. These studies can also shed light on early EFL education's broader cognitive and academic benefits, such as enhanced problem-solving skills, better academic performance, and greater cognitive flexibility.

Furthermore, longitudinal research can inform educational policy and practice by identifying key factors contributing to successful long-term bilingual education. This can help develop targeted interventions and support mechanisms that ensure all children, regardless of their background, can benefit from early EFL programs. Longitudinal studies can guide educators and policymakers in designing effective and sustainable EFL education strategies by providing a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the long-term impacts.

Recommendation 2: Comparative Studies Across Different Socio-Economic Contexts

Socio-economic factors may significantly influence the effectiveness of early EFL education programs. Children from different socio-economic backgrounds displayed varying levels of language development, suggesting that access to resources, parental involvement, and educational support play crucial roles in language acquisition (Trebits et al., 2022). These disparities highlight the need for comparative studies that explore how socioeconomic contexts affect the outcomes of EFL education (Terasawa, 2024). Understanding these differences can inform the design of more equitable and effective language programs that cater to the diverse needs of learners.

Research on socioeconomic influences on language learning underscores the importance of addressing these disparities. These initial disadvantages can have long-term effects on academic achievement and cognitive development. Comparative studies examining socioeconomic factors' impact on EFL education can provide insights into how these disparities manifest and what strategies can mitigate their effects.

Future comparative studies should aim to address several critical research questions. For example, how do socio-economic factors influence the outcomes of early EFL education programs? What are the differences in language development between children from high and low socio-economic backgrounds who participate in EFL programs? How do variations in

parental involvement, access to resources, and educational support affect the effectiveness of EFL education? What interventions can be implemented to support children from disadvantaged backgrounds and ensure equitable access to high-quality EFL education? These questions are essential for understanding and addressing the impact of socioeconomic disparities on language learning.

Several methodological approaches should be employed to conduct practical comparative studies. Researchers should design studies that include diverse samples representing various socio-economic backgrounds. This diversity can provide a comprehensive view of how socioeconomic factors influence EFL education outcomes. A mixed-methods approach combining quantitative assessments with qualitative data can offer a nuanced understanding of different socio-economic groups' experiences and challenges. Regular data collection intervals can help track progress and identify critical factors influencing language development. Additionally, employing advanced data analysis techniques, such as multivariate analysis and hierarchical linear modeling, can help identify the socioeconomic variables that most significantly impact EFL education outcomes.

Comparative studies across different socio-economic contexts are expected to yield several essential outcomes. These studies can identify the key factors contributing to successful language development in diverse socio-economic settings, providing a basis for targeted interventions. Understanding the specific challenges children from lower socio-economic backgrounds face can inform the design of support mechanisms and resources that address these challenges. These studies can also highlight best practices and successful strategies used in different socio-economic contexts, offering insights that can be applied to improve EFL education for all students.

Moreover, comparative research can inform educational policy and practice by providing evidence on the effectiveness of different approaches in varied socio-economic contexts. This evidence can guide the allocation of resources and the development of policies that promote equity in education. Educators and policymakers can create more inclusive and supportive learning environments that foster language development and academic success for all students by identifying and addressing the specific needs of children from diverse backgrounds.

Recommendation 3: Impact of EFL Education on Cognitive and Academic Development

The study's findings demonstrated that early EFL education positively impacts children's cognitive and academic development. Children who participated in EFL programs exhibited enhanced cognitive abilities, such as improved problem-solving skills, greater cognitive flexibility, and increased metalinguistic awareness. These cognitive benefits will likely contribute to better academic performance in the long run. However, the full extent of these cognitive and academic benefits requires further investigation. More targeted research is necessary to comprehensively understand how early EFL education influences cognitive and academic outcomes (Giovannoli et al., 2020).

The literature on bilingualism supports that learning a second language can provide cognitive advantages. Studies suggest that the cognitive benefits of bilingualism extend beyond language skills to broader cognitive domains (Gillet et al., 2021). The findings from this study align with this body of research, highlighting the need for further exploration of the cognitive and academic impacts of early EFL education.

Future research should address several critical questions regarding the impact of EFL education on cognitive and academic development. For example, how does early EFL education influence specific cognitive abilities, such as executive function, working memory,

and attentional control? What are the long-term academic benefits of early EFL education, and how do they manifest in different subjects? Are there differences in cognitive and academic outcomes between children who receive early EFL education and those who do not? How do these outcomes vary across different educational settings and demographic groups? These questions are essential for understanding the broader implications of early EFL education on children's cognitive and academic trajectories.

To investigate these questions, researchers should employ a variety of methodological approaches. Longitudinal studies that track cognitive and academic development over time can provide valuable insights into the lasting effects of early EFL education. Experimental designs that compare children who receive EFL education with control groups can help isolate the specific impacts of EFL instruction. A mixed-methods approach that combines quantitative assessments of cognitive abilities and academic performance with qualitative data from interviews and observations can offer a comprehensive understanding of EFL education's cognitive and academic benefits. Additionally, employing advanced statistical techniques, such as structural equation modeling and multilevel modeling, can help identify the relationships between EFL education, cognitive development, and academic outcomes.

Research on early EFL education's cognitive and academic impacts is expected to yield several significant outcomes. First, these studies can provide empirical evidence on how EFL education enhances cognitive abilities, contributing to a deeper understanding of the cognitive benefits of bilingualism. Second, understanding the academic benefits of early EFL education can inform educational practices and policies, helping to design curricula that integrate language learning with cognitive development. Third, identifying differences in cognitive and academic outcomes across various demographic groups can guide the development of targeted interventions that address the specific needs of diverse learner populations.

Moreover, research on early EFL education's cognitive and academic impacts can contribute to broader educational goals. By demonstrating the cognitive advantages of bilingualism, these studies can support the case for integrating language learning into early childhood education. This can lead to more holistic educational approaches emphasizing cognitive and linguistic development, preparing children for the cognitive demands of a multilingual and interconnected world. Additionally, understanding the academic benefits of EFL education can help justify allocating resources and support for bilingual programs, ensuring that all children have access to high-quality language education.

Dissertation Conclusion

This dissertation explored the effects of ESLA on the FLD of young children in Greece. The study addressed a significant gap in the literature regarding early EFL education and its impact on first language proficiency. The findings indicate that early EFL education can positively influence young children's L1 and L2 development, offering valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and researchers.

Several key research questions guided the study; each focused on understanding how early EFL education influences various linguistic competencies in young children. The *Concurrent Mixed Methods Approach* employed in this research integrated quantitative and qualitative data from the Logometro® language assessment tool. This approach provided a comprehensive understanding of the linguistic and cognitive development of the participants, offering both numerical data and rich descriptive insights into their experiences and outcomes.

The quantitative component of the study used the Logometro® assessment tool to evaluate multiple dimensions of language development, including *Phonological Awareness*, *Receptive and Expressive Language*, and *Literacy Skills*. The qualitative component involved

detailed observations of the assessments, providing contextual depth to interpret the quantitative findings.

The study's findings demonstrated significant improvements in the L1 performance of children upon the completion of the early EFL intervention. This enhancement was evident across various linguistic domains, suggesting a comprehensive benefit to first language development. Additionally, the results showed that Group A participants who received early EFL education outperformed Group B in the Logometro® test, further underscoring the positive impact of early EFL exposure.

These findings align with the theoretical framework based on CDST, which posits that language development is a dynamic and adaptive process influenced by multiple interacting factors. The improvements in L1 performance following the EFL intervention align with the CDST perspective that language systems are interconnected and mutually reinforcing. The results also challenge traditional views that early bilingual education might hinder first language development, providing empirical support for bilingualism's cognitive and linguistic benefits.

The study also identified several potential limitations, such as the small sample size and the potential influence of external factors like the home literacy environment and parental involvement. These limitations suggest further research with more extensive and diverse samples to confirm these findings and explore their long-term implications.

Based on the findings, several key recommendations were made. These include integrating EFL programs in early childhood education, focusing on high-quality EFL instruction, promoting parental involvement, addressing potential challenges and barriers, and ensuring continuous monitoring and evaluation. These recommendations aim to maximize the

benefits of EFL programs, ensuring they support optimal linguistic and cognitive development for children in multilingual contexts.

Furthermore, the dissertation outlined recommendations for future research, emphasizing the need for longitudinal studies to understand the long-term effects of early EFL education, comparative studies across different socio-economic contexts, and research on the cognitive and academic impacts of EFL education. The role of parental involvement and technology integration in EFL education were also highlighted as critical areas for further investigation.

In conclusion, this dissertation provides robust evidence that early EFL education can enhance linguistic and cognitive development without adversely affecting first language proficiency. The findings and recommendations offer valuable insights for improving early childhood education practices and policies, supporting the integration of bilingual programs that foster linguistic diversity and cognitive growth. Future research can enhance language learning practices and the broader field of bilingual education by addressing the outlined research gaps and exploring the complex interactions between early EFL education and first language development.

REFERENCES

- Abbuhl, R. (2021). Interactionist Approach to Corrective Feedback in Second Language Acquisition. In H. Nassaji & E. Kartchava (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Corrective Feedback in Second Language Learning and Teaching* (pp. 44–64). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108589789.003>
- Abdullaev, Z. K. (2021). Second Language Learning: Issues and Implications. *Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research*, 10(4), 824–827. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2278-4853.2021.00312.8>
- Abukhattala, I. (2013). Krashen’s Five Proposals on Language Learning: Are They Valid in Libyan EFL Classes. *English Language Teaching*, 6(1), 128–131. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v6n1p128>
- Abulizi, X., Pryor, L., Michel, G., Melchior, M., & van der Waerden, J. (2017). Temperament in infancy and behavioral and emotional problems at age 5.5: The EDEN mother-child cohort. *PLoS ONE*, 12(2), e0171971. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171971>
- Abutalebi, J., & Clahsen, H. (2018). Critical periods for language acquisition: New insights with particular reference to bilingualism research. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 21(5), 883–885. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728918001025>
- Ahmad, S., Ch, A. H., Batool, A., Sittar, K., & Malik, M. (2016). Play and Cognitive Development: Formal Operational Perspective of Piaget’s Theory. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(28), 72–79. <https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEP/article/view/33557>
- Ahmed, I., & Ishtiaq, S. (2021). Reliability and validity: Importance in Medical Research. *JPMA. The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association*, 71(10), 2401–2406. <https://www.archive.jpma.org.pk/article-details/10905>

- Ahmed, M., Aftab, M., & Yaqoob, H. (2015). Students' Motivation toward English Language Learning at Undergraduate Level. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 6(3), 230–238.
- Akbulut, Y. (2007). Bilingual Acquisition and Cognitive Development in Early Childhood: Challenges to the Research Paradigm. *Elementary Education Online*, 6(3), Article 3.
- Akhtar, N., & Gernsbacher, M. A. (2007). Joint Attention and Vocabulary Development: A Critical Look. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 1(3), 195–207. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-818X.2007.00014.x>
- Akpan, J. P., & Beard, L. A. (2016). Using Constructivist Teaching Strategies to Enhance Academic Outcomes of Students with Special Needs. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 4(2), 392–398. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2016.040211>
- Aktan-Erciyes, A. (2020). Longitudinal effects of second language on first language narrative skills and executive functions of preschool children. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 16(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.17263/jlls.712638>
- Aktan-Erciyes, A., & Aksu-Koç, A. (2016). Relation to first language skills and executive functions: Second language exposure in the preschool. In B. Haznedar & F. N. Ketrez (Eds.), *The Acquisition of Turkish in Childhood* (pp. 389–412). John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/tilar.20.17akt>
- Al Khateeb, A. A. (2014). Hypothesis of Interaction: Reflections on its Theoretical and Practical Contributions for Second Language Acquisition (SLA). *Studies in English Language Teaching*, 2(3), 294–305. <https://doi.org/10.22158/selt.v2n3p294>
- Al Otaiba, S., Puranik, C., Zilkowski, R., & Curran, T. (2009). Effectiveness of Early Phonological Awareness Interventions for Students with Speech or Language

- Impairments. *The Journal of Special Education*, 43(2), 107–128.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466908314869>
- Alanazi, A. (2016). A Critical Review of Constructivist Theory and the Emergence of Constructionism. *American Research Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences (ARJHSS)*, 2, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.21694/2378-7031.16018>
- Aldosari, A., & Alsultan, M. (2017). The Influence of Early Bilingual Education (English) on the First Language (Arabic) Literacy Skills in the Second Grade of Elementary School: Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(5), 135.
- Alduais, A., Alfadda, H., Baraja'a, D., & Allegretta, S. (2022). Psycholinguistics: Analysis of Knowledge Domains on Children's Language Acquisition, Production, Comprehension, and Dissolution. *Children*, 9(10), 1–39.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/children9101471>
- Alexiou, T. (2015). Vocabulary Uptake from Peppa Pig: A Case Study of Preschool EFL Learners in Greece. In C. Gitsaki & T. Alexiou (Eds.), *Current Issues in Second/Foreign Language Teaching and Teacher Development: Research and Practice* (pp. 285–301). Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Alexiou, T. (2020). Introducing EFL in Preschools: Facts and Fictions. In W. Zoghbor & T. Alexiou (Eds.), *Advancing English Language Education* (pp. 61–74). Zayed University Press.
- Alexiou, T. (2023). Introducing English in Preschool Education in Greece: An Overview of the EAN Project. *The Asian Conference on Education 2022: Official Conference Proceedings*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.22492/issn.2186-5892.2023.77>

- Alexiou, T., Penderi, E., & Serafeim, M. (2021). The Pilot Phase of the Introduction of English in Greek State Pre-primary Schools: Portraying Stakeholders' Perceptions. *Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 34. <https://doi.org/10.26262/jal.v0i34.8517>
- Alexiou, T., Penderi, E., & Serafeim, M. (2022). Investigating Teachers' and Parents' Perceptions on the Introduction of English in Greek Preschools. *Monograph*, 5, 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.30827/portalin.vi.26544>
- Alexiou, T., & Penderi, E. V. (2022). Introducing the Main Features of the Ean Project in Greece. In B. Cortina-Pérez, A. Andúgar, A. Álvarez-Cofiño, S. Corral, N. M. León, & A. Otto (Eds.), *Addressing Future Challenges in Early Language Learning and Multilingual Education* (pp. 213–222). Dykinson. <https://www.dykinson.com/libros/addressing-future-challenges-in-early-language-learning-and-multilingual-education/9788411228022/>
- Al-Ghazo, A. (2023). The Impact of Note-Taking Strategy on EFL Learners' Listening Comprehension. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 13(5), Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1305.06>
- Alhamdan, A. A., Murphy, M. J., & Crewther, S. G. (2023). Visual Motor Reaction Times Predict Receptive and Expressive Language Development in Early School-Age Children. *Brain Sciences*, 13(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13060965>
- AlHammadi, F. S. (2016). Psycholinguistic Determinants of Immigrant Second Language Acquisition. *Lingua*, 179, 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2016.03.001>
- Alharbi, J. M. (2023). Insight Into the Role of Interaction in Language Acquisition: Vygotsky's Interactionist Theory of Language. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 14(2), 281–294. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol14no2.20>

- Al-Harbi, S. S. (2020). Language development and acquisition in early childhood. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 14(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v14i1.14209>
- Ali, A. M. (2023). The Effect of Bilingualism on Cognitive Development in Children Review Article. *ALUSTATH JOURNAL FOR HUMAN AND SOCIAL SCIENCES*, 62(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.36473/ujhss.v62i4.2278>
- Ali, S., Kazemian, B., & Mahar, I. H. (2015). The Importance of Culture in Second and Foreign Language Learning. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 15(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.21093/di.v15i1.99>
- Al-Jarf, R. (2023). Digital Reading among Children in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, 5(3), 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2023.5.3.4>
- Aljumah, F. H. (2020). Second Language Acquisition: A Framework and Historical Background on Its Research. *English Language Teaching*, 13(8), 200–207. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v13n8p200>
- Allal-Sumoto, T. K., Miyoshi, K., & Mizuhara, H. (2023). The effect of productive vocabulary knowledge on second language comprehension. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1049885>
- Al-Shammari, A. H. (2021). Authentic Materials in Classroom: A Case Study on Students of Faculty of Social Sciences – Kuwait University. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(3), 1243–1257. <https://doi.org/10.52462/jlls.88>
- Altarriba, J., & Basnight-Brown, D. (2022). The Psychology of Communication: The Interplay Between Language and Culture Through Time. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 53(7–8), 860–874. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220221221114046>

- Ambridge, B., Kidd, E., Rowland, C. F., & Theakston, A. L. (2015). The ubiquity of frequency effects in first language acquisition. *Journal of Child Language*, 42(2), 239–273. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S030500091400049X>
- Anastasiadou, A., & Griva, E. (2018). Identifying Teachers' Views on the Impact of Early Foreign Language Learning on Children's Linguistic Development. *Multilingual Academic Journal of Education and Social Sciences*, 6(1), 66–85. <https://doi.org/10.46886/MAJESS/v6-i1/7341>
- Andhale, B. S. (2022). Effect of Constructivist Approach Enhancing Academic Achievement in History among 7th Standard Students. *Educational Resurgence Journal*, 5(1), 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6907733>
- Andringa, S., & Rebuschat, P. (2015). New Directions in the Study of Implicit and Explicit Learning: An Introduction. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 37(2), 185–196. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S027226311500008X>
- Anthony, J. L., & Francis, D. J. (2005). Development of Phonological Awareness. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 14(5), 255–259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00376.x>
- Antoniou, F., Ralli, A. M., Mouzaki, A., Diamanti, V., & Papaioannou, S. (2022). Logometro®: The psychometric properties of a norm-referenced digital battery for language assessment of Greek-speaking 4–7 years old children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.900600>
- Ardila, A., & Rosselli, M. (2022). Neuroscience of Language Development. In S. D. Sala (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Behavioral Neuroscience* (2nd ed., Vol. 3, pp. 378–385). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819641-0.00017-7>

- Argyriadis, A., & Argyriadi, A. (2022). Linguistic Diversity in Greek Education: Bilingualism and the Utilization of the Mother Language for the Development of an Inclusive Educational Environment. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies*, 30(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.52155/ijpsat.v30.2.3970>
- Ariza, E. N., & Hancock, S. (2003). Second Language Acquisition Theories as a Framework for Creating Distance Learning Courses. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 4(2), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v4i2.142>
- Attig, M., & Weinert, S. (2020). What Impacts Early Language Skills? Effects of Social Disparities and Different Process Characteristics of the Home Learning Environment in the First 2 Years. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.557751>
- Ayaz, M. F., & Şekerci, H. (2015). The Effects of the Constructivist Learning Approach on Student's Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analysis Study. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 14(4), 143–156.
- Azi, Y. (2020). Scaffolding and the Teaching of Writing Within ZPD: Doing Scaffolded Writing (A Short Case Study). *International Journal of Linguistics*, 12(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v12i3.14044>
- Azieb, S. (2021). The Critical Period Hypothesis in Second Language Acquisition: A Review of the Literature. *International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Studies*, 8(4), 20–26. <https://doi.org/10.22259/2694-6296.0804001>
- Azizi, A., Azizi, N., Romano, D., Sajadi, S. A., & Bagh, A. A. (2024). Mandatory use of only Persian in Iranian schools. *Discover Education*, 3(1), 269. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00276-7>

- Babakr, Z., Mohamedamin, P., & Kakamad, K. (2019). *Piaget's Cognitive Developmental Theory: Critical Review* (SSRN Scholarly Paper 3437574). <https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3437574>
- Babayiğit, S., Roulstone, S., & Wren, Y. (2021). Linguistic comprehension and narrative skills predict reading ability: A 9-year longitudinal study. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91(1), 148–168. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12353>
- Babu, K. S., & Rao, C. S. (2019). Prospects of Using Authentic Material in Teaching Vocabulary at Tertiary Level. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, 6(1), 604–607.
- Bahrani, T., Tam, S. S., & Zuraidah, M. D. (2014). Authentic Language Input Through Audiovisual Technology and Second Language Acquisition. *SAGE Open*, 4(3), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014550611>
- Baihaqi, A. (2020). Over-generalization, Under-generalization, and No-generalization on a Child's Language Acquisition. *Journal of English Language Studies*, 5(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.30870/jels.v5i2.7655>
- Bailey, F., & Fahad, A. K. (2021). Krashen Revisited: Case Study of the Role of Input, Motivation and Identity in Second Language Learning. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)*, 12(2), 540–550. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol12no2.36>
- Banaruee, H., Khatin-Zadeh, O., & Farsani, D. (2023). The challenge of psychological processes in language acquisition: A systematic review. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 10(1), 2157961. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2022.2157961>
- Banli, S. (2018). Overextension of early words in comprehension and production. *Gaziantep University Journal of Social Sciences*, 17(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.21547/jss.337975>

- Bar, L., & Shaul, S. (2021). Early Numeracy and Literacy Skills Among Monolingual and Bilingual Kindergarten Children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.732569>
- Barac, R., Bialystok, E., Castro, D. C., & Sanchez, M. (2014). The Cognitive Development of Young Dual Language Learners: A Critical Review. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 29(4), 699–714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2014.02.003>
- Barbieri, M. (2020). The semantic theory of language. *Biosystems*, 190, 104100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2020.104100>
- Beatty-Martínez, A. L., Navarro-Torres, C. A., Dussias, P. E., Bajo, M. T., Guzzardo Tamargo, R. E., & Kroll, J. F. (2020). Interactional context mediates the consequences of bilingualism for language and cognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 46(6), 1022–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000770>
- Becker, M., & Ud-Deen, K. (2020). *Language Acquisition and Development: A Generative Introduction* (3rd ed.). United States of America.
- Beckman, M. E., & Edwards, J. (2000). The Ontogeny of Phonological Categories and the Primacy of Lexical Learning in Linguistic Development. *Child Development*, 71(1), 40–249. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00139>
- Behme, C., & Deacon, S. H. (2008). Language learning in infancy: Does the empirical evidence support a domain specific language acquisition device? *Philosophical Psychology*, 21(5), 641–671. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515080802412321>
- Behrens, H. (2021). Constructivist Approaches to First Language Acquisition. *Journal of Child Language*, 48(5), 959–983. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000921000556>

- Beresova, J. (2015). Authentic Materials – Enhancing Language Acquisition and Cultural Awareness. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 192, 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.028>
- Berggren, R., Nilsson, J., Brehmer, Y., Schmiedek, F., & Lövdén, M. (2020). Foreign Language Learning in Older Age Does not Improve Memory or Intelligence: Evidence From a Randomized Controlled Study. *Psychology and Aging*, 35(2), 212–219. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000439>
- Berken, J. A., Chai, X., Chen, J.-K., Gracco, V. L., & Klein, D. (2016). Effects of Early and Late Bilingualism on Resting-State Functional Connectivity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(4), 1165–1172. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1960-15.2016>
- Bialystok, E. (2001). *Bilingualism in development: Language, literacy, and cognition*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bialystok, E. (2012). The Impact of Bilingualism on Language and Literacy Development. In *The Handbook of Bilingualism and Multilingualism* (pp. 624–648). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118332382.ch25>
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I. M., & Luk, G. (2012). Bilingualism: Consequences for mind and brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(4), 240–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.03.001>
- Bialystok, E. (2015). Bilingualism and the Development of Executive Function: The Role of Attention. *Child Development Perspectives*, 9(2), 117–121. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12116>
- Bialystok, E. (2017). The bilingual adaptation: How minds accommodate experience. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(3), 233–262. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000099>

- Bialystok, E. (2018). Bilingual education for young children: Review of the effects and consequences. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 21(6), 666–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2016.1203859>
- Bialystok, E. (2020). Bilingual Effects on Cognition in Children. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.962>
- Bialystok, E. (2021). Bilingualism: Pathway to Cognitive Reserve. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 25(5), 355–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.02.003>
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I., Green, D. W., & Gollan, T. H. (2009). Bilingual Minds. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 10(3), 89–129. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100610387084>
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I., & Luk, G. (2012). Bilingualism: Consequences for Mind and Brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(4), 240–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.03.001>
- Biliás-Lolis, E., & Papadopoulos, I. (2024). *Compassionate educational practices in language learning: Communication, Engagement, and Global Mindedness*. DiSIGMA Publications. <https://disigma.gr/products/compassionate-educational-practices-in-language-learning/>
- Bilson, S., Yoshida, H., Tran, C. D., Woods, E. A., & Hills, T. T. (2015). Semantic Facilitation in Bilingual First Language Acquisition. *Cognition*, 140, 122–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2015.03.013>
- Birdsong, D. (2018). Plasticity, Variability and Age in Second Language Acquisition and Bilingualism. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00081>
- Birgin, O., & Baki, A. (2007). The Use of Portfolio to Assess Student's Performance. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(2), Article 2.

- Bishop, D. V. M. (2017). Why is it so hard to reach agreement on terminology? The case of developmental language disorder (DLD). *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*, 52(6), 671–680. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12335>
- Blake, R. J. (2008). *Brave New Digital Classroom: Technology and Foreign Language Learning*. United States of America: Georgetown University Press.
- Blom, E., Boerma, T., Bosma, E., Cornips, L., & Everaert, E. (2017). Cognitive Advantages of Bilingual Children in Different Sociolinguistic Contexts. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00552>
- Blumenfeld, H. K., Quinzon, S. J., Alsol, C., & Riera, S. A. (2017). Predictors of Successful Learning in Multilingual Older Adults Acquiring a Majority Language. *Frontiers in Communication*, 2(23), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2017.00023>
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (1998). Scaffolding Emergent Writing in the Zone of Proximal Development. *Literacy, Teaching and Learning*, 3(2), 1–18. <https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/scaffolding-emergent-writing-zone-proximal/docview/195553651/se-2>
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2015). Vygotskian and Post-Vygotskian Views on Children's Play. *American Journal of Play*, 7(3), 371–388.
- Bot, K., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (2011). Researching Second Language Development from a Dynamic Systems Theory Perspective. In M. H. Verspoor, K. Bot, & W. Lowie (Eds.), *A Dynamic Approach to Second Language Development* (pp. 5–24). John Benjamins Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.1075/llt.29.01deb>
- Broad, D. (2020). Literature Review of Theories of Second Language Acquisition. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, 7(1), Article 1. <https://www.jallr.com/index.php/JALLR/article/view/1080>

- Brooks, F. B., & Donato, R. (1994). Vygotskian Approaches to Understanding Foreign Language Learner Discourse during Communicative Tasks. *Hispania*, 77(2), 262–274. <https://doi.org/10.2307/344508>
- Brown, R. (1958). *Words and Things: An Introduction to Language*. United States of America: The Free Press.
- Bruner, J. S. (1983). *Child's Talk: Learning to Use Language*. Oxford University Press.
- Brydges, M., & Batt, A. M. (2023). Untangling the web: The need for theory, theoretical frameworks, and conceptual frameworks in paramedic research. *Paramedicine*, 20(4), 89–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/27536386231177348>
- Butler, Y. G. (2015). English language education among young learners in East Asia: A review of current research (2004–2014). *Language Teaching*, 48(3), 303–342. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444815000105>
- Byers-Heinlein, K., Gonzalez-Barrero, A. M., Schott, E., & Killam, H. (2024). Sometimes larger, sometimes smaller: Measuring vocabulary in monolingual and bilingual infants and toddlers. *First Language*, 44(1), 74–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01427237231204167>
- Byers-Heinlein, K., & Lew-Williams, C. (2013). Bilingualism in the Early Years: What the Science Says. *LEARNing Landscapes*, 7(1), 95–112.
- Byun, K., Chu, H., Kim, M., Park, I., Kim, S., & Jung, J. (2011). English-medium teaching in Korean higher education: Policy debates and reality. *Higher Education*, 62(4), 431–449. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-010-9397-4>
- Can, T. (2009). Learning and Teaching Languages Online: A Constructivist Approach. *Novitas-ROYAL (Research on Youth and Language)*, 3(1), Article 1.

- Canale, R. (2022). The importance of statistical learning. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(2), 68–68. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-021-00010-2>
- Cao, P., & Cuong, H. (2016). National policies for teaching English in Vietnamese educational contexts. *THAITESOL JOURNAL*, 29(2), 1–17.
- Carpenter, M., Nagell, K., & Tomasello, M. (1998). Social cognition, joint attention, and communicative competence from 9 to 15 months of age. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 63(4), i–vi, 1–143.
- Casey, L. B., & Bicar, D. F. (2009). Language Development In Children With Language Disorders: An Introduction To Skinner’s Verbal Behavior And The Techniques For Initial Language Acquisition. *I-Manager’s Journal on Educational Psychology (JPSY)*, 2(3), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.26634/jpsy.2.3.294>
- Casillas, M., Bobb, S. C., & Clark, E. V. (2016). Turn-taking, timing, and planning in early language acquisition. *Journal of Child Language*, 43(6), 1310–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000915000689>
- Castles, A., Rastle, K., & Nation, K. (2018). Ending the Reading Wars: Reading Acquisition From Novice to Expert. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 19(1), 5–51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100618772271>
- Chang, C.-W., Pearman, C., & Farha, N. (2012). Second Language Acquisition: Implications of Web 2.0 and Beyond. *Critical Questions in Education*, 3(2), 52–64.
- Chang, P., & Zhang, L. J. (2021). A CDST Perspective on Variability in Foreign Language Learners’ Listening Development. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.601962>

- Chao, L. (2013). The Influence of Krashen's Input Hypothesis on Teaching College English Listening in China. *Studies in Literature and Language*, 6(3), 49–52.
<https://doi.org/10.3968/j.sll.1923156320130603.5271>
- Chapelle, C. A. (2007). TECHNOLOGY AND SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 27, 98–114.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190508070050>
- Chen, T., & Hartshorne, J. K. (2021). More evidence from over 1.1 million subjects that the critical period for syntax closes in late adolescence. *Cognition*, 214, 104706.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2021.104706>
- Chenausky, K., Brignell, A., Morgan, A., & Tager-Flusberg, H. (2019). Motor speech impairment predicts expressive language in minimally verbal, but not low verbal, individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism & Developmental Language Impairments*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2396941519856333>
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. Mouton.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*. The M.I.T. Press.
- Chun, D. M. (2016). The Role of Technology in SLA Research. *Language Learning & Technology*, 20(2), 98–115. <https://doi.org/doi:10125/44463>
- Civinini, C. (2018, March 6). *South Korea bans English language teaching up to third grade*.
<https://thepienews.com/news/south-korea-bans-english-third-grade/>
- Clark, E. V. (2016). *First Language Acquisition* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781316534175>
- Clayden, J. D., Hope, S., Argyri, F., Goksan, S., Stefani, A., Wei, L., & Liegeois, F. J. (2023). The impact of multiple language exposure on cognition during childhood: Evidence

- from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1158333>
- Cohen, E. G. (1994). Restructuring the Classroom: Conditions for Productive Small Groups. *Review of Educational Research*, 64(1), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1170744>
- Collentine, J., & Freed, B. F. (2004). Learning Context and Its Effects on Second Language Acquisition: Introduction. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(2), 153–171. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263104262015>
- Cowan, T. M., & Olmstead, A. J. (2023). Exploring the Implications of Input Variability for Unfamiliar Accented Speech Perception: A Focused Review and New Hypotheses. *Languages*, 8(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/languages8010067>
- Cueto, M. d, Ramos, M. G., Garcia, M. G., & Cheol, Y. S. (2017). The Use of Technologies in Second Language Learning: The Case of a Mexican University. *European Scientific Journal*, 13(25). <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2017.v13n25p264>
- Cummins, J. (1991). Interdependence of first- and second-language proficiency in bilingual children. In E. Bialystok (Ed.), *Language Processing in Bilingual Children* (pp. 70–89). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511620652.006>
- Curtiss, S. (1977). *Genie: A Psycholinguistic Study of a Modern Day “Wild Child.”* Academic Press.
- Cyck, L. M., De Anda, S., Moore, H., & Huerta, L. (2021). Cultural and Linguistic Adaptations of Early Language Interventions: Recommendations for Advancing Research and Practice. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 30(3), 1224–1246. https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_AJSLP-20-00101
- Dąbrowska, E. (2015). What exactly is Universal Grammar, and has anyone seen it? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00852>

- Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>
- Darquennes, J., Plessis, T., & Soler, J. (2020). Language Diversity Management in Higher Education: Towards an Analytical Framework. *Sociolinguistica*, 34(1), 7–29. <https://doi.org/10.1515/soci-2020-0003>
- Dastpak, M., Behjat, F., & Taghinezhad, A. (2017). A Comparative Study of Vygotsky's Perspectives on Child Language Development with Nativism and Behaviorism. *International Journal of Languages' Education and Teaching*, 5(2), 230–238. <https://doi.org/10.18298/ijlet.1748>
- Dawadi, S., Shrestha, S., & Giri, R. A. (2021). Mixed-Methods Research: A Discussion on its Types, Challenges, and Criticisms. *Journal of Practical Studies in Education*, 2(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.46809/jpse.v2i2.20>
- de Bot, K., Lowie, W., & Verspoor, M. (2007). A Dynamic Systems Theory approach to second language acquisition. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 10(1), 7–21. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728906002732>
- De Houwer, A. (2023). Early Bilingualism. In *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics* (pp. 1–8). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal0351.pub3>
- Demetras, M. J., Post, K. N., & Snow, C. E. (1986). Feedback to first language learners: The role of repetitions and clarification questions. *Journal of Child Language*, 13(2), 275–292. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000900008059>

- Dennick, R. (2016). Constructivism: Reflections on twenty five years teaching the constructivist approach in medical education. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 7, 200–205. <https://doi.org/10.5116/ijme.5763.de11>
- Derakhshan, A., & Karimi, E. (2015). The Interference of First Language and Second Language Acquisition. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 5(10), 2112–2117. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0510.19>
- Dev, M. (2016). Constructivist Approach Enhances the Learning: A Search of Reality. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(25), 59–62. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEP/article/view/33036>
- Dewi, R. C. (2018). Utilizing Authentic Materials on Students' Listening Comprehension: Does it have Any Influence? *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, 9(1), 70–74. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.alls.v.9n.1p.70>
- Dillenbourg, P. (1999). What Do You Mean by Collaborative Learning? In P. Dillenbourg (Ed.), *Collaborative-Learning: Cognitive and Computational Approaches* (pp. 1–19). Elsevier.
- Dmitrieva, O., Jongman, A., & Sereno, J. A. (2020). The Effect of Instructed Second Language Learning on the Acoustic Properties of First Language Speech. *Languages*, 5(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/languages5040044>
- Dokter, G. (2023). Exploratory Research and its Impact on Problem Identification. *Journal of Research and Development*, 11(2), 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.35248/2311-3278.23.11.219>
- Donato, R. (1994). Collective Scaffolding in Second Language Learning. In J. P. Lantolf & G. Appel (Eds.), *Vygotskian Approaches to Second Language Research* (pp. 33–56). Ablex Publishing Corporation.

- Dong, Y., & Chow, B. W.-Y. (2022). Home Literacy Environment and English as A Second Language Acquisition: A Meta-analysis. *Language Learning and Development*, 18(4), 485–499. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15475441.2021.2003197>
- Donnelly, S., & Kidd, E. (2021). The Longitudinal Relationship Between Conversational Turn-Taking and Vocabulary Growth in Early Language Development. *Child Development*, 92(2), 609–625. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13511>
- Du, L. (2010). Assess the Critical Period Hypothesis in Second Language Acquisition. *English Language Teaching*, 3(2), 219–224. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v3n2p219>
- Duncan, T. S., & Paradis, J. (2020). Home language environment and children's second language acquisition: The special status of input from older siblings. *Journal of Child Language*, 47(5), 982–1005. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000919000977>
- Ehri, L. C., Nunes, S. R., Willows, D. M., Schuster, B. V., Yaghoub-Zadeh, Z., & Shanahan, T. (2001). Phonemic Awareness Instruction Helps Children Learn to Read: Evidence From the National Reading Panel's Meta-Analysis. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 36(3), 250–287. <https://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.36.3.2>
- El Tatawy, M. (2002). Corrective Feedback in Second Language Acquisition. *Studies in Applied Linguistics & TESOL (SALT)*, 2(2), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.7916/salt.v2i2.1645>
- Elhassan, Z., Crewther, S. G., & Bavin, E. L. (2017). The Contribution of Phonological Awareness to Reading Fluency and Its Individual Sub-skills in Readers Aged 9- to 12-years. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00533>
- Ellis, N. (2008). The Psycholinguistics of the Interaction Approach. In A. Mackey & C. Polio (Eds.), *Multiple Perspectives on Interaction: Second Language Research in Honor of Susan M. Gass* (pp. 11–40). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203880852>

- Ellis, N. C. (2007). Dynamic systems and SLA: The wood and the trees. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 10(1), 23–25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728906002744>
- Ellis, R. (1991). The Interaction Hypothesis: A Critical Evaluation. In *Regional Language Center Seminar, Singapore* (pp. 1–46). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED338037>
- Ellis, R. (1999). *Learning a Second Language through Interaction*. John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/sibil.17>
- Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2008). *The Study of Second Language Acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2013). Corrective Feedback in Teacher Guides and SLA. *Iranian Journal of Language Teaching Research*, 1(3), 1–18.
- Ellis, R., Basturkmen, H., & Loewen, S. (2001). Learner Uptake in Communicative ESL Lessons. *Language Learning*, 51(2), 281–318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.00156>
- Ellis, R., Loewen, S., & Erlam, R. (2006). Implicit and Explicit Corrective Feedback and the Acquisition of L2 Grammar. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 28(2), 339–368. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263106060141>
- Ellis, R., & Sheen, Y. (2006). REEXAMINING THE ROLE OF RECASTS IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 28(4), 575–600. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S027226310606027X>
- Ellis, R., Skehan, P., Li, S., Shintani, N., & Lambert, C. (2019). Cognitive-Interactionist Perspectives. In *Task-Based Language Teaching: Theory and Practice* (pp. 29–63). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108643689.006>

- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative Content Analysis: A Focus on Trustworthiness. *Sage Open*, 4(1), 2158244014522633. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014522633>
- Er, G. (2001). The Input Hypothesis and Second-Language Acquisition Theory. *Ondokuz Mayıs University Journal of Education Faculty*, 13(1), 25–36.
- Erdemir, E., & Brutt-Griffler, J. (2022). Vocabulary Development Through Peer Interactions in Early Childhood: A Case Study of an Emergent Bilingual Child in Preschool. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 25(3), 834–865. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2020.1722058>
- Erickson, L. C., & Thiessen, E. D. (2015). Statistical learning of language: Theory, validity, and predictions of a statistical learning account of language acquisition. *Developmental Review*, 37, 66–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dr.2015.05.002>
- Eshghi, M., Adatorwovor, R., Preisser, J. S., Crais, E. R., & Zajac, D. J. (2019). Vocabulary Growth From 18 to 24 Months of Age in Children With and Without Repaired Cleft Palate. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 62(9), 3413–3430. https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_JSLHR-L-18-0207
- Estremera, D. M. L. (2023). Features of Behaviorism in Second Language Acquisition (SLA): An Empirical Excerpt from Applied Linguistics View. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Invention*, 10(03), Article 03. <https://doi.org/10.18535/ijsshi/v10i03.02>
- Eurydice. (2023). Greece: Completion of the Teacher Training Programme for the Introduction of English in Pre-school Education. *News & Articles*. <https://eurydice.eacea.ec.europa.eu/news/greece-completion-teacher-training-programme-introduction-english-pre-school-education>

- Evans, D. R., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (2020). Bifurcations and the Emergence of L2 Syntactic Structures in a Complex Dynamic System. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.574603>
- Fandiño, F. G., Muñoz, L. D., & Velandia, A. J. (2019). Motivation and E-Learning English as a Foreign Language: A Qualitative study. *Heliyon*, 5(9), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02394>
- Fei, S. (2023). An Application of the Input Hypothesis to the Teaching Design of English Reading Class in Senior High School. *Journal of Education, Teaching and Social Studies*, 5(3), 38–50. <https://doi.org/10.22158/jetss.v5n3p38>
- Ferjan Ramirez, N., Leonard, M. K., Torres, C., Hatrak, M., Halgren, E., & Mayberry, R. I. (2014). Neural Language Processing in Adolescent First-Language Learners. *Cerebral Cortex (New York, NY)*, 24(10), 2772–2783. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bht137>
- Ferris, D. R. (2012). Written corrective feedback in second language acquisition and writing studies. *Language Teaching*, 45(4), 446–459. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444812000250>
- Finders, J., Wilson, E., & Duncan, R. (2023). Early childhood education language environments: Considerations for research and practice. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1202819>
- Firth, A., & Wagner, J. (2007). Second/Foreign Language Learning as a Social Accomplishment: Elaborations on a Reconceptualized SLA. *The Modern Language Journal*, 91, 800–819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2007.00670.x>
- Fitriyah, U., Uyen, T. T., & Isnaini, N. A. (2023). *Critical Period Hypothesis on Foreign Language Acquisition: A Case of Indonesian Learner of English*. 376–384. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-002-2_36

- Foster, P., & Ohta, A. S. (2005). Negotiation for Meaning and Peer Assistance in Second Language Classrooms. *Applied Linguistics*, 26(3), 402–430. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/ami014>
- Franck, K., Seland, M., Rimul, J., Sivertsen, A. H., & Kernan, M. (2022). Assessing children's psychosocial well-being: Norwegian early childhood education and care teachers' challenges when completing a global screening tool. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 14639491221133454. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14639491221133454>
- Franks, A. (2018). Steven Pinker and Language Development. In T. Shackelford & V. Weekes-Shackelford (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Psychological Science* (pp. 1–5). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16999-6_3640-1
- Friederici, A. D. (2012). The cortical language circuit: From auditory perception to sentence comprehension. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(5), 262–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.04.001>
- Friedmann, N., & Rusou, D. (2015). Critical period for first language: The crucial role of language input during the first year of life. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 35, 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2015.06.003>
- Fujii, M., Maesawa, S., Ishiai, S., Iwami, K., Futamura, M., & Saito, K. (2016). Neural Basis of Language: An Overview of An Evolving Model. *Neurologia Medico-Chirurgica*, 56(7), 379–386. <https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.ra.2016-0014>
- Gabriel, Y. (2019). Case Studies as Narratives: Reflections Prompted by the Case of Victor, the Wild Child of Aveyron. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 28(4), 403–408. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1056492617715522>
- Gass, S. M. (1997). *Input, Interaction, and the Second Language Learner*. Routledge.

- Gass, S. M. (2010). Interactionist Perspectives on Second Language Acquisition. In R. B. Kaplan (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Applied Linguistics* (2nd ed., pp. 217–231). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195384253.013.0015>
- Gass, S. M., Behney, J., & Plonsky, L. (2020). *Second Language Acquisition: An Introductory Course* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Gass, S. M., & Mackey, A. (2015). Input, Interaction, and Output in Second Language Acquisition. In B. VanPatten & J. Williams (Eds.), *Theories in Second Language Acquisition: An Introduction* (2nd ed., pp. 180–206). Routledge.
- Gass, S. M., MacKey, A., & Pica, T. (1998). The Role of Input and Interaction in Second Language Acquisition: Introduction to the Special Issue. *The Modern Language Journal*, 82(3), 299–307. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1998.tb01206.x>
- Gass, S. M., & Varonis, E. M. (1994). Input, Interaction, and Second Language Production. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 16(3), 283–302. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263100013097>
- Gelman, S. A., & Brandone, A. C. (2010). Fast-mapping placeholders: Using Words to Talk About Kinds. *Language Learning and Development: The Official Journal of the Society for Language Development*, 6(3), 223–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15475441.2010.484413>
- Gelman, S. A., & Roberts, S. O. (2017). How language shapes the cultural inheritance of categories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(30), 7900–7907. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1621073114>
- Ghani, F. (2018). *South Korea bans English education for first and second graders*. Education. <https://www.aljazeera.com/features/2018/3/2/south-korea-bans-english-education-for-first-and-second-graders>

- Giguere, D., & Hoff, E. (2022). Bilingual Development in the Receptive and Expressive Domains: They Differ. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 25(10), 3849–3858. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2022.2087039>
- Gillet, S., Barbu, C., & Poncelet, M. (2021). Early bilingual immersion school program and cognitive development in French-speaking children: Effect of the second language learned (English vs. Dutch) and exposition duration (2 vs. 5 years). *PLoS ONE*, 16(10), e0258458. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258458>
- Gillies, R. M. (2003). Structuring Cooperative Group Work in Classrooms. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 39(1–2), 35–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-0355\(03\)00072-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-0355(03)00072-7)
- Gillon, G. (2023). Supporting Children Who Are English Language Learners Succeed in Their Early Literacy Development. *Folia Phoniatrica et Logopaedica*, 75(4), 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000531407>
- Giovannoli, J., Martella, D., Federico, F., Pirchio, S., & Casagrande, M. (2020). The Impact of Bilingualism on Executive Functions in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review Based on the PRISMA Method. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.574789>
- Gkaintartzi, A., Kostoulas, A., & Vitsou, M. (2024). “Multilingualising” Language Education: A Teacher Training Programme for English as a “Bridge Language” in Early Childhood Education. *Journal of Education and Training*, 11(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jet.v11i1.21645>
- Gleitman, L. R., & Liberman, M. (1995). The Invention of Language by Children: Environmental and Biological Influences on the Acquisition of Language. In L. R.

- Gleitman & M. Liberman (Eds.), *Language: An Invitation to Cognitive Science* (2nd ed., Vol. 1, pp. 1–24). MIT Press.
- Gong, J. (2023). *The Concept, Content and Implication of Krashen's Input Hypothesis*. 1208–1213. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-97-8_154
- Goodey, C. F. (2017). Where the Wild Things Were: Victor of Aveyron and the Pre-Emptive Critique of Developmental Disability in the Early Modern Novel. *Social History of Medicine*, 30(4), 807–826. <https://doi.org/10.1093/shm/hkx036>
- Goodman, K. S. (1989). Whole-Language Research: Foundations and Development. *The Elementary School Journal*, 90(2), 207–221. <https://doi.org/10.1086/461613>
- Government Gazette. (2020). *Decision No. 943/1*. Government Gazette of the Hellenic Republic. https://www.et.gr/api/DownloadFeksApi/?fek_pdf=20200202652
- Grøver, V., Snow, C. E., Evans, L., & Strømme, H. (2023). Overlooked advantages of interactive book reading in early childhood? A systematic review and research agenda. *Acta Psychologica*, 239, 103997. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2023.103997>
- Gunduz, N., & Hursen, C. (2015). Constructivism in Teaching and Learning; Content Analysis Evaluation. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 191, 526–533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.640>
- Guo, Y., Tompkins, V., Justice, L., & Petscher, Y. (2014). Classroom Age Composition and Vocabulary Development Among At-Risk Preschoolers. *Early Education and Development*, 25(7), 1016–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2014.893759>
- Haidar, S., & Fang, F. (Gabriel). (2019). English language in education and globalization: A comparative analysis of the role of English in Pakistan and China. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 39(2), 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2019.1569892>

- Hajar, A. (2019). Examining the Impact of Immediate Family Members on Gulf Arab EFL Students' Strategic Language Learning and Development. *RELC Journal*, 50(2), 285–299. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688217716534>
- Hakuta, K., Bialystok, E., & Wiley, E. (2003). Critical Evidence: A Test of the Critical-Period Hypothesis for Second-Language Acquisition. *Psychological Science*, 14(1), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.01415>
- Hall, J. K., Cheng, A., & Carlson, M. T. (2006). Reconceptualizing Multicompetence as a Theory of Language Knowledge. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(2), 220–240. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/aml013>
- Halliday, M. A. (1994). A Language Development Approach to Education. In N. Bird, P. Falvey, A. B. Tsui, D. M. Allison, A. McNeil, & R. J. Webb (Eds.), *Language and Learning* (pp. 5–17).
- Han, Z. (2021). 1—Corrective Feedback from Behaviorist and Innatist Perspectives. In H. Nassaji & E. Kartchava (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Corrective Feedback in Second Language Learning and Teaching* (pp. 23–43). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108589789.002>
- Han, Z., & Bao, G. (2023). Critical period in second language acquisition: The age-attainment geometry. *Frontiers in Physics*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2023.1142584>
- Han, Z., Kang, E. Y., & Sok, S. (2023). The complexity epistemology and ontology in second language acquisition: A critical review. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 45(5), 1388–1412. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263122000420>
- Hankivsky, O., & Grace, D. (2015). Understanding and Emphasizing Difference and Intersectionality in Multimethod and Mixed Methods Research. In S. N. Hesse-Biber & R. B. Johnson (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Multimethod and Mixed Methods*

- Research Inquiry* (pp. 110–127). Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199933624.013.8>
- Hartshorne, J. K., Tenenbaum, J. B., & Pinker, S. (2018). A Critical Period for Second Language Acquisition: Evidence From 2/3 Million English Speakers. *Cognition*, 177, 263–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2018.04.007>
- Hassan, I. (2020). *The Influence of Modern Video Games on Children's Second Language Acquisition* (SSRN Scholarly Paper 3680020). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3680020>
- Hayakawa, S., & Marian, V. (2019). Consequences of multilingualism for neural architecture. *Behavioral and Brain Functions*, 15(1), 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12993-019-0157-z>
- Hayes, A. M., & Andrews, L. A. (2020). A complex systems approach to the study of change in psychotherapy. *BMC Medicine*, 18(1), 197. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01662-2>
- Heale, R., & Twycross, A. (2015). Validity and reliability in quantitative studies. *Evidence-Based Nursing*, 18(3), 66–67. <https://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2015-102129>
- Heilmann, J., Miller, J. F., Nockerts, A., & Dunaway, C. (2010). Properties of the narrative scoring scheme using narrative retells in young school-age children. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 19(2), 154–166. [https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360\(2009/08-0024\)](https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360(2009/08-0024))
- Hernandez, A. E., Bodet, J. P., Gehm, K., & Shen, S. (2021). What does a critical period for second language acquisition mean?: Reflections on Hartshorne et al. (2018). *Cognition*, 206, 104478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2020.104478>
- Higgs, T. V. (1985). The Input Hypothesis: An Inside Look. *Foreign Language Annals*, 18(3), 197–203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1985.tb01791.x>

- Hills, T. T., Maouene, M., Maouene, J., Sheya, A., & Smith, L. (2009). Longitudinal Analysis of Early Semantic Networks Preferential Attachment or Preferential Acquisition? *Psychological Science*, 20(6), 729–739. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02365.x>
- Hiver, P., & Al-Hoorie, A. H. (2020). *Research Methods for Complexity Theory in Applied Linguistics*. Ingram Publisher Services UK- Academic. doi:Ali H. Al-Hoorie.
- Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., & Evans, R. (2022). Complex Dynamic Systems Theory in Language Learning: A Scoping Review of 25 Years of Research. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 44(4), 913–941. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263121000553>
- Hogan, T. P., Adlof, S. M., & Alonzo, C. (2014). On the importance of listening comprehension. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 16(3), 199–207. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17549507.2014.904441>
- Hoh, P. (2005). The linguistic advantage of the intellectually gifted child: An empirical study of spontaneous speech. *Roepers Review*, 27(3), 178–185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02783190509554313>
- Hong, Y. (2008). On teaching strategies in second language acquisition. *US-China Education Review*, 5(1), 61–67.
- Hsieh, C.-N. (2009). Review of Brave New Digital Classroom: Technology and Foreign Language Learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 13(2), 22–28. <https://doi.org/doi:10125/44178>
- Hu, R. (2016). The Age Factor in Second Language Learning. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 6(11), 2164–2168. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0611.13>
- Huang, J. (2004, February 10). *Ministry cracks down on kindergartens—Taipei Times*. <https://www.taipeitimes.com/News/front/archives/2004/02/10/2003098165>

- Huang, W. (2022). The Implications of the Critical Period Hypothesis for Second Language Learning in College Students. *Adult and Higher Education*, 4(6), 41–44. <https://doi.org/10.23977/aduhe.2022.040607>
- Hussain, S. (2019). It's Never too Late to Mend: Potentials of CLIL. *Pedagogical Approach to Internationalize Ethiopian Journalism Education*. *Creative Education*, 10(10), 2246–2269. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2019.1010162>
- Hutauruk, B. S. (2015). Children First Language Acquisition At Age 1-3 Years Old In Balata. *IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 20(8), 51–57.
- Hyltenstam, K. (2020). Critical Period. In *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics* (pp. 1–6). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal0285.pub2>
- Ikeda, A., Kobayashi, T., & Itakura, S. (2018). Sensitivity to linguistic register in 20-month-olds: Understanding the register-listener relationship and its abstract rules. *PloS One*, 13(4), e0195214. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195214>
- Itard, J. M. (1962). *The Wild Boy of Aveyron* (G. Humphrey & M. Humphrey, Eds.; Trans., Trans.). Prentice-Hall.
- Izar, J., Nasution, M. M., & Ilahi, P. W. (2020). The Stages, Comparisons and Factors of First Language Acquisition of Two-Years-Old Male and Female Child. *Journal of English Teaching and Linguistics*, 1(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.55616/jetli.v1i2.17>
- Jaekel, N., Schurig, M., van Ackern, I., & Ritter, M. (2022). The impact of early foreign language learning on language proficiency development from middle to high school. *System*, 106, 102763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2022.102763>
- Jaekel, N., Ritter, M., & Jaekel, J. (2023). Associations of students' linguistic distance to the language of instruction and classroom composition with English reading and listening

- skills. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 45(5), 1287–1309.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263123000268>
- Janurik, M., Surján, N., & Józsa, K. (2022). The Relationship between Early Word Reading, Phonological Awareness, Early Music Reading and Musical Aptitude. *Journal of Intelligence*, 10(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence10030050>
- Jasinska, K. K., & Petitto, L. A. (2013). How age of bilingual exposure can change the neural systems for language in the developing brain: A functional near infrared spectroscopy investigation of syntactic processing in monolingual and bilingual children. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 6, 87–101.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2013.06.005>
- Jeremic, M., Stojanovik, V., Burgoyne, K., & Pagnamenta, E. (2023). Shared book reading as a context for language intervention for children with Down syndrome: A mini-review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1176218>
- Jiang, B., Liu, L., Guo, Z., & Wang, Y. (2022). A Study of Intercultural Communicative Competence in ELT. *Advances in Journalism and Communication*, 10(3), Article 3.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ajc.2022.103018>
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1999). Making Cooperative Learning Work. *Theory Into Practice*, 38(2), 67–73. <https://doi.org/doi:https://www.jstor.org/stable/1477225>
- Jones, C. D., Clark, S. K., & Reutzel, D. R. (2013). Enhancing Alphabet Knowledge Instruction: Research Implications and Practical Strategies for Early Childhood Educators. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 41(2), 81–89.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-012-0534-9>

- Jones, M. G., & Brader-Araje, L. (2002). The Impact of Constructivism on Education: Language, Discourse, and Meaning. *American Communication Journal*, 5(3), 1–10.
<https://ac-journal.org/journal/vol5/iss3/special/jones.pdf>
- Jung, M.-H. (2019). Korea Lifts English Education Ban for First, Second Graders. *The Korea Times*. https://www.koreatimes.co.kr/www/nation/2019/03/181_265365.html
- Kahng, J. (2024). Longitudinal development of second language utterance fluency, cognitive fluency, and their relationship. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 46(2), 535–549. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263123000591>
- Karlsson, A., Nygård Larsson, P., & Jakobsson, A. (2020). The continuity of learning in a translanguaging science classroom. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 15(1), 1–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-019-09933-y>
- Kellogg, J., & Chang, C. B. (2023). Exploring the Onset of Phonetic Drift in Voice Onset Time Perception. *Languages*, 8(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/languages8010078>
- Khan, K. S., Logan, J., Justice, L. M., Bowles, R. P., & Piasta, S. B. (2021). The Contribution of Vocabulary, Grammar, and Phonological Awareness Across a Continuum of Narrative Ability Levels in Young Children. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research : JSLHR*, 64(9), 3489. https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_JSLHR-20-00403
- Khayr, R., Karawani, H., & Banai, K. (2023). Implicit Learning and Individual Differences in Speech Recognition: An Exploratory Study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1–13.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1238823>
- Kibbee, D. A. (2010). *Chomskyan (R)evolutions*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.

- Kim, K., & Kim, H. (2022). Sequential bilingual heritage children's L1 attrition in lexical retrieval: Age of acquisition versus language experience. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 25(4), 537–547. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728921001139>
- Kim, M. (2018). *Government postpones ban on English classes for kindergarten*. Government postpones ban on English classes for kindergarten. https://english.hani.co.kr/arti/english_edition/e_national/828197
- Kinay, İ., & Karataş, K. (2017). THE INVESTIGATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TEACHERS' BELIEFS TOWARDS LEARNING AND BELIEFS TOWARDS THE STANDARD TESTS. *European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science*, 3(11), 159–175. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.996497>
- Kirsch, C., Aleksić, G., Mortini, S., & Andersen, K. (2020). Developing multilingual practices in early childhood education through professional development in Luxembourg. *International Multilingual Research Journal*, 14(4), 319–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19313152.2020.1730023>
- Kivunja, C. (2018). Distinguishing between Theory, Theoretical Framework, and Conceptual Framework: A Systematic Review of Lessons from the Field. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 7(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v7n6p44>
- Klein, D., Mok, K., Chen, J.-K., & Watkins, K. E. (2014). Age of language learning shapes brain structure: A cortical thickness study of bilingual and monolingual individuals. *Brain and Language*, 131, 20–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2013.05.014>
- Kornder, L., & Mennen, I. (2021). Longitudinal Developments in Bilingual Second Language Acquisition and First Language Attrition of Speech: The Case of Arnold Schwarzenegger. *Languages*, 6(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/languages6020061>

- Kousaie, S., Chai, X. J., Sander, K. M., & Klein, D. (2017). Simultaneous learning of two languages from birth positively impacts intrinsic functional connectivity and cognitive control. *Brain and Cognition*, 117, 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2017.06.003>
- Kovelman, I., Norton, E. S., Christodoulou, J. A., Gaab, N., Lieberman, D. A., Triantafyllou, C., Wolf, M., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2012). Brain Basis of Phonological Awareness for Spoken Language in Children and Its Disruption in Dyslexia. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22(4), 754–764. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr094>
- Koyuncu, S., Kumpulainen, K., & Kuusisto, A. (2023). Teaching English as a Second Language in the Early Years: Teachers' Perspectives and Practices in Finland. *Education Sciences*, 13(12), Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13121177>
- Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition*. Pergamon Press.
- Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*. Laredo Publishing.
- Krashen, S. D. (1994). The Input Hypothesis and Its Rivals. In N. C. Ellis (Ed.), *Implicit and Explicit Learning of Languages* (pp. 45–77). Academic Press.
- Krashen, S. D., & Terrel, T. D. (1998). *The Natural Approach: Language Acquisition in the Classroom*. Prentice Hall.
- Kroll, J. F., Dussias, P. E., Bogulski, C. A., & Kroff, J. R. V. (2012). Juggling two languages in one mind: What bilinguals tell us about language processing and its consequences for cognition. In *The psychology of learning and motivation*, Vol. 56 (pp. 229–262). Elsevier Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394393-4.00007-8>
- Kučera, D., & Mehl, M. R. (2022). Beyond English: Considering Language and Culture in Psychological Text Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.819543>

- Kuhl, P. K. (2000). A New View of Language Acquisition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97(22), 11850–11857. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.22.11850>
- Kuhl, P. K. (2010). Brain Mechanisms in Early Language Acquisition. *Neuron*, 67(5), 713–727. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.038>
- Kuhl, P. K. (2011). Early Language Learning and Literacy: Neuroscience Implications for Education. *Mind, Brain and Education : The Official Journal of the International Mind, Brain, and Education Society*, 5(3), 128–142. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-228X.2011.01121.x>
- Kuo, L.-J., Uchikoshi, Y., Kim, T.-J., & Yang, X. (2016). Bilingualism and phonological awareness: Re-examining theories of cross-language transfer and structural sensitivity. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 46, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2016.03.002>
- Kyngäs, H., Kääriäinen, M., & Elo, S. (2020). The Trustworthiness of Content Analysis. In H. Kyngäs, K. Mikkonen, & M. Kääriäinen (Eds.), *The Application of Content Analysis in Nursing Science Research* (pp. 41–48). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-30199-6_5
- Lakens, D. (2022). Sample Size Justification. *Collabra: Psychology*, 8(1), 33267. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.33267>
- Lambert, C., Shintani, N., Skehan, P., Ellis, R., & Li, S. (Eds.). (2019). Introduction. In *Task-Based Language Teaching: Theory and Practice* (pp. 1–26). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108643689.003>
- Lantolf, J. P. (2000). Introducing Socio-Cultural Theory. In J. P. Lantolf (Ed.), *Sociocultural Theory and Second Language Learning* (pp. 1–26). Oxford University Press.

- Lantolf, J. P., Thorne, S. L., & Poehner, M. E. (2015). Sociocultural Theory and the Genesis of Second Language Development. In B. VanPatten & J. Williams (Eds.), *Theories in Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 207–226). Routledge.
- Lao, Y. A. D. (2017). FIRST LANGUAGE INTERFERENCES INTO ENGLISH WRITING SKILL OF THE XIITH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMA NEGERI 1 KUPANG IN ACADEMIC YEAR 2017/2018. *International Journal of Research - Granthaalayah*, 5(12), 33–44. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1133564>
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2006). The Emergence of Complexity, Fluency, and Accuracy in the Oral and Written Production of Five Chinese Learners of English. *Applied Linguistics*, 27(4), 590–619. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/aml029>
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2018). Looking Ahead: Future Directions In, and Future Research Into, Second Language Acquisition. *Foreign Language Annals*, 51(1), 55–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12314>
- Larsen–Freeman, D. (2019). On Language Learner Agency: A Complex Dynamic Systems Theory Perspective. *The Modern Language Journal*, 103(S1), 61–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12536>
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2021). A Complex Dynamic Systems Perspective to Researching Language Classroom Dynamics. In H. Mohebbi & C. Coombe (Eds.), *Research Questions in Language Education and Applied Linguistics* (pp. 793–798). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79143-8_137
- Lee, I. (2023). Problematising Written Corrective Feedback: A Global Englishes Perspective. *Applied Linguistics*, 44(4), 791–796. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amad038>

- Lee, P.-Y. (2009). *Language policy in Taiwan: Age as a factor of second language acquisition*. Master's thesis, California State University, Chico. <https://scholarworks.calstate.edu/concern/theses/gb19f6417>
- Leeder, T. M. (2022). Behaviorism, Skinner, and Operant Conditioning: Considerations for Sport Coaching Practice. *Strategies*, 35(3), 27–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08924562.2022.2052776>
- Lenneberg, E. H. (1967). *Biological Foundations of Language*. United States of America: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Leonard, L. B. (2014). Children with specific language impairment and their contribution to the study of language development. *Journal of Child Language*, 41(S1), 38–47. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000914000130>
- Li, F., & Li, H. (2023). The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition and Technology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1224826>
- Li, M. (2023). Bilingual Children with Developmental Language Disorder: Outcomes and Interventions. *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 8, 1782–1788. <https://doi.org/10.54097/ehss.v8i.4584>
- Li, P., & Jeong, H. (2020). The Social Brain of Language: Grounding Second Language Learning in Social Interaction. *NPJ Science of Learning*, 5(8), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-020-0068-7>
- Li, S., & Woore, R. (2021). The effects of phonics instruction on L2 phonological decoding and vocabulary learning: An experimental study of Chinese EFL learners. *System*, 103, 102677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2021.102677>

- Li, W. (2022). Resilience Among Language Learners: The Roles of Support, Self-Efficacy, and Buoyancy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.854522>
- Lichtman, K. (2016). Age and Learning Environment: Are Children Implicit Second Language Learners? *Journal of Child Language*, 43(3), 707–730. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000915000598>
- Lichtman, K., & VanPatten, B. (2021). Was Krashen right? Forty years later. *Foreign Language Annals*, 54(2), 283–305. <https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12552>
- Liu, D. (2015). A Critical Review of Krashen’s Input Hypothesis: Three Major Arguments. *Journal of Education and Human Development*, 4(4), 139–146. <https://doi.org/10.15640/jehd.v4n4a16>
- Liu, H., & Matthews, R. (2005). Vygotsky’s Philosophy: Constructivism and its Criticisms Examined. *International Education Journal*, 6(3), 386–399. <https://hdl.handle.net/2440/16896>
- Liu, X. (2022). The Relation of Input, Interaction, and Output in SLA. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 215, 474–479. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.220405.078>
- Loewen, S., & Sato, M. (2018). Interaction and Instructed Second Language Acquisition. *Language Teaching*, 51(3), 285–329. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444818000125>
- Loi, E. C., Vaca, K. E. C., Ashland, M. D., Marchman, V. A., Fernald, A., & Feldman, H. M. (2017). Quality of caregiver-child play interactions with toddlers born preterm and full term: Antecedents and language outcome. *Early Human Development*, 115, 110–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2017.10.001>

- Long, M. (1985). A role for instruction in second language acquisition: Task-based language teaching. In K. Hyltenstam & M. Pienemann (Eds.), *Modelling and Assessing Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 77–99). Multilingual Matters.
- Long, M. H. (1983). Does Second Language Instruction Make a Difference? A Review of Research. *TESOL Quarterly*, 17(3), 359–382. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586253>
- Long, M. H. (1996). The Role of the Linguistic Environment in Second Language Acquisition. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), *Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 413–468). Academic Press.
- Long, M. H., & Porter, P. A. (1985). Group Work, Interlanguage Talk, and Second Language Acquisition. *TESOL Quarterly*, 19(2), 207–228. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586827>
- Lonigan, C., J., Schatschneider, C., & Westberg, L. (2008). *Impact of code-focused interventions on young children's early literacy skills* (Developing Early Literacy: Report of the National Early Literacy Panel, pp. 107–151). <https://lincs.ed.gov/publications/pdf/NELPReport09.pdf>
- Loschky, L. (1994). Comprehensible Input and Second Language Acquisition: What Is the Relationship? *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 16(3), 303–323. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263100013103>
- Lowie, W. M., & Verspoor, M. H. (2019). Individual Differences and the Ergodicity Problem. *Language Learning*, 69(S1), 184–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lang.12324>
- Loyens, S. M. M., & Gijbels, D. (2008). Understanding the effects of constructivist learning environments: Introducing a multi-directional approach. *Instructional Science*, 36(5), 351–357. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-008-9059-4>

- Lu, J., Jiang, H., & Huang, Y. (2022). Inclusive EFL Teaching for Young Students with Special Needs: A Case in China. *Children (Basel, Switzerland)*, 9(5), 749. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children9050749>
- Luft, J. A., Jeong, S., Idsardi, R., & Gardner, G. (2022). Literature Reviews, Theoretical Frameworks, and Conceptual Frameworks: An Introduction for New Biology Education Researchers. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 21(3), rm33. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.21-05-0134>
- Luk, G., Pliatsikas, C., & Rossi, E. (2020). Brain changes associated with language development and learning: A primer on methodology and applications. *System*, 89, 102209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2020.102209>
- Luo, M., Robbins, M. L., Martin, M., & Demiray, B. (2019). Real-Life Language Use Across Different Interlocutors: A Naturalistic Observation Study of Adults Varying in Age. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 1412. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01412>
- Lyster, R. (2004). Research on Form-Focused Instruction in Immersion Classrooms: Implications for Theory and Practice. *Journal of French Language Studies*, 14(3), 321–341. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959269504001826>
- Lyster, R., & Mori, H. (2006). Interactional Feedback and Instructional Counterbalance. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 28(2), 269–300. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263106060128>
- Lyster, R., & Ranta, L. (1997). Corrective Feedback and Learner Uptake: Negotiation of Form in Communicative Classrooms. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 19(1), 37–66. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263197001034>
- Mackey, A. (1999). Input, Interaction, and Second Language Development. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 21(4), 557–587. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263199004027>

- Mackey, A., Abbuhl, R., & Gass, S. M. (2012). Interactionist Approach. In S. M. Gass & A. Mackey (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 7–23). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203808184>
- Mackey, A., & Goo, J. (2012). Interaction Approach in Second Language Acquisition. In C. A. Chapelle (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics* (pp. 2748–2758). Blackwell Publishing Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405198431.wbeal0551>
- Madigan, S., Prime, H., Graham, S. A., Rodrigues, M., Anderson, N., Khoury, J., & Jenkins, J. M. (2019). Parenting Behavior and Child Language: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*, 144(4), e20183556. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-3556>
- Magyar, A., Habók, A., & Molnár, G. (2022). Exploring the Role of English as a Foreign Language Receptive Skills and Learning Strategy Usage in the Ability to Acquire and Apply Knowledge at the Beginning of Higher Education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.808546>
- Mahdi, H. S. (2014). The Impact of Computer-Mediated Communication Environments on Foreign Language Learning: A Review of the Literature. *Teaching English with Technology*, 14(2), 68–87.
- Mahn, H., & John-Steiner, V. (2013). Vygotsky and Sociocultural Approaches to Teaching and Learning. In I. Weiner, W. M. Reynolds, & G. E. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of Psychology: Educational Psychology* (2nd ed., Vol. 7, pp. 117–146). John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118133880.hop207006>
- Margolis, A. A. (2020). Zone of Proximal Development, Scaffolding and Teaching Practice. *Cultural-Historical Psychology*, 16(3), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.17759/chp.2020160303>

- Marian, V., & Shook, A. (2012). The Cognitive Benefits of Being Bilingual. *Cerebrum: The Dana Forum on Brain Science*, 2012, 13.
- Marini, A., Eliseeva, N., & Fabbro, F. (2019). Impact of early second-language acquisition on the development of first language and verbal short-term and working memory. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 22(2), 165–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2016.1238865>
- Marlina, R. (2013). Globalisation, internationalisation, and language education: An academic program for global citizens. *Multilingual Education*, 3(1), 5.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/2191-5059-3-5>
- Martin, K. C., Ketchabaw, W. T., & Turkeltaub, P. E. (2022). Plasticity of the language system in children and adults. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, 184, 397–414.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819410-2.00021-7>
- Martinez, J. (2016, March 10). *In South Korea Students Learn Banned English After School*.
<https://ph.theasianparent.com/korea-students-learn-banned-english>
- Marwaha, S., Goswami, M., & Vashist, B. (2017). Prevalence of Principles of Piaget's Theory Among 4-7-year-old Children and their Correlation with IQ. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research : JCDR*, 11(8), ZC111–ZC115.
<https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/28435.10513>
- Masava, B., Nyoni, C. N., & Botma, Y. (2023). Scaffolding in Health Sciences Education Programmes: An Integrative Review. *Medical Science Educator*, 33(1), 255–273.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-022-01691-x>
- Matychuk, P. (2005). The Role of Child-Directed Speech in Language Acquisition: A Case Study. *Language Sciences*, 27(3), 301–379.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langsci.2004.04.004>

- Mayberry, R. I., & Kluender, R. (2018). Rethinking the critical period for language: New insights into an old question from American Sign Language. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 21(5), 938–944. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728918000585>
- McCauley, S. M., & Christiansen, M. H. (2019). Language Learning as Language use: A Cross-Linguistic Model of Child Language Development. *Psychological Review*, 126(1), 1–51. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000126>
- Mckibbin, C. R. (2018). Foundations of Language Development: Love and Attachment. *International Journal of Pregnancy & Child Birth*, 4(6), 220–225. <https://doi.org/10.15406/ipcb.2018.04.00130>
- Mechelli, A., Crinion, J. T., Noppeney, U., O’Doherty, J., Ashburner, J., Frackowiak, R. S., & Price, C. J. (2004). Structural plasticity in the bilingual brain. *Nature*, 431(7010), 757–757. <https://doi.org/10.1038/431757a>
- Medeiros, A. C. D. de, Santos, M. F. P. dos, Varela, F. V. da C., Rocha, T. A. de L., Messias, B. L. C., & Azoni, C. A. S. (2020). Bilingualism in the development of phonological awareness skills: An integrative literature review. *Revista CEFAC*, 22, e0320. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0216/20202240320>
- Mehrabi, N. (2014). The Effect of Second Language Writing Ability on First Language Writing Ability. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 4(8), 1686–1691. <https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.4.8.1686-1691>
- Melvin-Brown, R., Garcia, Y., Rosales, R., Mahoney, A., & Fuller, J. (2023). A Review of Second Language Acquisition in Verbal Behavior Analysis. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, 32(4), 617–640. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10864-022-09471-8>
- Méndez, L. I., Crais, E. R., Castro, D. C., & Kainz, K. (2015). A culturally and linguistically responsive vocabulary approach for young Latino dual language learners. *Journal of*

- Speech, Language, and Hearing Research: JSLHR*, 58(1), 93–106.
https://doi.org/10.1044/2014_JSLHR-L-12-0221
- Mensah, R., Osei, Frimpong, A., Acquah, A., Babah, P., Adiza, & Dontoh, J. (2020). Discourses on Conceptual and Theoretical Frameworks in Research: Meaning and Implications for Researchers. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies (JAIS)*, 4(5), 53–64. <https://cedred.org/jais/images/may2020issues/PDF-Mensah-et-al-Discourses-on-Conceptual-and-Theoretical-Frameworks-in-Research.pdf>
- Miao, J., Chang, J., & Ma, L. (2023). Research Trends of Written Corrective Feedback in L2 Writing: A Bibliometric Analysis. *Sage Open*, 13(1), 21582440221135172. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221135172>
- Milankov, V., Golubović, S., Krstić, T., & Golubović, Š. (2021). Phonological Awareness as the Foundation of Reading Acquisition in Students Reading in Transparent Orthography. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(10), 5440. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18105440>
- Miller, S., Jungheim, M., & Ptok, M. (2014). [First language acquisition research and theories of language acquisition]. *HNO*, 62(4), 242–248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00106-014-2855-z>
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China (PRCMOE). (2012). 幼儿园去“小学化”还孩子快乐童年—中华人民共和国教育部政府门户网站 [De-emphasizing primary education in kindergartens to return joy to children's childhood—The official portal of the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China]. http://www.moe.gov.cn/jyb_xwfb/s5147/201202/t20120206_129941.html
- Mitsven, S. G., Perry, L. K., Jerry, C. M., & Messinger, D. S. (2022). Classroom language during COVID-19: Associations between mask-wearing and objectively measured

- teacher and preschooler vocalizations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.874293>
- Mohades, S. G., Van Schuerbeek, P., Rosseel, Y., Van De Craen, P., Luypaert, R., & Baeken, C. (2015). White-Matter Development is Different in Bilingual and Monolingual Children: A Longitudinal DTI Study. *PLoS ONE*, 10(2), e0117968. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117968>
- Moore, P. J. (2018). Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT). In *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching* (pp. 1–7). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118784235.eelt0175>
- Morrel, J., Singapuri, K., Landa, R. J., & Reetzke, R. (2023). Neural correlates and predictors of speech and language development in infants at elevated likelihood for autism: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2023.1211676>
- Mouzaki, A., Ralli, A., M., Antoniou, F., Diamanti, V., & Papaioannou, S. (2023). ΛΟΓΟΜΕΤΡΟ®: Εργαλεία Γλωσσικής Αξιολόγησης για παιδιά προσχολικής και πρώτης σχολικής ηλικίας [*Logometro®: Language Assessment Tools for Preschool and Early School Age Children*] (2nd ed.). Inte*Learn ΕΠΕ.
- Muho, A., & Kurani, A. (2011). The Role of Interaction in Second Language Acquisition. *European Scientific Journal*, 16, 44–54.
- Mulyani, P. K. (2019). Innatist and Interactionist Learning Approaches of Elementary School Students' Language Acquisition. *Humaniora*, 31(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.33457>

- Mushait, S., & Mohsen, M. A. (2019). Is Listening Comprehension a Comprehensible Input for L2 Vocabulary Acquisition? *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 9(6), 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v9n6p77>
- Namaziandost, E., Nasri, M., & Ziafar, M. (2019). Comparing the Impacts of Various Inputs(I + 1 & I-1) on Pre-Intermediate EFL learners' Reading Comprehension and Reading Motivation: The Case of Ahvazi Learners. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 4(13), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-019-0079-1>
- Nassaji, H. (2016). Anniversary Article Interactional Feedback in Second Language Teaching and Learning: A Synthesis and Analysis of Current Research. *Language Teaching Research*, 20(4), 535–562. <https://doi.org/10.1177/136216881664494>
- Nath, P. R., Mohamad, M., & Yamat, H. (2017). The Effects of Movies on the Affective Filter and English Acquisition of Low-Achieving English Learners. *Creative Education*, 8(8), 1357–1378. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2017.88096>
- Nazar, Z. J., Nazar, H., Rainkie, D., El-Awaisi, A., & ElJaam, M. (2020). Evidence Produced While Using Qualitative Methodologies Including Research Trustworthiness. In *Encyclopedia of Evidence in Pharmaceutical Public Health and Health Services Research in Pharmacy* (pp. 1–14). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50247-8_76-1
- Newport, E. L. (1975). Motherese: The Speech of Mothers to Young Children. Available from *ProQuest One Academic*, 36(5-B), 1–98.
- Newport, E. L. (1990). Maturational constraints on language learning. *Cognitive Science*, 14(1), 11–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0364-0213\(90\)90024-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0364-0213(90)90024-Q)

- Nguyen, M. V. H., Xu, Y., Vaughn, K. A., & Hernandez, A. E. (2023). Subcortical and cerebellar volume differences in bilingual and monolingual children: An ABCD study. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 65, 101334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2023.101334>
- Nijmeijer, S. E., Tol, M.-J., Aleman, A., & Keijzer, M. (2021). Foreign Language Learning as Cognitive Training to Prevent Old Age Disorders? Protocol of a Randomized Controlled Trial of Language Training vs. *Musical Training and Social Interaction in Elderly With Subjective Cognitive Decline*. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 13, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.550180>
- Nikolaus, M., & Fourtassi, A. (2023). Communicative Feedback in language acquisition. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 68, 100985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newideapsych.2022.100985>
- Nikolov, M., & Djigunović, J. M. (2006). RECENT RESEARCH ON AGE, SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION, AND EARLY FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNING. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 26, 234–260. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190506000122>
- Nilsson, J., Berggren, R., Garzón, B., Lebedev, A. V., & Lövdén, M. (2021). Second Language Learning in Older Adults: Effects on Brain Structure and Predictors of Learning Success. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 13, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.666851>
- Nor, M., Norbahira, & Rashid, R. A. (2018). A review of theoretical perspectives on language learning and acquisition. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 39(1), 161–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2017.12.012>
- Norbury, C. F., Gooch, D., Wray, C., Baird, G., Charman, T., Simonoff, E., Vamvakas, G., & Pickles, A. (2016). The impact of nonverbal ability on prevalence and clinical

- presentation of language disorder: Evidence from a population study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 57(11), 1247–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12573>
- Nungesser, F. (2012). Three Dimensions of the Sociality of Action. *European Journal of Pragmatism and American Philosophy*, IV(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.4000/ejpap.782>
- Nwachukwu, E. L., Lazarus, C., Asuzu, C., Ubani, G. A., & Wei, C. R. (2025). Fostering Inclusive Educational Practices through Multilingual-Oriented Pedagogy. *International Journal of Asian Education and Psychology*, 1(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.59890/ijaep.v1i2.8848>
- Nyberg, S. (2022). Talking With Each Other: The Role of Language Environment Factors on Early Language Development From Different Perspectives. *Linköping University Electronic Press*. <https://doi.org/10.3384/9789179295202>
- Oh, T. M., Graham, S., Ng, P., Yeh, I. B., Chan, B. P. L., & Edwards, A. M. (2019). Age and Proficiency in the Bilingual Brain Revisited: Activation Patterns Across Different L2-Learner Types. *Frontiers in Communication*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomm.2019.00039>
- Ohta, A. S. (1995). Applying Sociocultural Theory to an Analysis of Learner Discourse: Learner-learner Collaborative Interaction in the Zone of Proximal Development. *Issues in Applied Linguistics*, 6(2), 93–121. <https://doi.org/10.5070/L462005219>
- Oikonomou, E. N., & Papadopoulos, I. (2024). LITERACY OF COMMUNICATION IN EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION: INVESTIGATING COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES IN GREECE. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 11(7), Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v11i7.5375>

- Oliver, R., & Azkarai, A. (2017). Review of Child Second Language Acquisition (SLA): Examining Theories and Research. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 37, 62–76. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190517000058>
- Oller, J. W. (1988). Reviewed Work: The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications. *Language*, 64(1), 171–173. <https://doi.org/10.2307/414800>
- Oogarah-Pratap, B., Bhola, A., & Ramma, Y. (2020). Stage Theory of Cognitive Development—Jean Piaget. In B. Akpan & T. J. Kennedy (Eds.), *Science Education in Theory and Practice: An Introductory Guide to Learning Theory* (pp. 133–148). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43620-9_10
- Organization for Educational Research and Planning (OERP). (2018). *South Korea bans English education for first and second graders*. <http://en.oerp.ir/content/south-korea-bans-english-education-first-and-second-graders>
- Ortega, L. (2019). SLA and the Study of Equitable Multilingualism. *The Modern Language Journal*, 103(S1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12525>
- Osae, C., & Papadopoulos, I. (2024). Delving into Educators' Perspectives and Practices in Second Language Teaching Contexts: Differentiated Instruction in the Spotlight. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i3.6565>
- Osborne, J. (2021). Constructivism: Critiques. In R. Gunstone (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Science Education* (pp. 1–6). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6165-0_344-2
- Oshchepkova, E. S., Kartushina, N. A., & Razmakhnina, K. O. (2023). Bilingualism and Development of Literacy in Children: A Systematic Review. *Psychology in Russia*, 16(1), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.11621/pir.2023.0101>

- Ozfidan, B., & Burlbaw, L. M. (2019). A Literature-Based Approach on Age Factors in Second Language Acquisition: Children, Adolescents, and Adults. *International Education Studies*, 12(10), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v12n10p27>
- Paap, K. R., Johnson, H. A., & Sawi, O. (2015). Bilingual advantages in executive functioning either do not exist or are restricted to very specific and undetermined circumstances. *Cortex*, 69, 265–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2015.04.014>
- Pace, A., Luo, R., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2017). Identifying Pathways Between Socioeconomic Status and Language Development. *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 3, 285–308. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011516-034226>
- Pakpahan, F. H., & Saragih, M. (2022). Theory Of Cognitive Development By Jean Piaget. *Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 2(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.52622/joal.v2i2.79>
- Palmer, D. C. (2006). On Chomsky’s Appraisal of Skinner’s Verbal Behavior: A Half Century of Misunderstanding. *The Behavior Analyst*, 29(2), 253–267.
- Papadopoulos, I., & Agathokleous, E. (2020). CLIL in Second Language Education: A Pilot Project with Immigrant Students in Greece. *Multilingual Academic Journal of Education and Social Sciences*, 8(1), 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.46886/MAJESS/v8-i1/6972>
- Papadopoulos, I. (2010). *From Translanguaging Pedagogy to Classroom Pedagogy: Supporting Literacy, Communication, and Cooperative Creativity of Students*. DiSIGMA Publications. <https://disigma.gr/en/products/apo-tin-paidagwgiki-tis-diaglwssikotitas-stin-paidagwgiki-tis-taksis/>
- Papadopoulos, I. (2024). Investigating Dialogic Literacy from Persuasive Perspectives in Monolingual and Bilingual Preschoolers. In V. Zorbas (Ed.), *Dialogues on Teaching and Learning* (pp. 53–83). Nova Science Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.52305/RIIP7832>

- Papadopoulos, I., & Bourogianni, M.-E. (2024). Delving into Word and Print Awareness in 4-Year-Old Children. *British Journal of Education (BJE)*, 12(3), 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.37745/bje.2013/vol12n34154>
- Papadopoulos, I., & Hathaway, T. (2024). *Multilingual Early Childhood Education: Modern Approaches and Research*. Nova Science Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.52305/GODP2236>
- Papadopoulos, I. M., & Jansen, P. S. (2024). Insider Views and Practices of Translanguaging Classrooms in Early Childhood Education. *World Journal of Educational Research*, 11(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.22158/wjer.v11n3p1>
- Papadopoulos, I., & Papadopoulou, E. (2023). *Pedagogical and Research Perspectives on Language Education*. Nova Science Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.52305/YZWI6174>
- Papadopoulos, I., Pishia, C., Pieroulli, & Stylianidou, M. (2024). Play and Learn through the Arts: Investigating Teachers' Perspectives and Practices in Cyprus and in Greece. In V. Zorbas (Ed.), *Dialogues on Teaching and Learning* (pp. 125–151). Nova Science Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.52305/RIIP7832>
- Papadopoulos, I., & Savić, V. (2020). *Teaching Young Foreign Language Learners in SE Europe: A multidimensional research on policy and pedagogical practices*. DiSIGMA Publications. <https://disigma.gr/products/teaching-young-foreign-language-learners-in-se-europe/>
- Paschalidou, G. (2018). Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) in Europe and Greece: Common Practices and Effectiveness, Limitations and Suggestions. In R. Tsokalidou & M. Kekia (Eds.), *4th Crossroad of languages and cultures: 'Multilingual Educational Approaches and Language Policies* (pp. 355–371). School of Early Childhood Education, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

- Passey, D. (2020). Theories, theoretical and conceptual frameworks, models and constructs: Limiting research outcomes through misconceptions and misunderstandings. *Studies in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.21428/8c225f6e.56810a1a>
- Patrick, R. (2019). Comprehensible Input and Krashen's theory. *Journal of Classics Teaching*, 20(39), 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2058631019000060>
- Pearl, L. (2022). Poverty of the Stimulus Without Tears. *Language Learning and Development*, 18(4), 415–454. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15475441.2021.1981908>
- Penfield, W., & Roberts, L. (1959). *Speech and Brain Mechanisms*. Princeton University Press.
- Pentéri, E., Chlapana, E., Melliou, K., Filippidi, A., & Marinatos, T. (2021). *Preschool Education Curriculum*. Athens: Institute of Educational Policy. https://ean.auth.gr/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/programma_spoudwn_2021.pdf
- Perkins, S. C., Finegood, E. D., & Swain, J. E. (2013). Poverty and Language Development: Roles of Parenting and Stress. *Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience*, 10(4), 10–19.
- Peterson, P. (2004). Naturalistic Language Teaching Procedures for Children at Risk for Language Delays. *The Behavior Analyst Today*, 5(4), 404–424. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0100047>
- Philp, J., Adams, R., & Iwashita, N. (2013). *Peer Interaction and Second Language Learning*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203551349>
- Philp, J., Oliver, R., & Mackey, A. (2008). *Second Language Acquisition and the Younger Learner: Child's play?* John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lllt.23>
- Philp, J., Walter, S., & Basturkmen, H. (2010). Peer Interaction in the Foreign Language Classroom: What Factors Foster a Focus on Form? *Language Awareness*, 19(4), 261–279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2010.516831>

- Piaget, J. (1972). *The Psychology of Intelligence*. Littlefield, Adams & Co.
- Pica, T. (1994). Research on Negotiation: What Does It Reveal About Second-Language Learning Conditions, Processes, and Outcomes? *Language Learning*, 44(3), 493–527. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1994.tb01115.x>
- Pica, T. (2005). Classroom Learning, Teaching, and Research: A Task-Based Perspective. *The Modern Language Journal*, 89(3), 339–352. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.2005.00309.x>
- Pica, T., Holliday, L., Lewis, N., & Morgenthaler, L. (1989). Comprehensible Output as an Outcome of Linguistic Demands on the Learner. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 11(1), 63–90. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S027226310000783X>
- Pica, T., Young, R., & Doughty, C. (1987). The Impact of Interaction on Comprehension. *TESOL Quarterly*, 21(4), 737–758. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586992>
- Pierce, K., Wen, T. H., Zahiri, J., Andreason, C., Courchesne, E., Barnes, C. C., Lopez, L., Arias, S. J., Esquivel, A., & Cheng, A. (2023). Level of Attention to Motherese Speech as an Early Marker of Autism Spectrum Disorder. *JAMA Network Open*, 6(2), e2255125. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.55125>
- Pinker, S. (1994). *The Language Instinct*. United States of America: William Morrow and Company.
- Pinto, R., Ferreira, & Xu, Y. (2021). A computational theory of child overextension. *Cognition*, 206, 104472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2020.104472>
- Pleyer, M., & Hartmann, S. (2019). Constructing a Consensus on Language Evolution? Convergences and Differences Between Biolinguistic and Usage-Based Approaches. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02537>

- Pliatsikas, C. (2019). Multilingualism and Brain Plasticity. In *The Handbook of the Neuroscience of Multilingualism* (pp. 230–251). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119387725.ch11>
- Pliatsikas, C., & Luk, G. (2016). Executive control in bilinguals: A concise review on fMRI studies. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 19(4), 699–705.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728916000249>
- Pliatsikas, C., Meteyard, L., Veríssimo, J., DeLuca, V., Shattuck, K., & Ullman, M. T. (2020). The effect of bilingualism on brain development from early childhood to young adulthood. *Brain Structure and Function*, 225(7), 2131–2152.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-020-02115-5>
- Pliatsikas, C., Moschopoulou, E., & Saddy, J. D. (2015). The effects of bilingualism on the white matter structure of the brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(5), 1334–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414183112>
- Polat, M., & Erişti, B. (2019). The Effects of Authentic Video Materials on Foreign Language Listening Skill Development and Foreign Language Listening Anxiety at Different Levels of English Proficiency. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research*, 6(1), 135–154. <https://doi.org/10.33200/ijcer.567863>
- Portnoi, L. M. (2016). Assessing the Impact of Globalization on Education and Educational Policy Reform. In L. M. Portnoi (Ed.), *Policy Borrowing and Reform in Education: Globalized Processes and Local Contexts* (pp. 199–205). Palgrave Macmillan US.
https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-53024-0_8
- Pradhan, P. C. (2021). Cultural Sensitivity and English Language Teaching. *Teresian Journal of English Studies*, 13(3), 6–11.

- Raban, B. (2014). TALK to Think, Learn, and Teach. *The Journal of Reading Recovery*, 1(Spring), 1–11.
- Rahman, M. M., Pandian, A., Karim, A., & Shahed, F. (2017). Effect of Age in Second Language Acquisition: A Critical Review from the Perspective of Critical Period Hypothesis and Ultimate Attainment. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 7(5), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v7n5p1>
- Ralli, A. M., Kazali, E., & Karatza, E. (2021). Oral language skills and psychosocial competence in early school-aged children of different language profiles. *Psychology: The Journal of the Hellenic Psychological Society*, 26(1), 40–55. https://doi.org/10.12681/psy_hps.26242
- Ramirez, N. F., Lieberman, A. N., & Mayberry, R. I. (2013). The Initial Stages of First-Language Acquisition Begun in Adolescence: When Late Looks Early. *Journal of Child Language*, 40(2), 391–414. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000911000535>
- Ramírez-Esparza, N., García-Sierra, A., & Jiang, S. (2020). The current standing of bilingualism in today's globalized world: A socio-ecological perspective. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 32, 124–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.038>
- Raposo-Rivas, M., Halabi-Echeverry, A. X., Sarmiento Campos, J. A., & García-Fuentes, O. (2024). Associations among Variables in Technology-Enhanced Phonological Awareness Programmes Based on a Meta-Analysis. *Education Sciences*, 14(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14040343>
- Ravitch, S., M., & Riggan, M. (2016). *Reason & Rigor: How Conceptual Frameworks Guide Research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.

- Redmond, S. (1992). The Critical Period Hypothesis for Language Acquisition and It's Implication for the Management of Communication Disorders. *NSSLHA Journal*, 20, 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1044/nsshla.20.25>
- Rescorla, L. A. (1980). Overextension in early language development. *Journal of Child Language*, 7(2), 321–335. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000900002658>
- Riad, R., Allodi, M. W., Siljehag, E., & Bölte, S. (2023). Language skills and well-being in early childhood education and care: A cross-sectional exploration in a Swedish context. *Frontiers in Education*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2023.963180>
- Ribeiro, L. A., Zachrisson, H. D., Nærde, A., Wang, M. V., Brandlistuen, R. E., & Passaretta, G. (2023). Socioeconomic Disparities in Early Language Development in Two Norwegian Samples. *Applied Developmental Science*, 27(2), 172–188. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2022.2051510>
- Rice, M., Erbeli, F., Thompson, C. G., Sallese, M. R., & Fogarty, M. (2022). Phonemic Awareness: A Meta-Analysis for Planning Effective Instruction. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 57(4), 1259–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rrq.473>
- Ricento, T. (2018). Globalization, Language Policy, and the Role of English. In J. W. Tollefson & M. Pérez-Milans (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Language Policy and Planning* (pp. 221–235). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190458898.013.17>
- Ristiyani, D., & Mulyono, R. (2023). Improving Expressive Language Skills Using Characterized Hand Puppets. *Edunesia*, 4(2), 682–694. <https://doi.org/10.51276/edu.v4i2.425>
- Robbins, S. L. (1995). The Study of Second Language Acquisition, by Rod Ellis. *Issues in Applied Linguistics*, vii +(1), 824. <https://doi.org/10.5070/L461005209>

- Rodrigo, V., Krashen, S., & Gibbons, B. (2004). The Effectiveness of Two Comprehensible-Input Approaches to Foreign Language Instruction at the Intermediate Level. *System*, 32(1), 53–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2003.08.003>
- Roessingh, H. (2020). Culturally Responsive Pedagogy and Academic Vocabulary Teaching and Learning: An Integrated Approach in the Elementary Classroom. *TESL Canada Journal*, 37(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.18806/tesl.v37i1.1334>
- Romberg, A. R., & Saffran, J. R. (2010). Statistical learning and language acquisition. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, 1(6), 906–914. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.78>
- Rose, S. A., Feldman, J. F., & Jankowski, J. J. (2009). A Cognitive Approach to the Development of Early Language. *Child Development*, 80(1), 134–150. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01250.x>
- Rothbart, M., K., & Bates, J., E. (2006). Temperament. In N. Eisenberg, W. Damon, & R. Lerner M. (Eds.), *Handbook of Child Psychology: Social, Emotional, and Personality Development* (6th ed., Vol. 3, pp. 99–166). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Rowe, M. L., Raudenbush, S. W., & Goldin-Meadow, S. (2012). The Pace of Vocabulary Growth Helps Predict Later Vocabulary Skill. *Child Development*, 83(2), 508–525. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01710.x>
- Rudd, L. C., & Lambert, M. C. (2011). Interaction Theory of Language Development. In S. Goldstein & J. A. Naglieri (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Child Behavior and Development* (pp. 830–831). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-79061-9_1522
- Ruiz, F., María, & Umerez, J. (2021). Interactionism, Post-interactionism, and Causal Complexity: Lessons From the Philosophy of Causation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.590533>

- Rukthong, A., & Brunfaut, T. (2020). Is anybody listening? The nature of second language listening in integrated listening-to-summarize tasks. *Language Testing*, 37(1), 31–53. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532219871470>
- Russak, S., & Zaretsky, E. (2021). Cognitive and Linguistic Skills Associated With Cross-Linguistic Transfer in the Production of Oral Narratives in English as a Foreign Language by Arabic- and Hebrew-Speaking Children: Finding Common Denominators. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.664152>
- Rvachew, S., & Bernhardt, B. M. (2010). Clinical Implications of Dynamic Systems Theory for Phonological Development. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*, 19(1), 34–50. [https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360\(2009/08-0047\)](https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360(2009/08-0047))
- Sakellariou, M., & Banou, M. (2020). PLAY WITHIN THE KINDERGARTEN CURRICULUM OF GREECE: A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON KINDERGARTEN EDUCATORS AND UNIVERSITY STUDENTS. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 0, Article 0. <https://doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v0i0.2799>
- Salaberry, M. R. (2001). The Use of Technology for Second Language Learning and Teaching: A Retrospective. *The Modern Language Journal*, 85(1), 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0026-7902.00096>
- Sang, Y. (2017). A Conceptual Review of Age Effect on L2 Acquisition. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(9), 1. <https://www.iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEP/article/view/36053/37048>
- Sanghvi, P. (2020). Piaget’s Cognitive Developmental Theory: Critical Review. *Indian Journal of Mental Health*, 7(2), 90–96. <https://doi.org/10.30877/IJMH.7.2.2020.90-96>

- Sano, M., Hirosawa, T., Kikuchi, M., Hasegawa, C., Tanaka, S., & Yoshimura, Y. (2022). Relation between acquisition of lexical concept and joint attention in children with autism spectrum disorder without severe intellectual disability. *PLOS ONE*, 17(4), e0266953. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266953>
- Santos, J. M., & Castro, M. J. (2020). The Use of Constructivist Approach and its Impact on Students' Satisfaction in Learning. *Ascendens Asia Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Abstracts*, 4(1), Article 1. <https://ojs.aaresearchindex.com/index.php/AAJMRA/article/view/2723>
- Sarem, S. N., & Shirzadi, Y. (2014). A Critical Review of the Interactionist Approach to Second Language Acquisition. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research (JALLR)*, 1(1), 62–74.
- Sauro, S., & Zourou, K. (2019). What are the Digital Wilds? *Language Learning & Technology*, 23(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/doi:doi.org/10125/44666>
- Saxton, M. (2017). *Child Language: Acquisition and Development* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Schepens, J. J., Hout, R. W., & Slik, F. W. (2023). Linguistic Dissimilarity Increases Age-Related Decline in Adult Language Learning. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 45(1), 167–188. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263122000067>
- Schlag, K. H. (2012). Imitation and Social Learning. In N. M. Seel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning* (pp. 1489–1493). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1428-6_1452
- Schmid, M. S. (2008). Defining Language Attrition. *Babylonia*, 2(8), 9–12.
- Schmid, R. W., & Frota, S. N. (1986). Developing Basic Conversational Ability in a Second Language: A Case Study of an Adult Learner of Portuguese. In *Talking to Learn:*

- Conversation in Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 237–326). Newbury House Publishers.
- Schmidt, R. W. (1990). The Role of Consciousness in Second Language Learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 11(2), 129–158. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/11.2.129>
- Schmitt, N. (2019). Understanding vocabulary acquisition, instruction, and assessment: A research agenda. *Language Teaching*, 52(2), 261–274. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444819000053>
- Schoonenboom, J., & Johnson, R. B. (2017). How to Construct a Mixed Methods Research Design. *Kolner Zeitschrift Fur Soziologie Und Sozialpsychologie*, 69(Suppl 2), 107–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11577-017-0454-1>
- Schouten, A. (2009). The Critical Period Hypothesis: Support, Challenge, and Reconceptualization. *Working Papers in TESOL & Applied Linguistics*, 9(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.7916/salt.v9i1.1462>
- Schwab, J. F., & Lew-Williams, C. (2016). Language learning, socioeconomic status, and child-directed speech. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, 7(4), 264–275. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1393>
- Seven, M. A. (2020). Motivation in Language Learning and Teaching. *African Educational Research Journal*, 8(2), 62–71. <https://doi.org/10.30918/AERJ.8S2.20.033>
- Shadiev, R., & Wang, X. (2022). A Review of Research on Technology-Supported Language Learning and 21st Century Skills. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.897689>
- Shah, R. K. (2019). Effective Constructivist Teaching Learning in the Classroom. *Shanlax International Journal of Education*, 7(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.34293/education.v7i4.600>

- Shanks, D. R. (2010). Learning: From Association to Cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61(Volume 61, 2010), 273–301. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100519>
- Shen, R., & Chong, S. W. (2023). Learner engagement with written corrective feedback in ESL and EFL contexts: A qualitative research synthesis using a perception-based framework. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 48(3), 276–290. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2022.2072468>
- Shormani, M. Q. (2014). Mentalo-Behaviorist Approach to Language Acquisition. *Journal of Teaching and Teacher Education*, 02(02). <https://doi.org/10.12785/jtte/020201>
- Short, K., Eadie, P., & Kemp, L. (2019). Paths to language development in at risk children: A qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *BMC Pediatrics*, 19(94), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-019-1449-z>
- Siahaan, F. (2022). The Critical Period Hypothesis of Second Language Acquisition Theory of Eric Lenneberg's. *Journal of English Linguistics and Language Teaching (JELLT)*, 8(2), 28–34. <https://doi.org/10.51622/explora.v8i2.643>
- Simon, E. (2010). Second language acquisition and the younger learner. In J. Philp, R. Oliver, & A. Mackey (Eds.), *Child's play? Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins* (Vol. 37, Issue 4, p. 334). Hb. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000909990419>
- Singleton, D. (2005). The Critical Period Hypothesis: A Coat of Many Colours. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 43(4), 269–285. <https://doi.org/10.1515/iral.2005.43.4.269>
- Singleton, D. (2017). Language aptitude: Desirable trait or acquirable attribute? *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 7(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.14746/ssllt.2017.7.1.5>

- Singleton, D., & Leśniewska, J. (2021). The Critical Period Hypothesis for L2 Acquisition: An Unfalsifiable Embarrassment? *Languages*, 6(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/languages6030149>
- Sinkeviciute, R., Brown, H., Brekelmans, G., & Wonnacott, E. (2019). THE ROLE OF INPUT VARIABILITY AND LEARNER AGE IN SECOND LANGUAGE VOCABULARY LEARNING. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 41(4), 795–820. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263119000263>
- Siok, W. T., Jin, Z., Fletcher, P., & Tan, L. H. (2003). Distinct brain regions associated with syllable and phoneme. *Human Brain Mapping*, 18(3), 201–207. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.10094>
- Skinner, B., F. (2020). *Verbal Behaviour* (Extended Edition). B.F. Skinner Foundation.
- Skubic, D., Gaberc, B., & Jerman, J. (2021). Supportive Development of Phonological Awareness Through Musical Activities According to Edgar Willems. *SAGE Open*, 11(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/215824402110218>
- Snow, C. E., & Hoefnagel-Höhle, M. (1978). The Critical Period for Language Acquisition: Evidence from Second Language Learning. *Child Development*, 49(4), 1114–1128. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1128751>
- South Korean Ministry of Education (SKMOE). (2022). *Inquiry Concerning English Education for Kindergarten and the First and the Second Graders of Elementary School*. SKMOE.
- Stein, M., Winkler, C., Kaiser, A., & Dierks, T. (2014). Structural brain changes related to bilingualism: Does immersion make a difference? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 1116. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01116>

- Stekić, K., Ilić, O., Ković, V., & Savić, A. M. (2023). ERP Indicators of Phonological Awareness Development in Children: A Systematic Review. *Brain Sciences*, 13(2), 290. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13020290>
- Storch, N. (2002). Patterns of Interaction in ESL Pair Work. *Language Learning*, 52(1), 119–158. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9922.00179>
- Sturdy, C. B., & Nicoladis, E. (2017). How Much of Language Acquisition Does Operant Conditioning Explain? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01918>
- Suhendi, A., & Purwarno. (2018). Constructivist Learning Theory: The Contribution to Foreign Language Learning and Teaching. *KnE Social Sciences*, 3(4), 87–95. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i4.1921>
- Suryantari, H. (2018). Children and Adults in Second-Language Learning. *Tell : Teaching of English Language and Literature Journal*, 6(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.30651/tell.v6i1.2081>
- Swain, M. (1985). Communicative Competence: Some Roles of Comprehensible Input and Comprehensible Output in its Development. In S. M. Gass & C. G. Madden (Eds.), *Input in Second Language Acquisition* (pp. 235–253). Newbury House Publishers.
- Swain, M. (1995). Three Functions of Output in Second Language Learning. In G. Cook & B. Seidlhofer (Eds.), *Principle and Practice in Applied Linguistics: Studies in Honor of H.G. Widdowson* (pp. 125–144). Oxford University Press.
- Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (1998). Interaction and Second Language Learning: Two Adolescent French Immersion Students Working Together. *The Modern Language Journal*, 82(3), 320–337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4781.1998.tb01209.x>

- Swanson, M. R. (2020). The role of caregiver speech in supporting language development in infants and toddlers with autism spectrum disorder. *Development and Psychopathology*, 32(4), 1230–1239. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579420000838>
- Swingley, D. (2009). Contributions of Infant Word Learning to Language Development. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 364(1536), 3617–3632. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0107>
- Syafe'i, I., & Ulfah, A. F. (2020). Implementation of Behaviorism Learning Theories in Arabic Learning Planning. *Al Mi'yar: Jurnal Ilmiah Pembelajaran Bahasa Arab Dan Kebahasaaraban*, 3(2), 197–214. <https://doi.org/10.35931/am.v3i2.298>
- Szabó, F., & Csépes, I. (2022). *Constructivism in language pedagogy*. 13(3), 405–417. <https://doi.org/10.1556/063.2022.00136>
- Teng, M. F. (2025). Longitudinal development of cognition and vocabulary knowledge in young second language learners in a bilingual programme. *Journal of Child Language*, 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000925000042>
- Terasawa, T. (2024). Relationship between English proficiency and socioeconomic status in Asia: Quantitative cross-national analysis. *World Englishes*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/weng.12705>
- Teravainen-Goff, A. (2022). Why Motivated Learners Might not Engage in Language Learning: An Exploratory Interview Study of Language Learners and Teachers. *Language Teaching Research*, 0, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688221135399>
- Tetnowski, J. A., Scott, K. S., & Rutland, B. F. (2021). Fluency and Fluency Disorders. In J. S. Damico, N. Müller, & M. J. Ball (Eds.), *The Handbook of Language and Speech Disorders* (2nd ed., pp. 414–444). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119606987.ch19>

- Thomas, S. (2023). Assessment and Evaluation of Learning in a Constructivist Paradigm. *IJFMR - International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(2), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i02.1795>
- Thorne, S. L. (2003). Artifacts and Cultures-of-Use in Inter-cultural Communication. *Language Learning & Technology*, 7(2), 38–67. <https://doi.org/doi:10125/25200>
- Thwaite, A. (2019). Halliday's View of Child Language Learning: Has it been Misinterpreted? *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(5). <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v44n5.3>
- Todorova, N., & Todorova, A. (2018). Globalization and the Role of the English Language. *International Relations of Ukraine: Scientific Searches and Findings*, 27, Article 27. <https://doi.org/10.15407/mzu2018.27.331>
- Tomasello, M. (1999). *The Cultural Origins of Human Cognition*. United States of America: Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvj5f4jc>
- Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv26070v8>
- Tomasello, M., & Brooks, P. J. (1999). Early syntactic development: A Construction Grammar approach. In *The development of language* (pp. 161–190). Psychology Press.
- Trebits, A., Koch ,Martin J., Ponto ,Katharina, Bruhn ,Ann-Christin, Adler ,Marie, & Kersten, K. (2022). Cognitive gains and socioeconomic status in early second language acquisition in immersion and EFL learning settings. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 25(7), 2668–2681. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2021.1943307>

- Tricomi, E. T. (1986). Krashen's Second-Language Acquisition Theory and the Teaching of Edited American English. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 5(2), 59–69. <https://doi.org/10.37514/JBW-J.1986.5.2.07>
- Uccelli, P., & Páez, M. M. (2007). Narrative and vocabulary development of bilingual children from kindergarten to first grade: Developmental changes and associations among English and Spanish skills. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 38(3), 225–236. [https://doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461\(2007/024\)](https://doi.org/10.1044/0161-1461(2007/024))
- UNESCO. (2024). *Multilingual education: A key to quality and inclusive learning* | UNESCO. <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/multilingual-education-key-quality-and-inclusive-learning>
- Uslu, B., & Ersan, C. (2020). The Effect of Foreign Language Education on Preschoolers' Native Language Development. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 6(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijres.v6i3.1087>
- van de Pol, J., Volman, M., Oort, F., & Beishuizen, J. (2015). The effects of scaffolding in the classroom: Support contingency and student independent working time in relation to student achievement, task effort and appreciation of support. *Instructional Science*, 43(5), 615–641. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-015-9351-z>
- van Druten-Frietman, L., Denessen, E., Gijssels, M., & Verhoeven, L. (2015). Child, home and institutional predictors of preschool vocabulary growth. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 43, 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2015.08.032>
- Vanhove, J. (2013). The Critical Period Hypothesis in Second Language Acquisition: A Statistical Critique and a Reanalysis. *PLOS ONE*, 8(7), e69172. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069172>

- Varonis, E. M., & Gass, S. (1985). Non-Native/Non-Native Conversations: A Model for Negotiation of Meaning. *Applied Linguistics*, 6(1), 71–90.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/6.1.71>
- Varpio, L., Paradis, E., Uijtdehaage, S., & Young, M. (2020). The Distinctions Between Theory, Theoretical Framework, and Conceptual Framework. *Academic Medicine*, 95(7), 989. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000003075>
- Vasileiou, K., Barnett, J., Thorpe, S., & Young, T. (2018). Characterising and justifying sample size sufficiency in interview-based studies: Systematic analysis of qualitative health research over a 15-year period. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 18(1), 148.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0594-7>
- Veneziano, E., & Nicolopoulou, A. (2019). Studies in intervention: Introduction to narrative, literacy and other skills. In E. Veneziano & A. Nicolopoulou (Eds.), *Narrative, Literacy and Other Skills: Studies in intervention* (pp. 1–18). John Benjamins Publishing Company. <https://doi.org/10.1075/sin.25.01ven>
- Verenikina, I. (2003). Understanding Scaffolding and the ZPD in Educational Research. *Faculty of Education - Papers (Archive)*. <https://ro.uow.edu.au/edupapers/381>
- Verspoor, M., & Lowie, W. (2022). Complex Dynamic Systems Theory and Second Language Development. In H. Mohebbi & C. Coombe (Eds.), *Research Questions in Language Education and Applied Linguistics* (1st ed., pp. 799–803). Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79143-8_138
- Virués-Ortega, J. (2006). The Case Against B. F. Skinner 45 years Later: An Encounter with N. Chomsky. *The Behavior Analyst*, 29(2), 243–251.
- Visser-Bochane, M. I., Reijneveld, S. A., Krijnen, W. P., Schans, C. P., & Luinge, M. R. (2020). Identifying Milestones in Language Development for Young Children Ages 1

- to 6 Years. *Academic Pediatrics*, 20(3), 421–429.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2019.07.003>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes* (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, Eds.). Massachussets, United States of America.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1987). *The Collected Works of L. S. Vygotsky* (R. W. Rieber & A. S. Carton, Eds.; 1st ed., Vol. 1). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-1655-8>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (2012). *Thought and Language* (E. Hanfmann, G. Vakar, A. Kozulin, E. H. Eds., G. Vakar, & A. Kozulin, Eds.; Trans., Trans.; Revised and Expanded). The MIT Press.
- Wagley, N., & Booth, J. R. (2022). Neural pathways of phonological and semantic processing and its relations to children’s reading skills. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 16.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.984328>
- Wang, L., & Jokikokko, K. (2022). Teacher and Peer Interaction in Lower Age Novice-Newcomer’s L2 Learning and Socialisation. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2022.2039163>
- Wang, L., Liu, D., Xiang, J., & Lin, D. (2023). The dynamic relationship between phonological awareness, morphological awareness and character reading in chinese early readers: A three-year longitudinal study. *Reading and Writing*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-023-10413-7>
- Wang, M. V., Lekhal, R., Aaro, L. E., Holte, A., & Schjolberg, S. (2014). The Developmental Relationship Between Language and Motor Performance From 3 to 5 Years of Age: A Prospective Longitudinal Population Study. *BMC Psychology*, 2(34), 1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-014-0034-3>

- Ware, C., Dautricourt, S., Gonneaud, J., & Chételat, G. (2021). Does Second Language Learning Promote Neuroplasticity in Aging? A Systematic Review of Cognitive and Neuroimaging Studies. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.706672>
- Warschauer, M. (2000). Technology and Second Language Learning. In J. W. Rosenthal (Ed.), *Handbook of Undergraduate Second Language Education* (pp. 303–318). Routledge.
- Washington-Nortey, P.-M., Zhang, F., Xu, Y., Ruiz, A. B., Chen, C.-C., & Spence, C. (2022). The Impact of Peer Interactions on Language Development Among Preschool English Language Learners: A Systematic Review. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 50(1), 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10643-020-01126-5>
- Wasik, B. A., & Hindman, A. H. (2020). Increasing Preschoolers' Vocabulary Development Through a Streamlined Teacher Professional Development Intervention. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 50(1), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.11.001>
- Weisleder, A., & Fernald, A. (2013). Talking to Children Matters: Early Language Experience Strengthens Processing and Builds Vocabulary. *Psychological Science*, 24(11), 2143–2152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613488145>
- Weismer, G., & Brown, D. K. (2021). *Introduction to Communication Sciences and Disorders: The Scientific Basis of Clinical Practice* (1st ed.). Plural Publishing.
- White, L. (1987). Against Comprehensible Input: The Input Hypothesis and the Development of Second-language Competence. *Applied Linguistics*, 8(2), 95–110. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/8.2.95>
- Whiten, A., Allan, G., Devlin, S., Kseib, N., Raw, N., & McGuigan, N. (2016). Social Learning in the Real-World: 'Over-Imitation' Occurs in Both Children and Adults Unaware of

- Participation in an Experiment and Independently of Social Interaction. *PLoS ONE*, 11(7), e0159920. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159920>
- Wilson, I. (2018). A Brief Overview of Psycholinguistic Approaches to Second Language Acquisition. *Applied Linguistics Research Journal*, 2(2), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.14744/alrj.2018.07108>
- Wodtke, K. H., & Brown, B. R. (1967). Social learning and imitation. *Review of Educational Research*, 37(5), 514–538. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1169510>
- Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines*, 17(2), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x>
- Wright, V. (2018). Vygotsky and a Global Perspective on Scaffolding in Learning Mathematics. In J. Zajda (Ed.), *Globalisation and Education Reforms: Paradigms and Ideologies* (pp. 123–135). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1204-8_8
- Wu, E., Yi. (2022). A brief review of the effects of age on second language acquisition: Is younger better? *Journal of Language Teaching*, 2(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.54475/jlt.2022.001>
- Xi, J., & Lantolf, J. P. (2021). Scaffolding and the zone of proximal development: A problematic relationship. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 51(1), 25–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12260>
- Xia, T., An, Y., & Guo, J. (2022). Bilingualism and creativity: Benefits from cognitive inhibition and cognitive flexibility. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1016777>

- Xu, F. (2011). The Priority of Listening Comprehension over Speaking in the Language Acquisition Process. *International Education Studies*, 4(1), 161–165.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v4n1p161>
- Xu, L. (2023). The Age Effect in Second Language Acquisition and Its Study Design Method. *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 8, 1215–1222.
<https://doi.org/10.54097/ehss.v8i.4454>
- Xu, M. (2016). *The Application of Input Hypothesis and Affective Filter Hypothesis in Colleges English Listening Teaching*. 82–86. <https://doi.org/10.2991/etmhs-16.2016.17>
- Curran, A., & Baidak, B. (2023). Focus On: Is younger always better when it comes to learning a foreign language? *European Commission*.
<https://eurydice.eacea.ec.europa.eu/news/focus-younger-always-better-when-it-comes-learning-foreign-language>
- Yang, J. (2021). Revisiting Research Methods in Language Learning Psychology From a Complexity Dynamic System Theory Perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 1–4.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.741045>
- Yi Wu, E. (2022). A Brief Review of the Effects of Age on Second Language Acquisition: Is Younger Better? *Journal of Language Teaching*, 2(1), 1–5.
<https://doi.org/10.54475/jlt.2022.001>
- Yim, H. (2018). *Ministry of Education Reconsiders English Education Ban in Preschools*. The Korea Bizwire. <http://koreabizwire.com/ministry-of-education-reconsiders-english-education-ban-in-preschools/107812>
- Yoon, B. (2023). Research Synthesis on Culturally and Linguistically Responsive Teaching for Multilingual Learners. *Education Sciences*, 13(6), Article 6.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060557>

- Yow, W. Q., & Li, X. (2015). Balanced bilingualism and early age of second language acquisition as the underlying mechanisms of a bilingual executive control advantage: Why variations in bilingual experiences matter. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00164>
- Yue, Z., Zhao, K., Meng, Y., Qian, X., & Wu, L. (2022). Toward a Better Understanding of Language Learning Motivation in a Study Abroad Context: An Investigation Among Chinese English as a Foreign Language Learners. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.855592>
- Zeng, J., Ponce, A. R., & Li, Y. (2023). English linguistic neo-imperialism in the era of globalization: A conceptual viewpoint. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1149471>
- Zhai, W. (2020). Literature Review: Theoretical and Empirical Research on Age Effects in SLA: Focusing on Critical Period Hypothesis. *2nd International Conference on Educational Reform, Management Science and Sociology (ERMSS 2020)*, 82–86. <https://doi.org/10.23977/ERMSS2020017>
- Zhang, F., Wang, Y., & McCabe, A. (2023). How do Mandarin-speaking children relate events in personal narratives? <https://doi.org/10.1075/ni.22031.zha>
- Zhang, L. J. (2010). The Study of Second Language Acquisition. *System*, 38(1), 146–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2009.11.003>
- Zhang, S. (2009). The Role of Input, Interaction and Output in the Development of Oral Fluency. *English Language Teaching*, 2(4), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v2n4p91>

- Zhang, S., Zhang, H., & Zhang, C. (2022). A Dynamic Systems Study on Complexity, Accuracy, and Fluency in English Writing Development by Chinese University Students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.787710>
- Zhang, X., & Zhang, R. (2023). Feedback, Response, and Learner Development: A Sociocultural Approach to Corrective Feedback in Second Language Writing. *Sage Open*, 13(1), 21582440231157680. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231157680>
- Zhao, L. (2022). Study on Child Language Acquisition—Behaviorism, Nativism, and Sociolinguistic Approach. *Proceedings of the 2022 3rd International Conference on Mental Health, Education and Human Development (MHEHD 2022)*, 1226–1229. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.220704.222>
- Zheng, Z., Degotardi, S., & Djonov, E. (2021). Supporting multilingual development in early childhood education: A scoping review. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 110, 101894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2021.101894>
- Zhou, Y., & Wei, M. (2018). Strategies in Technology-Enhanced Language Learning. *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, 8(2), 471–495. <https://doi.org/10.14746/ssllt.2018.8.2.13>
- Ziegler, N., Parlak, Ö., & Phung, H. (2022). Interactionist Perspectives and the Role of Computer-Mediated Communication in SLA. In N. Ziegler & M. González-Lloret (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Second Language Acquisition and Technology* (pp. 50–64). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351117586>
- Ziglari, L., & Birjandi, P. (2012). Meaning Development in Child Language: A Constructivist Approach. In N. M. Seel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning* (pp. 2128–2132). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1428-6_902

Zurlinden, P. A. (2004). The Critical Period Hypothesis: A look at the controversy. *Estudios de Lingüística Aplicada*, 40, Article 40.
<https://doi.org/10.22201/enallt.01852647p.2004.40.647>

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Local Ethics Approval



ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΗ ΔΗΜΟΚΡΑΤΙΑ
ΥΠΟΥΡΓΕΙΟ ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑΣ ΘΡΗΣΚΕΥΜΑΤΩΝ
& ΑΘΛΗΤΙΣΜΟΥ

ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΗ
Α/ΘΜΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ Δ/ΘΜΙΑΣ ΕΚΠ/ΣΗΣ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ
ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΗ ΠΡΩΤΟΒΑΘΜΙΑΣ ΕΚΠΑΙΔΕΥΣΗΣ
ΛΑΡΙΣΑΣ

ΗΜΕΡΟΜΗΝΙΑ: 04-09-2023

Αρ. Πρωτ.: 83

Ταχ. Δ/ση : ΙΟΥΣΤΙΝΙΑΝΟΥ 24Α
Ταχ. Κώδικας : 41223
Τηλέφωνο : 2411103860
e-mail : mail@55nip-laris.lar.sch.gr

ΕΓΚΡΙΣΗ ΥΛΟΠΟΙΗΣΗΣ ΕΡΕΥΝΑΣ

Κατόπιν αιτήματος του κ. **Pieter Jansen**, διδακτορικού φοιτητή του *UNICAF University*, με σκοπό την υλοποίηση έρευνάς του σε παιδιά προσχολικής αγωγής με τίτλο «**Exploring the Effects of English as a Foreign Language on First Language Acquisition of Young Children: The Case of Greece**», βεβαιώνεται ότι **ΕΓΚΡΙΝΕΤΑΙ** η υλοποίησή της σε 50 παιδιά προσχολικής αγωγής της διεύθυνσης πρωτοβάθμιας εκπαίδευσης Λάρισας στο πλαίσιο του Ν. 4823/2021 (ΦΕΚ Α 136 - 03.08.2021) για την Αναβάθμιση του Σχολείου και την Ενδυνάμωση των Εκπαιδευτικών, με θέμα:

Η διευθύντρια,

Στυλιανή Μπούρα – ΠΕ60

Κωδικός: m2CqzH9Kry6LVpbstqTTUg

Επιβεβαιώνεται το γνήσιο Υπουργείο
Ψηφιακής Διακυβέρνησης / Verified by the Ministry
of Digital Governance, Hellenic Republic
20240821083405+03'00"

Appendix B: Research Ethics Application Form Doctoral Studies



REAF_DS - Version 3.3 AP



**UNICAF UNIVERSITY
RESEARCH ETHICS APPLICATION FORM
DOCTORAL STUDIES**

UREC USE ONLY:
Application No:
Date Received:

Student's Name: Pieter Schalk Jansen

Student's E-mail Address: jansen.ps1@gmail.com

Student's ID #: R2107D12521981

Supervisor's Name: Dr. Isaak Papadopoulos

University Campus/Program: Unicaf University Zambia: EdD Doctorate of Education



Research Project Title: Exploring the Effects of English as a Foreign Language on First Language Acquisition of Young Children: The Case of Greece

1. Please state the timelines involved in the proposed research project:

Estimated Start Date: 04-Dec-2023

Estimated End Date: 19-May-2024

2. External Research Funding (if applicable):

2.a. Do you have any external funding for your research?

☐ YES

☒ NO

If YES, please answer questions 2b and 2c.

2.b. List any external (third party) sources of funding you plan to utilise for your project. You need to include full details on the source of funds (e.g. state, private or individual sponsor), any prior / existing or future relationships between the funding body / sponsor and any of the principal investigator(s) or co-investigator(s) or student researcher(s), status and timeline of the application and any conditions attached.

Private Organization 1: Structural Transformation and Economic Growth (STEG). Private Organization 2: The Horowitz Foundation for Social Policy. No Prior Relationships. Timeline Dec/23~Dec/24. Awaiting Approval for Funding

2.c. If there are any perceived ethical issues or potential conflicts of interest arising from applying for and/or receiving external funding for the proposed research then these need to be fully disclosed below and also further elaborated on, in the relevant sections on ethical considerations later on in this form.

No.

3. The research project

3.a. Project Summary:

In this section fully describe the purpose and underlying rationale for the proposed research project. Ensure that you pose the research questions to be examined, state the hypotheses, and discuss the expected results of your research and their potential.

It is important in your description to use plain language so it can be understood by all members of the UREC, especially those who are not necessarily experts in the particular discipline. To that effect ensure that you fully explain/define any technical terms or discipline-specific terminology (use the space provided in the box).

This research investigates whether early exposure to English at age four affects native language development in Greek children. Set within the context of bilingual language acquisition, the study addresses the global concern of early second language learning and its impact on first language (L1) proficiency. It empirically explores the effects of Early Second Language Acquisition (ESLA) on First Language Development (FLD) in Greece. The primary research questions are: a) Is there any statistically significant differentiation in the performance of Young Children in their L1 prior to and upon the completion of the intervention of early foreign language teaching/learning? b) Do participants in Group B show any significant differences in the Logometro test compared to Group A? c) Do the results suggest that EFL acquisition adversely affects young children's L1(Greek) acquisition ability? d) How can this research be used to develop a questionnaire or system to help the government officials of Greece make better-informed policy decisions? The hypotheses are: a) Early exposure to EFL leads to significant differences in the development of Greek language skills in young children. b) Children in EFL-focused kindergartens exhibit greater potential for communication disorders in their native language compared to their monolingual peers. Expected outcomes include providing empirical evidence on ESLA's impact on FLD among preschoolers in Greece. If the hypothesis is confirmed, it could indicate that early second language introduction negatively affects native language development, influencing educational policy and practice regarding the appropriate age for second language introduction. This research is significant for policymakers, educators, and parents, and contributes to understanding bilingual language acquisition and its cognitive and linguistic effects. Key terms: ESLA: This refers to the process by which young children (typically below the age of 5) start learning a second language. FLD: This term refers to the natural progression through which children acquire proficiency in their native language. Communication Disorders: These are problems related to the ability to use, understand, or detect language and speech.

3.b. Significance of the Proposed Research Study and Potential Benefits:

Outline the potential significance and/or benefits of the research (use the space provided in the box).

The proposed study acquires an added layer of significance considering that Greece has only recently integrated English as a Foreign Language (EFL) into its main curriculum. This timing provides a unique opportunity to assess the impact of such policy decisions based on empirical evidence rather than speculation or international trends alone. The study's findings could thus play a crucial role in shaping the future of language education in Greece, ensuring that policies are informed by robust research rather than trial and error. Potential Benefits: a) Informed Decision-Making for Greece, b) Avoiding Financial and Educational Pitfalls, c) Equitable Language Education, d) Setting a Precedent in Language Education Policy, e) Global Relevance and Contribution. In conclusion, this research is not only timely but also crucial for Greece as it stands at a crossroads in its language education policy. The study's potential to provide concrete, evidence-based guidance could be instrumental in shaping a more effective and equitable language education system, both in Greece and potentially in other countries observing similar educational reforms.

4. Project execution:

4.a. The following study is an:

- ☒ experimental study (primary research)
- ☐ desktop study (secondary research)
- ☐ desktop study using existing databases involving information of human/animal subjects
- ☐ Other

If you have chosen 'Other' please Explain:

4.b. Methods. The following study will involve:

- ☐ a Quantitative methodology
☐ a Qualitative methodology
☒ a mixed methods approach

If you have chosen mixed methods please state below whether you are going to proceed with triangulation or not.

- ☒ YES ☐ NO

4.c. Please state below which tools you are going to use:

A	B	C
Select the tools to be used in your study	Select how the tools selected in column A will be administered (select one or more)	Select what types of questions will be included in the tools previously selected in column A (select one or more)
Interviews ☐	☐ Face-to-face ☐ Online with camera (synchronous live discussion with camera) ☐ Audio only (synchronous live discussion without camera, i.e., via phone)	☐ Open-ended questions ☐ Close-ended questions ☐ Includes section related to demographics
Focus Groups ☐	☐ Face-to-face ☐ Online with camera (synchronous live discussion with camera) ☐ Audio only (synchronous live discussion without camera, i.e., via phone)	☐ Open-ended questions ☐ Close-ended questions ☐ Includes section related to demographics
Questionnaire ☐	☐ Face-to-face self – administered questionnaire ☐ Online, i.e., via phone or any other platform. The researcher reads the questions to the participants ☐ Online asynchronous self-administered questionnaire (i.e., via email)	☐ Open-ended questions ☐ Close-ended questions ☐ Includes section related to demographics

A	B	C
Select the tools to be used in your study	Select how the tools selected in column A will be administered (select one or more)	Select what types of questions will be included in the tools previously selected in column A (select one or more)
Experiments ☐	☐ Face-to-face ☐ Online with camera (synchronous live discussion with camera) ☐ Audio only (synchronous live discussion without camera, i.e., via phone) ☐ Asynchronously via any online platform	☐ Open-ended questions ☐ Close-ended questions ☐ Includes section related to demographics
Tests ☒	☒ Face-to-face ☐ Online with camera (synchronous live discussion with camera) ☐ Audio only (synchronous live discussion without camera, i.e., via phone) ☐ Asynchronously via any online platform	Provide a brief description of the test in the box 'Other' below.
Other	The psychometric tool LOGOMETRO* is an array of tests designed to assess oral language skills as well as literacy skills suitable for preschool and early school-age children (4-7 years old). This tool was developed during the period 2012-2016, partially based on data collected as part of the research program "The Foundation of Reading and Writing in a Transparent Orthography: Oral language development and early literacy skills," funded by the University of Crete. It is a reliable and valid tool created taking into account the specificities of the Greek language and standardized on a large and representative sample of the Greek student population from various parts of Greece. Information about the psychometric properties of LOGOMETRO* is referenced in relevant scientific publications, available at www.logometro.g.	

5. Participants:

5 a. Does the Project involve the recruitment and participation of additional persons other than the researcher(s) themselves?

☒ YES If YES, please complete all following sections.

☐ NO If NO, please directly proceed to Question [7](#).



5 b. Relevant Details of the Participants of the Proposed Research

State the number of participants you plan to recruit, and explain in the box below how the total number was calculated.

Number of participants

25 (Four-year-old) students from Greek-only Kindergartens will be sourced, and 25 students from EFL kindergartens will be sourced.

Describe important characteristics such as: demographics (e.g. age, gender, location, affiliation, level of fitness, intellectual ability etc). It is also important that you specify any inclusion and exclusion criteria that will be applied (e.g. eligibility criteria for participants).

Age range From To

Gender ☒ Female
☒ Male

Eligibility Criteria:

- Inclusion criteria

Four-year-old students attending English language lessons in EFL Kindergartens
Four-year-old students not attending English language lessons
- Exclusion criteria

No known communication disorders.
No history of abuse.
No history of mental disorders.
No children older than 4 years (5 and older).

Disabilities/Disorders: You should only include the participants who can provide informed consent for themselves. Individuals who have a mental disability and are not in a position to provide their own consent should not participate in the study. Please provide information for any other disabilities/disorders the participants may have:

No children with known mental and/or physical disabilities will be included in the study

Other relevant information (use the space provided in the box):

none

5 c. Participation & Research setting:

Clearly describe which group of participants (described in 5b) is completing/participating in the material(s)/tool(s) described in 4c above (use the space provided in the box)

This study involves 50 four-year-old kindergarten students, split into two cohorts: 25 from a Greek-speaking-only kindergarten (Cohort A) and 25 from an EFL-focused kindergarten (Cohort B). These participants will be assessed using the Logometro tool. The Logometro is a digital tool designed to evaluate oral language skills in children aged 4 to 7. It measures various language domains, including phonological awareness, vocabulary knowledge, and emergent literacy skills, through 24 tasks combining receptive and expressive modalities. Children's responses are captured via a specially developed Android application during the Logometro assessment. The tool's structured format ensures an engaging process, minimizing fatigue. Post-assessment, a detailed report on each child's language competencies is automatically generated, highlighting strengths and potential areas of concern in language development.

5 d. Recruitment Process for Human Research Participants:

Clearly describe how the potential participants will be identified, approached and recruited (use the space provided in the box).

Children aged four from Greek-speaking and EFL-focused kindergartens within a specific area in Greece will be identified as potential participants. Initial contact will be made through formal communication with kindergarten administrators. Information sessions for parents and staff will explain the study's purpose and participation details. Consent forms, highlighting voluntary participation and the right to withdraw, will be distributed to parents for informed consent. Children whose parents consent will be enrolled in the study, ensuring an ethical and transparent recruitment process.

5 e. Research Participants Informed Consent.

Select below which categories of participants will participate in the study. Complete the relevant Informed Consent form and submit it along with the REAF form.

Yes	No	Categories of participants	Form to be completed
☐	☒	Typically Developing population(s) above the maturity age *	Informed Consent Form
☒	☐	Typically Developing population(s) under the maturity age *	Guardian Informed Consent Form

* Maturity age is defined by national regulations in laws of the country in which the research is being conducted.



5 f. Relationship between the principal investigator and participants.

Is there any relationship between the principal investigator (student), co-investigators(s), (supervisor) and participant(s)? For example, if you are conducting research in a school environment on students in your classroom (e.g. instructor-student).

☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, specify (use the space provided in the box).

6. Potential Risks of the Proposed Research Study.

6 a. i. Are there any potential risks, psychological harm and/or ethical issues associated with the proposed research study, other than risks pertaining to everyday life events?

☐ YES ☒ NO

If YES, specify below and answer the question 6 a.ii.

6 a.ii Provide information on what measures will be taken in order to exclude or minimise risks described in 6.a.i.

To minimize risks, the study will employ child-friendly assessment methods, ensuring comfort and brief sessions to avoid stress. Data privacy will be strictly maintained with anonymized information, and informed consent will be emphasized for all participants. Continuous monitoring for child distress during assessments will be conducted, with immediate response to any discomfort. The study will be overseen by trained professionals, and feedback will be provided to parents post-assessment. All procedures will adhere to ethical guidelines and necessary approvals will be secured, maintaining the highest standards of research ethics.

6 b. Choose the appropriate option

	Yes	No
i. Will you obtain a written informed consent form from all participants?	☒	☐
ii. Does the research involve, as participants, people whose ability to give free and informed consent is in question?	☒	☐
iii. Does this research involve participants who are children under maturity age? If you answered YES to question iii, complete all following questions. If you answered NO to question iii, do not answer Questions iv, v, vi and proceed to Questions vii, viii, ix and x.	☒	☐
iv. Will the research tools be implemented in a professional educational setting in the presence of other adults (i.e. classroom in the presence of a teacher)?	☒	☐
v. Will informed consent be obtained from the legal guardians (i.e. parents) of children?	☒	☐
vi. Will verbal assent be obtained from children?	☒	☐
vii. Will all data be treated as confidential? If NO, explain why confidentiality of the collected data is not appropriate for this proposed research project, providing details of how all participants will be informed of the fact that any data which they will provide will not be confidential.	☒	☐
viii. Will all participants/data collected be anonymous? If NO, explain why and describe the procedures to be used to ensure the anonymity of participants and/or confidentiality of the collected data both during the conduct of the research and in the subsequent release of its findings.	☒	☐

	Yes	No
ix. Have you ensured that personal data and research data collected from participants will be securely stored for five years?	☒	☐
x. Does this research involve the deception of participants? If YES, describe the nature and extent of the deception involved. Explain how and when the deception will be revealed, and who will administer this debrief to the participants:	☐	☒

6 c. i. Are there any other ethical issues associated with the proposed research study that are not already adequately covered in the preceding sections?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If YES, specify (maximum 150 words).

6.c.ii Provide information on what measures will be taken in order to exclude or minimise ethical issues described in 6.c.i.

To minimize risks, the study will employ child-friendly assessment methods, ensuring comfort and brief sessions to avoid stress. Data privacy will be strictly maintained with anonymized information, and informed consent will be emphasized for all participants. Continuous monitoring for child distress during assessments will be conducted, with immediate response to any discomfort. The study will be overseen by trained professionals, and feedback will be provided to parents post-assessment. All procedures will adhere to ethical guidelines and necessary approvals will be secured, maintaining the highest standards of research ethics.

6 d. Indicate the Risk Rating.

☐ High ☒ Low

7. Further Approvals

All researchers are advised to check the regulations pertaining to research and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the country in which the research will take place as each country may have different restrictions on conducting research. **Are there any other approvals required (i.e., from a ministry or public agency in the country, in addition to ethics clearance from UREC) in order to carry out the proposed research study?**

☒ YES ☐ NO If YES, specify.

The researcher will require approval from a) the Office of PrePrimary Education from the Greek Ministry of Education, b) the principals of the kindergartens, and c) the parents of the students.

8. Application Checklist

Mark ✓ if the study involves any of the following:

- ☒ Children and young people under 18 years of age, vulnerable populations such as children with special educational needs (SEN), racial or ethnic minorities, socioeconomically disadvantaged persons, pregnant women, elderly, malnourished people, and ill people.
- ☐ Research that foresees risks and disadvantages that would affect any participant of the study such as anxiety, stress, pain or physical discomfort, harm risk (which is more than is expected from everyday life) or any other act that participants might believe is detrimental to their wellbeing and/or has the potential to / will infringe on their human rights / fundamental rights.
- ☐ Risk to the well-being and personal safety of the researcher.
- ☐ Administration of any substance (food / drink / chemicals / pharmaceuticals / supplements / chemical agent or vaccines or other substances (including vitamins or food substances) to human participants.
- ☐ Results that may have an adverse impact on the natural or built environment.

9. Further documents

Check that the following documents are attached to your application:

		ATTACHED	NOT APPLICABLE
1	Recruitment advertisement (if any)	☐	☒
2	Informed Consent Form / Guardian Informed Consent Form	☒	☐
3	Research Tool(s)	☒	☐
4	Gatekeeper Letter	☒	☐
5	Any other approvals required in order to carry out the proposed research study, e.g., institutional permission (e.g. school principal or company director) or approval from a local ethics or professional regulatory body.	☒	☐



10. Final Declaration by Applicants:

- (a) I declare that this application is submitted on the basis that the information it contains is confidential and will only be used by Unicaf University for the explicit purpose of ethical review and monitoring of the conduct of the research proposed project as described in the preceding pages.
- (b) I understand that this information will not be used for any other purpose without my prior consent, excluding use intended to satisfy reporting requirements to relevant regulatory bodies.
- (c) The information in this form, together with any accompanying information, is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and I take full responsibility for it.
- (d) I undertake to abide by the highest possible international ethical standards governing the Code of Practice for Research Involving Human Participants, as published by the UN WHO Research Ethics Review Committee (ERC) on <http://www.who.int/ethics/research/en/> and to which Unicaf University aspires to adhere.
- (e) In addition to respect any and all relevant professional bodies' codes of conduct and/or ethical guidelines, where applicable, while in pursuit of this research project.



I agree with all points listed under Question 10

Student's Name: Pieter Schalk Jansen

Supervisor's Name: Dr. Isaak Papadopoulos

Date of Application: 04-Dec-2023

Important Note:

Save your completed form (we suggest you also print a copy for your records) and then submit it to your UU Dissertation/project supervisor (tutor). **In the case of student projects, the responsibility lies with the Faculty Dissertation/Project Supervisor.** If this is a student application, then it should be submitted via the relevant link in the VLE. Please submit only electronically filled in copies; **do not** hand fill and submit scanned paper copies of this application.

Appendix C: Gatekeeper Letter



ΕΛΛΗΝΙΚΗ ΔΗΜΟΚΡΑΤΙΑ
ΥΠΟΥΡΓΕΙΟ ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑΣ ΘΡΗΣΚΕΥΜΑΤΩΝ
& ΑΘΛΗΤΙΣΜΟΥ

ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΙΑΚΗ ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΗ
Α/ΘΜΙΑΣ ΚΑΙ Δ/ΘΜΙΑΣ ΕΚΠ/ΣΗΣ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΙΑΣ
ΔΙΕΥΘΥΝΣΗ ΠΡΩΤΟΒΑΘΜΙΑΣ ΕΚΠΑΙΔΕΥΣΗΣ
ΛΑΡΙΣΑΣ

ΗΜΕΡΟΜΗΝΙΑ: 06-02-2024

Αρ. Πρωτ.: 74

Ταχ. Δ/ση : Λαρίσης, 24Α
Ταχ. Κώδικας : 41223
Τηλέφωνο : 241110456
e-mail : mail@lar.sch.gr

ΠΑΡΟΧΗ ΑΔΕΙΑΣ ΓΙΑ ΥΛΟΠΟΙΗΣΗ ΕΡΕΥΝΑΣ

Κατόπιν αιτήματος του κ. Pieter Jansen, διδακτορικού φοιτητή του UNICAF University, με σκοπό την υλοποίηση έρευνάς του σε παιδιά προσχολικής αγωγής με τίτλο «Exploring the Effects of English as a Foreign Language on First Language Acquisition of Young Children: The Case of Greece», βεβαιώνεται ότι **ΠΑΡΕΧΕΤΑΙ Η ΑΔΕΙΑ ΚΑΙ ΠΡΟΣΒΑΣΗ** στο 55ο Νηπιαγωγείο Λάρισας προκειμένου να προχωρήσει η υλοποίησή της σε 50 παιδιά προσχολικής αγωγής της διεύθυνσης πρωτοβάθμιας εκπαίδευσης Λάρισας στο πλαίσιο του Ν. 4823/2021 (ΦΕΚ Α 136 - 03.08.2021) για την Αναβάθμιση του Σχολείου και την Ενδυνάμωση των Εκπαιδευτικών.

Η διεύθυντρια,

Νάντια Σαλιμά, ΠΕ60
Επιβεβαιωμένο
Ψηφιακή Διακυβέρνηση / Verified by the Ministry
of Digital Governance, Hellenic Republic
20240821083405+03'00'

Appendix D: Informed Consent Form



UU_GIC - Version 2.1



Guardian Informed Consent Form

Part 1: Debriefing of Participants

Student's Name: Pieter Schalk Jansen

Student's E-mail Address: jansen.ps1@gmail.com

Student ID #: R2107D12521981

Supervisor's Name: Dr. Isaak Papadopoulos

University Campus: Choose from the list



Program of Study: UUZ: EdD Doctorate of Education



Research Project Title: Exploring the Effects of English as a Foreign Language on First Language Acquisition of Young Children: The Case of Greece

Date: 04-Dec-2023

Provide a short description (purpose, aim and significance) of the research project, and explain why and how you have chosen this person to participate in this research (maximum 150 words).

This research project investigates the impact of early exposure to English as a second language on native Greek language development in four-year-old children. The aim is to determine if learning English at this early age leads to communication disorders in their first language. This study is significant as it will provide insights into bilingual language acquisition and its effects on young children's cognitive and linguistic development, guiding educational policies and practices in Greece. Your child has been chosen to participate in this research due to their enrollment in a kindergarten that aligns with the study's criteria, either a Greek-speaking-only kindergarten or an English as a Foreign Language-focused kindergarten. Their participation will contribute valuable data towards understanding the effects of early second language acquisition, potentially influencing future educational strategies and language learning approaches for young learners.

The above named Student is committed to ensuring participant's voluntarily participation in the research project and guaranteeing there are no potential risks and/or harms to the participants.

Participants have the right to withdraw at any stage (prior or post the completion) of the research without any consequences and without providing any explanation. In these cases, data collected will be deleted.

All data and information collected will be coded and will not be accessible to anyone outside this research. Data described and included in dissemination activities will only refer to coded information ensuring beyond the bounds of possibility participant identification.

I, Pieter Schalk Jansen, ensure that all information stated above is true and that all conditions have been met.

Student's Signature: P.S. Jansen

Guardian Informed Consent Form

Part 2: Certificate of Consent

This section is mandatory and should to be signed by the participant's legal guardian

Student's Name: Pieter Schalk Jansen

Student's E-mail Address: jansen.ps1@gmail.com

Student ID #: R2107D12521981

Supervisor's Name: Dr. Isaak Papadopoulos

University Campus: Choose from the list



Program of Study: UUZ: EdD Doctorate of Education



Research Project Title: Exploring the Effects of English as a Foreign Language on First Language Acquisition of Young Children: The Case of Greece

I have read the foregoing information about this study, or it has been read to me. I have had the opportunity to ask questions and discuss about it. I have received satisfactory answers to all my questions and I have received enough information about this study. I understand that the participant is free to withdraw from this study at any time without giving a reason for withdrawing and without negative consequences. I consent to the use of multimedia (e.g. audio recordings, video recordings) for the purposes of the participation to this study. I understand that all data will remain anonymous and confidential, unless stated otherwise.

I, , the legal guardian
of allow and provide consent
that can willingly participate in the study.

I, , the legal guardian
of have been ensured that verbal consent
given by will also be taken before the study.

Date:

Appendix E: Unicaf University Research Ethics Committee Decision



UREC Decision, Version 2.0

Unicaf University Research Ethics Committee Decision

Student's Name: Pieter Jansen
Student's ID #: R2107D12521981
Supervisor's Name: Dr Isaak Papadopoulos
Program of Study: UU-EDUD-900-3-ZM
OfferID / GroupID: O59979G79451
Dissertation stage: DS3
Research Project Title: EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE ON FIRST LANGUAGE ACQUISITION OF YOUNG CHILDREN: THE CASE OF GREECE
Ethical conditions for approval: No comments.
Methodological recommendations:
Decision*: A. Approved without revision or comments
Date: February 5, 2024

All Doctoral students are advised to check the regulations pertaining to research and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the country in which the research will take place as each country may have different restrictions on conducting research.
i. Approval from a local Research Ethics Committee (REC) or professional regulatory body such as Institutional Review Board (IRB)
ii. Approval from Ministry or public agency

*Provisional approval provided at the Dissertation Stage 1, whereas the **final** approval is provided at the Dissertation stage 3. The student is allowed to proceed to data collection following the final approval.

Appendix F: Logometro Research Tool

Research Tool – Logometro

The psychometric tool LOGOMETRO® is an array of tests designed to assess oral language skills as well as literacy skills suitable for preschool and early school-age children (4-7 years old). This tool was developed during the period 2012-2016, partially based on data collected as part of the research program "The Foundation of Reading and Writing in a Transparent Orthography: Oral language development and early literacy skills," funded by the University of Crete.

The aforementioned research program aimed at detailed documentation of the linguistic skill development in children and the early identification of those belonging to a "high-risk" group for language difficulties (e.g., language disorders) and later difficulties in written language learning.

The psychometric tool was developed between 2012 and 2013, piloted during the school year 2013-2014, and its final form was standardized in the following two school years (2014-2016). It is a reliable and valid tool created taking into account the specificities of the Greek language and standardized on a large and representative sample of the Greek student population from various parts of Greece.

Information about the psychometric properties of LOGOMETRO® is referenced in relevant scientific publications, available at www.logometro.gr.

Weighting of LOGOMETRO®

The process of data collection for the weighting of the test began in the academic year 2013-2014. During the first year, a pilot study was conducted with a smaller sample of participants. Subsequently, the main weighting study took place during the school year 2014-2015, and the re-evaluation of participants from the longitudinal group for the purpose of checking predictive validity was conducted in 2015-2016.

Pilot Study

For the pilot study of LOGOMETRO® weighting, 237 children (98 boys - 139 girls) aged 48-95.5 months ($M = 66.8$ months) were individually evaluated. These children were randomly selected to participate in the pilot phase with the written consent of their parents. The pilot study aimed to select the most suitable elements for representing each variable and present them in each subtest in increasing order of difficulty.

Weighting Study

Participants:

- 669 children participated to establish norms.
- 127 children were assessed for predictive validity.
- An additional 217 children from special populations were evaluated to assess the tool's discriminant validity.

The population representation was achieved through systematic stratification based on gender (boys - girls) and geographical region (rural, semi-urban, and urban areas). The

sample for weighting used power analysis, revealing that 68 individuals per age group were needed for generalization to the relevant population at a 95% confidence level (Gorman, Primavera & Allison, 1995). This analysis is sensitive to detecting small differences according to Cohen ($d = 0.30$) at a significance level of 0.05 for two-tailed tests.

Procedure

The assessment of children took place with parental and teacher consent in a quiet space with suitable lighting during one of the first two instructional hours and not during hours when children were engaged in other subjects. The assessment lasted for one instructional hour.

Validity

Apart from the weighting sample, an additional 326 children were assessed to evaluate the validity and reliability of the test. For predictive validity, 127 children were assessed at two additional time points (end of kindergarten and first grade), and 217 children were assessed for discriminant validity.

Reliability

For the measurement of test-retest reliability, 36 children were assessed at two time points.

For a detailed presentation of the psychometric characteristics of the study, refer to F. Antoniou et al., 2022.

Objectives of LOGOMETRO®

The main objectives of LOGOMETRO® are:

1. **Assessment of Oral Language:**
 - Evaluate the oral language of children across all language subsystems (phonology, semantics, syntax, morphology, pragmatics) in terms of both comprehension and expression.
2. **Assessment of Linguistic Development:**
 - Assess the linguistic development of children at the intralinguistic and metalinguistic levels, including phonological and morphological awareness.
3. **Assessment of Auditory Comprehension:**
 - Evaluate auditory comprehension, including the ability to follow oral instructions and respond to comprehension questions related to narrative (oral) speech.
4. **Assessment of Literacy Skills:**
 - Evaluate the development of literacy skills, including the recognition of graphophonic correspondences, writing one's name, and inventive writing.
5. **Identification of Individual Profiles:**

- Identify the unique profiles of children to design appropriately individualized intervention programs for those who exhibit deficits in specific areas.

These objectives collectively aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of a child's language skills, both in terms of spoken and written language. The assessment covers various linguistic components and developmental aspects, enabling the identification of specific strengths and weaknesses in each child's language abilities. The ultimate goal is to tailor interventions that address the specific needs of individual children based on their unique linguistic profiles.